arXiv:1508.03205v2 [math.NT] 8 Mar 2017

ARTHUR PARAMETERS AND CUSPIDAL AUTOMORPHIC MODULES OF CLASSICAL GROUPS

DIHUA JIANG AND LEI ZHANG

Abstract. The endoscopic classification via the stable trace formula comparison provides certain character relations between irreducible cuspidal automorphic representations of classical groups and their global Arthur parameters, which are certain automorphic representations of general linear groups. It is a question of J. Arthur and W. Schmid that asks: *How to construct concrete modules for irreducible cuspidal automorphic representations of classical groups in term of their global Arthur parameters?* In this paper, we formulate a general construction of concrete modules, using Bessel periods, for cuspidal automorphic representations of classical groups with generic global Arthur parameters. Then we establish the theory for orthogonal and unitary groups, based on certain well expected conjectures. Among the consequences of the theory in this paper is the global Gan-Gross-Prasad conjecture for those classical groups.

Contents

1. Introduction 2
1.1. Main ideas and arguments in the theory 5
1.2. Structure of this paper 8
2. Discrete Spectrum and Fourier Coefficients 10
2.1. Certain classical groups 10
2.2. Discrete spectrum and Arthur packets 12
2.3. Fourier coefficients and partitions 17
2.4. On rationality of $H_{\ell^-}^{\mathcal{O}_\ell}$ 20
3. On the Gan-Gross-Prasad conjecture 23

Date: March 9, 2017.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 11F70, 22E50; Secondary 11F85, 22E55.

Key words and phrases. Arthur Parameters, Cuspidal Automorphic Modules, Bessel-Fourier Coefficients, Automorphic Descent, Classical Groups, Global Gan-Gross-Prasad Conjecture.

The research of the first named author is supported in part by the NSF Grants DMS–1301567 and DMS–1600685, and that of the second named author is supported in part by the National University of Singapore's start-up grant.

3.1. Generic Arthur parameters 23
3.2. On the local Gan-Gross-Prasad conjecture 25
4. Bessel Periods and Global Zeta Integrals 27
4.1. Global zeta integrals 27
4.2. Calculation of Fourier coefficients of Eisenstein series 30
4.3. Euler product decomposition 31
4.4. Case $a > \ell$ 32
4.5. Case $a \leq \ell$ 40
4.6. A formula for global zeta integrals 42
4.7. On even special orthogonal groups 45
5. On Reciprocal Identity for Bessel Periods 46
5.1. Special data for global zeta integrals 46
5.2. Properties of the normalized local zeta integrals 48
5.3. Reciprocal identity for Bessel periods 52
5.4. On the global Gan-Gross-Prasad conjecture: one direction 53
6. Construction of Cuspidal Automorphic Modules 55
6.1. On κ-th Bessel modules for $\widetilde{\Pi}_{\psi_{\tau,\sigma}}(H_{a+m})$ 56
6.2. The main conjecture for cuspidal automorphic modules 62
6.3. On the global Gan-Gross-Prasad conjecture: another direction 63
7. On the Main Conjecture 68
7.1. On the main conjecture: general case 68
7.2. On the main conjecture: regular orbit case 70
7.3. On the main conjecture: subregular orbit case 71
Appendix A. Non-vanishing of Local Zeta Integrals 72
Appendix B. On Local Intertwining Operators 79
References 82

1. INTRODUCTION

Let F be a number field and $\mathbb{A}$ be the ring of the adeles of F. Let G be a classical group defined over F. The theory of endoscopic classification is to parameterize the irreducible automorphic representations of $G(\mathbb{A})$ occurring in the discrete spectrum of all square-integrable automorphic functions on $G(\mathbb{A})$, up to global Arthur packets, by means of global Arthur parameters. These parameters are formal sums of certain irreducible square-integrable automorphic representations of general linear groups. This fundamental theory has been established by J. Arthur in [3] for G to be either symplectic groups or F-quasisplit special orthogonal groups, with outline on general orthogonal groups in [3, Chapter 9]. Following the fundamental work of Arthur ([3]), several authors made progress for more general classical groups. C.-P. Mok established the theory for F-quasisplit unitary groups ([67]). More recently, Kaletha, Minguez, Shin, and White in [50] made progress on more general unitary groups. We refer to the work of B. Xu ([78]) for progress on the cases of similitude classical groups GSp_{2n} and GO_{2n}. We remark that all those works depend on the stabilization of the twisted trace formula, which has been achieved through the series of works of C. Mœglin and J.-L. Waldspurger that is now given in their book ([66]).

In Problem No. 5 in the *Open Problems in honor of W. Schmid* ([4]), Arthur explains that the trace formula method establishes certain *character relation* between irreducible cuspidal automorphic representations of classical groups and their global Arthur parameters. It was Schmid who asks: *"What about modules...?"*. This means how to construct a concrete module for any irreducible cuspidal automorphic representation in terms of its global Arthur parameter. In [4], Arthur posted this question and pointed out that the work of the first named author ([37]) has the potential to give an answer to this question.

Our objective is to formulate, in the spirit of the constructive theory described in [37], a general construction (Principle 1.1) of concrete modules for cuspidal automorphic representations of general classical groups, which provides an answer to the question of Arthur-Schmid.

In this paper we establish the theory of concrete modules (Conjecture 6.6), under certain well expected conjectures (Conjecture 2.3, for instance), for cuspidal automorphic representations with generic global Arthur parameters (Theorem 7.1). The key idea in the theory is to introduce the method of *twisted automorphic descents*, which extends the method of automorphic descents of Ginzburg-Rallis-Soudry ([25]) from F-quasisplit classical groups to general classical groups, and from generic cuspidal automorphic representations to general cuspidal automorphic representations with generic global Arthur parameters.

One of the main technical issues in the method is to establish the global non-vanishing of the twisted automorphic descents that constructed from the given data. This is treated by establishing the *reciprocal identity for Bessel periods* (Theorem 5.4), which depends heavily on the extension of the global and local theory of the global zeta integrals that represent the tensor product L-functions to the generality considered in this paper from the work of Ginzburg, Piatetski-Shapiro and Rallis ([24]), and the work of the current authors ([44]), and the recent work of Soudry ([71]). Those previously done works mainly treat the F-quasisplit classical groups. Another technical issue is to prove the irreducibility of the concrete modules constructed via the twisted automorphic descents, which is proved by using the local Gan-Gross-Prasad conjecture (Conjecture 3.1) as input. In consequence, we are able to establish one direction of the global Gan-Gross-Prasad conjecture in full generality (Theorem 5.5), while the other direction of the conjecture with a global assumption (Theorem 6.10), except some special cases (Corollary 6.11, and also [40]).

The global Gan-Gross-Prasad conjecture that we refer to is Conjectures 24.1 (and Conjecture 26.1 for a different formulation) in [17]. It was first made by B. Gross and D. Prasad in [26] and [27] for orthogonal groups, and was reformulated in full generality for all classical groups, including the metaplectic groups, by Gan, Gross and Prasad in [17]. The progress towards the proof of the global Gan-Gross-Prasad conjecture can trace back to the pioneering work of Harder-Langlands-Rapoport on the Tate conjecture for Hilbert-Blumenthal modular surfaces ([20]), and has been well explained in [17], [18], and also in [16].

It is important to point out that the work of W. Zhang ([82] and [83]) established the global Gan-Gross-Prasad conjecture for a special family of unitary groups with certain global and local constraints. The approach taken up in [82] and [83] is to use the relative trace formula developed by H. Jacquet and S. Rallis in [36] for unitary groups. However, such a relative trace formula that can be used to attack the global Gan-Gross-Prasad conjecture for orthogonal groups is so far not known to be available. The global Gan-Gross-Prasad conjecture for generic cuspidal automorphic representations with simple global Arthur parameters was considered in [21], [22], and [23] for symplectic and metaplectic groups, orthogonal groups, and unitary groups, respectively. The method is a combination of the Bessel or Fourier-Jacobi periods of certain residual representations with the Arthur truncation method. It was recently discovered that there is a technical gap in the argument towards the end of the proof, which needs to be filled up. A similar approach with the Arthur truncation replaced by the Jacquet-Lapid-Rogawski truncation is applied to the case of $\mathrm{U}_{n+1} \times \mathrm{U}_n$ by A. Ichino and S. Yamana in [35]. We refer to Section 5.4 for a more detailed account.

The approach taken up in this paper treats the global Gan-Gross-Prasad conjecture uniformly for unitary groups and orthogonal groups, and can be used to take care of the symplectic group and metaplectic group situation by using the Fourier-Jacobi periods ([45]). It avoids the technical difficulties that occur in the work ([21], [22], and [23]), which seem hopeless to be smoothly handled when one considers general cuspidal automorphic representations with generic global Arthur parameters and general classical groups. More importantly, the approach in this paper is much naturally related to the theory of twisted automorphic descents and the general Rankin-Selberg method, so that one may regard the global Gan-Gross-Prasad conjecture as part of the theory developed in our work. Finally, the results on the global Gan-Gross-Prasad conjecture in this paper (Theorems 5.5 and 6.10) do not assume that the cuspidal multiplicity should be one, while this cuspidal multiplicity one assumption was taken for the global Gan-Gross-Prasad conjecture in [17]. This cuspidal multiplicity one issue was also discussed by H. Xue in [80, Section 6].

We also refer to [82] and [83] for a beautiful explanation of the relation between the Gan-Gross-Prasad conjecture and certain important problems in arithmetic and geometry, and for a more complete account of the progress on lower rank examples and other special cases towards the global conjecture and its refinement.

1.1. Main ideas and arguments in the theory.

In order to illustrate the main ideas and arguments of the theory in this introduction, we take G to be an odd special orthogonal group. The general case will be discussed in the main body of this paper.

We denote by $G_n^* = \mathrm{SO}(V^*, q^*)$ the F-split odd special orthogonal group of $2n+1$ variables. Let $G_n = \mathrm{SO}(V, q)$ be the odd special orthogonal group defined by a $2n+1$ dimensional non-degenerate quadratic space (V, q) over F. Then G_n is a pure inner form of G_n^* over F, in the sense of Vogan (in [75] and also in [17], [48] and [49]). Following the work of Arthur ([3], Chapter 9, in particular), the discrete spectrum of G_n are parameterized by the G_n-relevant, global Arthur parameters of G_n^*, the set of which is denoted by $\widetilde{\Psi}_2(G_n^*)_{G_n}$. The global Arthur parameters of G_n^* are multiplicity-free formal sums of the type

$$\psi = (\tau_1, b_1) \boxplus \cdots \boxplus (\tau_r, b_r) \in \widetilde{\Psi}_2(G_n^*), \tag{1.1}$$

where τ_i is an irreducible unitary self-dual cuspidal automorphic representation of $\mathrm{GL}_{a_i}(\mathbb{A})$ for $i = 1, 2, \cdots, r$, having the property that when τ_i is of orthogonal type, the integer b_i must be even, and when τ_i is of symplectic type, the integer b_i must be odd.

Following [3], a global Arthur parameter ψ is called *generic* if $b_i = 1$ for $i = 1, 2, \cdots, r$. The subset of the generic parameters is denoted by $\widetilde{\Phi}_2(G_n^*)$ and that of the G_n-relevant ones is denoted by $\widetilde{\Phi}_2(G_n^*)_{G_n}$. Hence the generic global Arthur parameters are of the form

$$\phi = (\tau_1, 1) \boxplus \cdots \boxplus (\tau_r, 1). \tag{1.2}$$

It follows that for a generic global Arthur parameter ϕ in (1.2), the cuspidal automorphic representations $\tau_1, \cdots, \tau_r$ are all of symplectic type and τ_i is not equivalent to τ_j if $i \neq j$.

By [3], in particular, [3, Chapter 9], for any $\pi \in \mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{cusp}}(G_n)$, the set of equivalence classes of irreducible automorphic representations of G_n that occur in the cuspidal spectrum, there is a G_n-relevant, global Arthur parameter $\psi \in \widetilde{\Psi}_2(G_n^*)$, such that $\pi \in \widetilde{\Pi}_\psi(G_n)$, the global Arthur packet of G_n associated to ψ. One has the following diagram:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
 & \widetilde{\Psi}_2(G_n^*) & \\
 & \psi \arrow[dl] \arrow[dr] & \\
\mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{disc}}(G_n) \cap \widetilde{\Pi}_\psi(G_n) \arrow[rr, Leftrightarrow] & & \mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{disc}}(G_n^*) \cap \widetilde{\Pi}_\psi(G_n^*)
\end{tikzcd} \tag{1.3}$$

where $\mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{disc}}(G_n)$ is the set of equivalence classes of irreducible automorphic representations of $G_n(\mathbb{A})$ that occur in the discrete spectrum.

When a parameter $\psi \in \widetilde{\Psi}_2(G_n^*)$ is generic, i.e. $\psi = \phi$ as given in (1.2), the global packet $\widetilde{\Pi}_\phi(G_n^*)$ contains an irreducible generic cuspidal automorphic representation π_0 of $G_n^*(\mathbb{A})$. This π_0 can be constructed by the automorphic descent of Ginzburg, Rallis and Soudry in [25] and in [42]. This construction produces a concrete module for π_0 by using only the generic global Arthur parameter ϕ. However, it remains a *big problem* to construct other cuspidal members in the global packet $\widetilde{\Pi}_\phi(G_n^*)$, and even more generally, to construct all cuspidal members in $\widetilde{\Pi}_\psi(G_n)$ for all pure inner forms G_n of G_n^*.

It seems clear from Diagram (1.3) that one has to take more invariants of π into consideration, in order to develop a reasonable theory that constructs concrete modules from all cuspidal members in $\widetilde{\Pi}_\psi(G)$ for general classical groups G. One of the natural choices is to utilize the structure of Fourier coefficients of cuspidal automorphic representations π, in addition to the global Arthur parameters ψ. We use $\mathcal{F}(\pi, G)$ to denote a certain piece of information about the structure of Fourier coefficients of π. Here is the principle of the theory.

Principle 1.1 (Concrete Modules)**.** *Let G^* be an F-quasisplit classical group and G be a pure inner form of G^*. For an irreducible cuspidal automorphic representation π of $G(\mathbb{A})$, assuming that π has a G-relevant global Arthur parameter $\psi \in \widetilde{\Psi}_2(G^*)$, there exists a datum $\mathcal{F}(\pi, G)$ such that one is able to construct a concrete irreducible module $\mathcal{M}(\psi, \mathcal{F}(\pi, G))$, depending on the data $(\psi, \mathcal{F}(\pi, G))$, with the property that*

$$\pi \cong \mathcal{M}(\psi, \mathcal{F}(\pi, G)).$$

Moreover, if π *occurs in the cuspidal spectrum of* G *with multiplicity one, then* $\pi = \mathcal{M}(\psi, \mathcal{F}(\pi, G))$.

We remark that if $G = G^*$ is F-quasisplit and π is generic, the concrete module expected in Principle 1.1 should coincide with the module constructed from the automorphic descents of Ginzburg-Rallis-Soudry in [25]. This will be explained in Corollary 7.3.

We still take G_n to be an odd special orthogonal group. For the case when the global Arthur parameter ψ is generic, we propose the *Main Conjecture* (Conjecture 6.6) of the theory developed in this paper that specifies the *Principle of Concrete Modules* (Principle 1.1) with the datum $\mathcal{F}(\pi, G_n)$ explicitly given in Conjecture 2.3. The nature of Conjecture 2.3 will be briefly discussed in Section 2.3 and will be considered in our future work.

With $\mathcal{F}(\pi, G_n)$ as described in Conjecture 2.3, and with the generic global Arthur parameter ϕ for π, the construction of the concrete module $\mathcal{M}(\phi, \mathcal{F}(\pi, G_n))$ for the given π is carried out by the *twisted automorphic descent* as illustrated in Diagram (6.4). One of the key results is Theorem 5.4, which gives a *reciprocal identity for Bessel periods*. Such an identity is proved using refined theory of the global zeta integrals for the tensor product L-functions for G_n and a general linear group. The global theory of the global zeta integrals goes back to the pioneering work of Ginzburg, Piatetski-Shapiro and Rallis for orthogonal groups ([24]), which has been extended to a more general setting, including unitary groups by the authors of this paper in [44]. We established the global results of the global zeta integrals for the most general situation in Section 4. In order to obtain Theorem 5.4, the reciprocal identity for Bessel periods, we need the explicit unramified computation of the local zeta integrals. Although this was done for many families of cases ([24], [44], [71], and [70]), the unramified computation in such great generality as needed for Theorem 5.4 has not been completely written. It is the project of the authors joint with D. Soudry to complete it. Hence we state the unramified computation result as a hypothesis (Hypothesis 4.4).

By using the *reciprocal identity for Bessel periods*, we are able to show that certain Fourier coefficient of a residual representation, which is denoted by $\mathcal{F}^{\mathcal{O}_{\kappa_0}}(\mathcal{E}_{\tau\otimes\sigma})$, is nonzero. The notation is referred to Theorem 5.4. This is the candidate for the concrete module of π, as explained in the main conjecture of the theory (Conjecture 6.6). Conjecture 6.6 for G_n asserts that $\mathcal{F}^{\mathcal{O}_{\kappa_0}}(\mathcal{E}_{\tau\otimes\sigma})$ is an irreducible cuspidal automorphic representation of $G_n(\mathbb{A})$ that is isomorphic to the given π. We note that when G_n is an even special orthogonal group, this assertion has to be modified due to the extra outer involution. We refer to Conjecture 6.6 for detail.

One of the main results of this paper (Theorem 7.1) is to prove that Conjecture 6.6 holds under the assumption of Conjecture 2.3 and Conjecture 3.1, In two special cases, the results are stronger as given in Corollary 7.3 and Corollary 7.5. It is worthwhile to mention that by a different argument, this theory recovered the classical Jacquet-Langlands correspondence for PGL(2) in [40].

We remark that the irreducibility of $\mathcal{F}^{\mathcal{O}_{\kappa_0}}(\mathcal{E}_{\tau\otimes\sigma})$ is deduced from Conjecture 3.1, which is the local Gan-Gross-Prasad conjecture for local Vogan packets. The recent progress towards this conjecture is recorded as Theorem 3.2, according to the work of Mœglin-Waldspurger ([65]), the work of R. Beuzart-Plessis ([8] and [9]), the work of Gan-Ichino ([19]), the work of H. He ([29]), and the work of T. Kobayashi and B. Speh ([53]).

Based on our theory, Theorem 5.5 proves one direction of the global Gan-Gross-Prasad conjecture in full generality for the classical groups considered in this paper, while Theorem 6.10 proves the other direction of the conjecture with a global assumption (Conjecture 6.8), which is about certain structure of Fourier coefficients of the relevant residual representations. We refer to [37, Section 4] and [38] for discussion of the general issue related to the conjecture.

1.2. **Structure of this paper.** A more detailed description of the content in each section is in order. In Section 2.1, we discuss the family of classical groups considered in this paper and recall their basic structures. The global Arthur parameters and the discrete spectrum for those classical groups are discussed in Section 2.2. We recall from [37] and [38] the general notion of Fourier coefficients of automorphic forms associated to the partitions or nilpotent orbits in Section 2.3 and give a more detailed account for the special type of Fourier coefficients, which is often called the *Bessel-Fourier coefficients*. Based on the *tower property* for Bessel-Fourier coefficients of cuspidal automorphic forms (Proposition 2.2), we state Conjecture 2.3. This is our starting point in the theory of construction of concrete modules for irreducible cuspidal automorphic representations for general classical groups. In Section 2.4, we show (Proposition 2.6) the construction illustrated by Diagram (6.4) covers all the classical groups considered in this paper as described in Section 2.1.

The local Gan-Gross-Prasad conjecture is one of the key inputs in the proof of the irreducibility of the constructed modules. We recall from [17] for the cases considered in this paper in Section 3, and state

Conjecture 3.1, which is needed for one of the main results in the paper (Theorem 7.1). The known cases of Conjecture 3.1 are stated in Theorem 3.2. In Section 4, we consider a family of global zeta integrals, which represent the tensor product L-functions for the classical groups defined in Section 2.1 and the general linear groups. We show that they can be written as an Euler product of local zeta integrals (Theorem 4.2 and Theorem 4.3). Those results have been refined in Theorem 4.5 to yield a more explicit formula for the global zeta integrals, based on the explicit unramified computation of the local zeta integrals (Hypothesis 4.4). Based on what was discussed in Section 4, we establish in Section 5 the necessary analytic properties of the local zeta integrals (Proposition 5.1), which are needed to establish the *reciprocal identity for Bessel periods* (Theorem 5.4). Here we mention that Theorem 5.2 on the analytic properties of the normalized local intertwining operators is another key input in this theory. We will prove Theorem 5.2 in Appendix B. As a consequence, we obtain in Theorem 5.5 one direction of the global Gan-Gross-Prasad conjecture in full generality. With Conjecture 2.3 and Theorem 5.4, in addition to Theorem 5.2, we are able to obtain the non-vanishing of the Fourier coefficient of the particular residual representation $\mathcal{F}^{\mathcal{O}_{\kappa_0}}(\mathcal{E}_{\tau\otimes\sigma})$, which is one of the key points in the theory. The basic properties of $\mathcal{F}^{\mathcal{O}_{\kappa_0}}(\mathcal{E}_{\tau\otimes\sigma})$ are established in Section 6, which are similar to those in the automorphic descents of Ginzburg, Rallis and Soudry ([25]) and in our previous work joint with Liu and Xu ([40]). As a consequence, we obtain results towards another direction of the global Gan-Gross-Prasad conjecture (Theorem 6.10).

Diagram (6.4) illustrates the main idea and process of the construction of concrete modules for irreducible cuspidal automorphic representations of G that have generic global Arthur parameters. In the framework of the construction given by Diagram (6.4), we state the main conjecture of the theory (Conjecture 6.6). As one of the main results of this paper, we prove Theorem 7.1 that Conjecture 6.6 holds under the assumption of Conjecture 2.3 and Conjecture 3.1. In two special cases, Conjecture 2.3 is trivial or can be easily verified. Hence we can have stronger results for those two special cases. The one attached to the regular partition (Corollary 7.3) is essentially the automorphic descents in [25], and the other attached to the subregular partition (Corollary 7.5) is new, and is a generalization of the construction considered in [40].

There are two appendices following the main body of this paper. Appendix A proves Part (3) of Proposition 5.1. We put this as one of the two appendices in order to make the logic flow in the main body of this paper more straightforward. Appendix B proves Theorem 5.2.

We leave this out of the main body because the proof needs different preparation, although there is a possibility to put it in Section 3.

Applications of the theory developed in this paper to a special value formula for certain automorphic L-functions will be considered in our future work. There is a brief, relevant discussion in Remark 7.2.

Finally, we would like to thank J. Arthur and W. Schmid for asking and posting this very interesting and important problem in 2013, which stimulates and encourages us to carry out the work in this paper. We hope the main results and conjectures in this paper to be helpful towards the understanding of the nature of their problem. We are grateful to D. Soudry for his help in finding the proof presented in Appendix A, which works uniformly of all local places, and also for his agreement to work with us for the completion of the explicit computation of the unramified local zeta integrals, which is one of the inputs to the theory in this paper. We would also like to thank C. Mœglin, F. Shahidi, D. Vogan, and B. Xu for very helpful conversation about the proof of the results in Appendix B, and thank W. Gan for his helpful comments and suggestions on several issues on the theory considered here. Last, but not least, we would like to thank P. Sarnak for his interest in and encouraging comments on the theory and results developed in this paper, and to thank the referee for very important and useful comments and suggestions, which well improved the exposition of the paper.

2. Discrete Spectrum and Fourier Coefficients

2.1. **Certain classical groups.** The classical groups considered in this paper are unitary groups and special orthogonal groups that are explicitly defined below.

Let F be a number field and $\mathbb{A} = \mathbb{A}_F$ be the ring of adeles of F. Let $F(\sqrt{\varsigma})$ be a quadratic field extension of F, with ς is a non-square in $F^\times$. Let E be either F or $F(\sqrt{\varsigma})$, and consider the Galois group $\Gamma_{E/F} = \mathrm{Gal}(E/F)$. It is trivial if $E = F$, and has a unique non-trivial element ι if $E = F(\sqrt{\varsigma})$. Let (V, q) be an $\mathfrak{n}$-dimensional non-degenerate vector space over E, which is Hermitian if $E = F(\sqrt{\varsigma})$ and is symmetric (or quadratic) if $E = F$. Denote by $G_n = \mathrm{Isom}(V, q)^\circ$ the identity connected component of the isometry group of the space (V, q), with $n = [\frac{\mathfrak{n}}{2}]$. Let $G_n^* = \mathrm{Isom}(V^*, q^*)^\circ$ be an F-quasisplit group of the same type, so that G_n is a pure inner form of G_n^* over the field F, following [75] and [17].

Let (V_0, q) be the F-anisotropic kernel of (V, q) with dimension $\mathfrak{d}_0 = \mathfrak{n} - 2\mathfrak{r}$, where the F-rank $\mathfrak{r} = \mathfrak{r}_{\mathfrak{n}} = \mathfrak{r}(G_n)$ of G_n is the same as the Witt index of (V, q). Let V^+ be a maximal totally isotropic subspace of (V, q), with $\{e_1, \dots, e_{\mathfrak{r}}\}$ being its basis. Choose E-linearly independent vectors $\{e_{-1}, \dots, e_{-\mathfrak{r}}\}$ in (V, q) such that

$$q(e_i, e_{-j}) = \delta_{i,j}$$

for all $1 \leq i, j \leq \mathfrak{r}$. Denote by $V^- = \mathrm{Span}\{e_{-1}, \dots, e_{-\mathfrak{r}}\}$ the dual space of V^+. Then (V, q) has the following polar decomposition

$$V = V^+ \oplus V_0 \oplus V^-,$$

where $V_0 = (V^+ \oplus V^-)^\perp$ is an F-anisotropic kernel of (V, q). We choose an orthogonal basis $\{e'_1, \dots, e'_{\mathfrak{d}_0}\}$ of V_0 with the property that

$$q(e'_i, e'_i) = d_i,$$

where d_i is nonzero for all $1 \leq i \leq \mathfrak{d}_0$. Set $G_{d_0} = \mathrm{Isom}(V_0, q)^\circ$ with $d_0 = [\frac{\mathfrak{d}_0}{2}]$, which is anisotropic over F and is regarded as an F-subgroup of G_n.

We put the above bases together in the following order to form a basis of (V, q):

$$e_1, \dots, e_{\mathfrak{r}}, e'_1, \dots, e'_{\mathfrak{d}_0}, e_{-\mathfrak{r}}, \dots, e_{-1}, \tag{2.1}$$

and fix the following full isotropic flag in (V, q):

$$\mathrm{Span}\{e_1\} \subset \mathrm{Span}\{e_1, e_2\} \subset \cdots \subset \mathrm{Span}\{e_1, \dots, e_{\mathfrak{r}}\},$$

which defines a minimal parabolic F-subgroup P_0. Moreover, P_0 contains a maximal F-split torus S, consisting of elements

$$\mathrm{diag}\{t_1, \dots, t_{\mathfrak{r}}, 1, \dots, 1, t_{\mathfrak{r}}^{-1}, \dots, t_1^{-1}\},$$

with $t_i \in F^\times$ for $i = 1, 2, \cdots, \mathfrak{r}$. Then the centralizer $Z(S)$ in G_n is $\mathrm{Res}_{E/F} S \times G_{d_0}$, the Levi subgroup of P_0, where $\mathrm{Res}_{E/F} S$ is the Weil restriction of S from E to F. Then P_0 has the Levi decomposition:

$$P_0 = (\mathrm{Res}_{E/F} S \times G_{d_0}) \ltimes N_0$$

where N_0 is the unipotent radical of P_0. Also, with respect to the order of the basis in (2.1), the group G_n is also defined by the following symmetric matrix:

$$J_{\mathfrak{r}}^{\mathfrak{n}} = \begin{pmatrix} & & 1 \\ & J_{\mathfrak{r}-1}^{\mathfrak{n}-2} & \\ 1 & & \end{pmatrix}_{\mathfrak{n} \times \mathfrak{n}} \quad \text{and} \quad J_0^{\mathfrak{d}_0} = \mathrm{diag}\{d_1, \dots, d_{\mathfrak{d}_0}\} \tag{2.2}$$

as defined inductively.

Let ${}_F\Phi(G_n, S)$ be the root system of G_n over F. Let ${}_F\Phi^+(G_n, S)$ be the positive roots corresponding to the minimal parabolic F-subgroup P_0, and ${}_F\Delta = \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{\mathfrak{r}}\}$ be a set of simple roots in ${}_F\Phi^+(G_n, S)$. When G_n is an orthogonal group, the root system ${}_F\Phi(G_n, S)$ is of type

$B_{\mathfrak{r}}$ unless $\mathfrak{n} = 2\mathfrak{r}$, in which case it is of type $D_{\mathfrak{r}}$. When G_n is a unitary group, the root system ${}_F\Phi(G_n, S)$ is non-reduced of type $BC_{\mathfrak{r}}$ if $2\mathfrak{r} < \mathfrak{n}$; otherwise, ${}_F\Phi(G_n, S)$ is of type $C_{\mathfrak{r}}$.

For a subset $J \subset \{1, \ldots, \mathfrak{r}\}$, let ${}_F\Phi_J$ be the root subsystem of ${}_F\Phi(G_n, S)$ generated by the simple roots $\{\alpha_j : j \in J\}$. Let $P_J = M_J U_J$ be the standard parabolic F-subgroup of G_n, whose Lie algebra consists of all roots spaces $\mathfrak{g}_\alpha$ with $\alpha \in {}_F\Phi^+(G_n, S) \cup {}_F\Phi_J$. For instance, if set $\hat{i} := \{1, \ldots, \mathfrak{r}\} \setminus \{i\}$, then $P_{\hat{i}} = M_{\hat{i}} U_{\hat{i}}$ is the standard maximal parabolic F-subgroup of G_n, which stabilizes the rational isotropic space V_i^+, where $V_i^\pm := \mathrm{Span}\{e_{\pm 1}, \ldots, e_{\pm i}\}$. Here $U_{\hat{i}}$ is the unipotent radical of $P_{\hat{i}}$ and the Levi subgroup $M_{\hat{i}}$ is isomorphic to $G_{E/F}(i) \times G_{n-i}$. Following the notation of [3] and [67], $G_{E/F}(i) := \mathrm{Res}_{E/F}\mathrm{GL}_i$ denotes the Weil restriction of E-group GL_i restricted to F. Write $V_{(i)} = (V_i^+ \oplus V_i^-)^\perp$ and hence $V_{(\mathfrak{r})} = V_0$ is the F-anisotropic kernel of (V, q).

We recall simply from [17] the classification of pure inner F-forms of F-quasisplit classical groups G_n^* for a local field and then for a number field.

For a local field F of characteristic zero, we recall the notion of a *relevant pair* of classical groups. As above, we let $G_n := \mathrm{Isom}(V, q)^\circ$ be defined for an $\mathfrak{n}$-dimensional non-degenerate space (V, q) with $n = [\frac{\mathfrak{n}}{2}]$. Take an $\mathfrak{m}$-dimensional non-degenerate subspace (W, q) of (V, q) with the property that the orthogonal complement $(W^\perp, q)$ is F-split and has an odd dimension. Define $H_m := \mathrm{Isom}(W, q)^\circ$ with $m = [\frac{\mathfrak{m}}{2}]$. By [17, Section 2], the pair (G_n, H_m) forms a *relevant* pair.

If $G'_n := \mathrm{Isom}(V', q')^\circ$ and $H'_m := \mathrm{Isom}(W', q')^\circ$ form another relevant pair, and if G'_n and H'_m are pure inner F-form of G_n and H_m, respectively, the product $G'_n \times H'_m$ is defined to be *relevant* to the product $G_n \times H_m$ if the orthogonal complement $((W')^\perp, q')$ is equivalent to the orthogonal complement $(W^\perp, q)$, as Hermitian vector spaces. From [17, Lemma 2.2, Part (i)], one can have an easy list of all F-relevant pairs (G_n, H_m) whose product $G_n \times H_m$ is relevant to the F-quasisplit product $G_n^* \times H_m^*$.

For a number field F, G_n is a pure inner F-form of an F-quasisplit G_n^* if at every local place ν, G_n is a pure inner F_ν-form of G_n^*. The notion of *relevance* is defined in the same way. We will come back to this in Section 3 when we discuss Vogan packets and the Gan-Gross-Prasad conjectures.

2.2. **Discrete spectrum and Arthur packets.** For a reductive algebraic group G defined over F, denote by $\mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{disc}}(G)$ the set of equivalence classes of irreducible unitary representations π of $G(\mathbb{A})$ occurring in the discrete spectrum $L^2_{\mathrm{disc}}(G)$ of $L^2(G(F)\backslash G(\mathbb{A})^1)$, when π is restricted to

$G(\mathbb{A})^1$. Also denote by $\mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{cusp}}(G)$ for the subset of $\mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{disc}}(G)$, whose elements occur in the cuspidal spectrum $L^2_{\mathrm{cusp}}(G)$. The theory of endoscopic classification for classical groups G_n is to parameterize the set $\mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{disc}}(G_n)$ by means of the global Arthur parameters, which can be realized as certain automorphic representations of general linear groups. We recall from the work of Arthur ([3]), the work of Mok ([67]) and the work of Kaletha, Minguez, Shin, and White ([50]) the theory for the (special) orthogonal groups and the unitary groups considered in this paper.

First, we take an F-quasisplit classical group G_n^*, of which G_n is a pure inner F-form. Both G_n^* and G_n share the same L-group ${}^LG_n^* = {}^LG_n$. Define $\mathfrak{n}^\vee$ to be $\mathfrak{n}$ if G_n is a unitary group or an even special orthogonal group; and to be $\mathfrak{n}-1$ if G_n is an odd special orthogonal group. This number $\mathfrak{n}^\vee$ is denoted by N in [3], [67] and [50].

Following [3], [67] and [50], we denote by $\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}_{\mathrm{sim}}(N)$ (with $N=\mathfrak{n}^\vee$) the set of the equivalence classes of simple twisted endoscopic data. Each member in $\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}_{\mathrm{sim}}(N)$ is represented by a triple (G,s,ξ), where G is an F-quasisplit classical group, s is a semi-simple element as described in [3, Page 11] and [67, Page 16], and ξ is the L-embedding

$$
{}^LG \to {}^LG_{E/F}(N).
$$

Note that when G is an F-quasisplit unitary group, the L-embedding $\xi = \xi_{\chi_\kappa}$ depends on $\kappa = \pm 1$. As in [67, Page 18], for a simple twisted endoscopic datum $(\mathrm{U}_{E/F}(N), \xi_{\chi_\kappa})$ of $G_{E/F}(N)$, the sign $(-1)^{N-1}\cdot\kappa$ is called the *parity* of the datum. The set of global Arthur parameters for G_n^* is denoted by $\widetilde{\Psi}_2(G_n^*,\xi)$, or simply by $\widetilde{\Psi}_2(G_n^*)$ if the L-embedding ξ is well understood in the discussion.

In order to explicate the structure of the parameters in $\widetilde{\Psi}_2(G_n^*,\xi)$, we first recall from [3] and [67] the description of the conjugate self-dual, elliptic, global Arthur parameters for $G_{E/F}(N)$, the set of which is denoted by $\widetilde{\Psi}_{\mathrm{ell}}(N)$. We refer to [3], [67] and also [50] for detailed discussion about general global Arthur parameters. The elements of $\widetilde{\Psi}_{\mathrm{ell}}(N)$ are denoted by ψ^N, which have the form

$$
\psi^N = \psi_1^{N_1} \boxplus \cdots \boxplus \psi_r^{N_r} \tag{2.3}
$$

with $N = \sum_{i=1}^r N_i$. The formal summands $\psi_i^{N_i}$ are *simple* parameters of the form

$$
\psi_i^{N_i} = \mu_i \boxtimes \nu_i
$$

with $N_i = a_i b_i$, where $\mu_i = \tau_i \in \mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{cusp}}(G_{E/F}(a_i))$ and ν_i is a b_i-dimensional representation of $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})$. Following the notation used in our previous paper [37], we also denote

$$\psi_i^{N_i} = (\tau_i, b_i)$$

for $i = 1, 2, \cdots, r$. The global parameter ψ^N is called *elliptic* if the decomposition of ψ^N into the simple parameters is of multiplicity free, i.e. $\psi_i^{N_i}$ and $\psi_j^{N_j}$ are not equivalent if $i \neq j$ in the sense that either τ_i is not equivalent to τ_j or $b_i \neq b_j$. The elliptic global parameter ψ^N is called *conjugate self-dual* if each simple parameter $\psi_i^{N_i}$ occurs in the decomposition of ψ^N is conjugate self-dual in the sense that τ_i is conjugate self-dual. An irreducible cuspidal automorphic representation τ of $G_{E/F}(a)$ is called *conjugate self-dual* if $\tau \cong \tau^*$, where $\tau^* = \iota(\tau)^\vee$ the contragredient of $\iota(\tau)$ with ι being the non-trivial element in $\Gamma_{E/F}$ if $E \neq F$; otherwise, $\iota = 1$. A global parameter ψ^N in $\widetilde{\Psi}_{\mathrm{ell}}(N)$ is called *generic* if $b_i = 1$ for $i = 1, 2, \cdots, r$. The set of generic, conjugate self-dual, elliptic, global Arthur parameters for $G_{E/F}(N)$ is denoted by $\widetilde{\Phi}_{\mathrm{ell}}(N)$. Hence elements ϕ in $\widetilde{\Phi}_{\mathrm{ell}}(N)$ are of the form:

$$\phi^N = (\tau_1, 1) \boxplus \cdots \boxplus (\tau_r, 1). \tag{2.4}$$

When $r = 1$, the parameters are called *simple*. The corresponding sets are denoted by $\widetilde{\Psi}_{\mathrm{sim}}(N)$ and $\widetilde{\Phi}_{\mathrm{sim}}(N)$, respectively. It is clear that the set $\widetilde{\Phi}_{\mathrm{sim}}(N)$ is in one-to-one correspondence with the set of equivalence classes of the conjugate self-dual, irreducible cuspidal automorphic representations of $G_{E/F}(N)(\mathbb{A}_F)$. By [3, Theorem 1.4.1] and [67, Theorem 2.4.2], for a simple parameter $\phi = \phi^a = (\tau, 1)$ in $\widetilde{\Phi}_{\mathrm{sim}}(a)$ there exists a unique endoscopic datum $(G_\phi, s_\phi, \xi_\phi)$, such that the parameter ϕ^a descends to a global parameter for (G_ϕ, ξ_ϕ) in sense that there exists an irreducible automorphic representation π in $\mathcal{A}_2(G_\phi)$, whose Satake parameters are determined by the Satake parameters of ϕ^a.

When $E \neq F$, $G_\phi = \mathrm{U}_{E/F}(a)$ is a unitary group, the L-embedding carries a sign κ_a, which determines the nature of the *base change* from the unitary group $\mathrm{U}_{E/F}(a)$ to $G_{E/F}(a)$. By [67, Theorem 2.5.4], the (partial) L-function

$$L(s, (\tau, 1), \mathrm{As}^{\eta_{(\tau,1)}})$$

has a (simple) pole at $s = 1$ with the sign $\eta_{(\tau,1)} = \kappa_a \cdot (-1)^{a-1}$ (see also [17, Theorem 8.1] and [67, Lemma 2.2.1]). Then the irreducible cuspidal automorphic representation τ or equivalently the simple generic parameter $(\tau, 1)$ is called *conjugate orthogonal* if $\eta_{(\tau,1)} = 1$ and *conjugate symplectic* if $\eta_{(\tau,1)} = -1$, following the terminology of [17, Section 3] and [67, Section 2]. Here $L^S(s, (\tau, 1), \mathrm{As}^+)$ is the (partial) Asai L-function of τ and $L^S(s, (\tau, 1), \mathrm{As}^-)$ is the (partial) Asai L-function of

$\tau \otimes \omega_{E/F}$, where $\omega_{E/F}$ is the quadratic character associated to E/F by the global class field theory.

The sign of a simple global Arthur parameter $\psi = \psi^{ab} = (\tau, b) \in \widetilde{\Psi}_2(ab)$ can be calculated following [67, Section 2.4]. Fix the sign κ_a as before for the endoscopic datum $(\mathrm{U}_{E/F}(a), \xi_{\chi_{\kappa_a}})$, the sign of $(\tau, 1)$ is

$$\eta_{(\tau,1)} = \eta_\tau = \kappa_a(-1)^{a-1}.$$

Hence the sign of (τ, b) is given by

$$\eta_{(\tau,b)} = \kappa_a(-1)^{a-1+b-1} = \kappa_a(-1)^{a+b} = \eta_\tau(-1)^{b-1}.$$

As in [67, Equation (2.4.9)], define

$$\kappa_{ab} := \kappa_a(-1)^{ab-a-b+1}.$$

Then $\kappa_{ab}(-1)^{ab-1} = \eta_\tau(-1)^{b-1} = \eta_{(\tau,b)}$ and hence $\kappa_{ab} = \eta_\tau(-1)^{(a-1)b}$, which gives the endoscopic datum $(\mathrm{U}_{E/F}(ab), \xi_{\kappa_{ab}})$. More generally, for an elliptic parameter ψ^N as in (2.3), following from [67, Section 2.1], each simple parameter $\psi_i^{N_i}$ determines the simple twisted endoscopic datum $(\mathrm{U}_{E/F}(N_i), \xi_{\chi_{\kappa_i}})$ with

$$\kappa_i = (-1)^{N-N_i} = \eta_{\tau_i}(-1)^{(a_i-1)b_i},$$

and hence determines the parity of the $\tau_i \in \mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{cusp}}(G_{E/F}(a_i))$ for the simple parameter $\psi_i^{N_i} = (\tau_i, b_i)$.

When $E = F$, the notion of conjugate self-dual becomes just self-dual in the usual sense. A self-dual $\tau \in \mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{cusp}}(a)$ is called *of symplectic type* if the (partial) exterior square L-function $L^S(s, \tau, \wedge^2)$ has a (simple) pole at $s = 1$; otherwise, τ is called *of orthogonal type*. In the latter case, the (partial) symmetric square L-function $L^S(s, \tau, \mathrm{sym}^2)$ has a (simple) pole at $s = 1$.

More generally, from [3, Section 1.4] and [67, Section2.4], for any parameter ψ^N in $\widetilde{\Psi}_{\mathrm{ell}}(N)$, there is a twisted elliptic endoscopic datum $(G, s, \xi) \in \widetilde{\mathcal{E}}_{\mathrm{ell}}(N)$ such that the set of the global parameters $\widetilde{\Psi}_2(G, \xi)$ can be identified as a subset of $\widetilde{\Psi}_{\mathrm{ell}}(N)$. We refer to [3, Section 1.4], [67, Section2.4], and [50, Section 1.3] for more constructive description of the parameters in $\widetilde{\Psi}_2(G, \xi)$. The elements of $\widetilde{\Psi}_2(G_n^*, \xi)$, with $N = \mathfrak{n}^\vee$ and $n = [\frac{\mathfrak{n}}{2}]$, are of the form

$$\psi = (\tau_1, b_1) \boxplus \cdots \boxplus (\tau_r, b_r). \tag{2.5}$$

Here $N = N_1 + \cdots + N_r$ and $N_i = a_i \cdot b_i$, and $\tau_i \in \mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{cusp}}(G_{E/F}(a_i))$ and b_i represents the b_i-dimensional representation of $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})$. Note that each simple parameter $\psi_i = (\tau_i, b_i)$ belongs to $\widetilde{\Psi}_2(G_{n_i}^*, \xi_i)$ with $n_i = [\frac{\mathfrak{n}_i}{2}]$ and $N_i = \mathfrak{n}_i^\vee$, for $i = 1, 2, \cdots, r$; and for $i \neq j$, ψ_i is not equivalent to ψ_j. The parity for τ_i and b_i is discussed as above. The subset of generic elliptic global Arthur parameters in $\widetilde{\Psi}_2(G_n^*,\xi)$ is denoted by $\widetilde{\Phi}_2(G_n^*,\xi)$, whose elements are in the form of (2.4). The following is a simplified version of the endoscopic classification for classical groups established in [3], [67], and [50].

Theorem 2.1 (Endoscopic Classification)**.** *For any $\pi \in \mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{disc}}(G_n)$, there is a G_n-relevant global Arthur parameter $\psi \in \widetilde{\Psi}_2(G_n^*,\xi)$, such that π belongs to the global Arthur packet, $\widetilde{\Pi}_\psi(G_n)$, attached to the global Arthur parameter ψ.*

Following [3], [67] and [50], when G_n is *not* an even special orthogonal group, the multiplicity of $\pi \in \mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{disc}}(G_n)$ realizing in the discrete spectrum $L^2_{\mathrm{disc}}(G_n)$ is one. However, when G_n is an even special orthogonal group, the discrete multiplicity of $\pi \in \mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{disc}}(G_n)$ may be two. In the following, we may have to fix a realization of $\pi \in \mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{disc}}(G_n)$ in the discrete spectrum $L^2_{\mathrm{disc}}(G_n)$, which will be denoted by $\mathcal{C}_\pi$, especially when the discrete multiplicity of π is two.

Recall the notation from the definition of [3, Chapter 8] that

$$\widetilde{\mathrm{O}}(G_n) := \widetilde{\mathrm{Out}}_N(G_n) := \widetilde{\mathrm{Aut}}_N(G_n)/\widetilde{\mathrm{Int}}_N(G_n) \tag{2.6}$$

is regarded as the diagonal subgroup of $\widetilde{\mathrm{Out}}_N(G_n(\mathbb{A}))$. When G_n is an even special orthogonal group, one may take $\varepsilon \in \mathrm{O}_{2n}(F)$ with $\det\varepsilon = -1$ and $\varepsilon^2 = I_{2n}$, , such that the action of $\widetilde{\mathrm{O}}(G_n)$ on π can be realized as the ε-conjugate on π, i.e., $\pi^\varepsilon(g) = \pi(\varepsilon g\varepsilon^{-1})$. Hence the $\widetilde{\mathrm{O}}(G_n)$-orbit of π has one or two elements. If $\widetilde{\mathrm{O}}(G_n)$ acts freely on π, following the notation in [3], we denote the $\widetilde{\mathrm{O}}(G_n)$-torsor of π by $\{\pi,\pi_\star\}$. When G_n is not an even special orthogonal group, the group $\widetilde{\mathrm{O}}(G_n)$ is trivial, so is its action. Hence in this case, the $\widetilde{\mathrm{O}}(G_n)$-orbit of π contains only π itself.

When G_n is an even special orthogonal group, an elliptic global Arthur parameter ψ^N as in (2.3) may descend to two different global Arthur parameters ψ and $\psi_\star$ for G_n, which form an $\widetilde{\mathrm{O}}(G_n)$-orbit. If the $\widetilde{\mathrm{O}}(G_n)$-orbit of ψ^N is an $\widetilde{\mathrm{O}}(G_n)$-torsor $\{\psi,\psi_\star\}$, then they define different global Arthur packets and different global Vogan packets. However, following [3], their tensor product L-functions with any cuspidal automorphic representations of general linear groups are the same. We refer to Chapter 8 of [3] and Section 6 of [5] for more detailed discussion.

In the rest of this paper, when we say that ψ^N is a global Arthur parameter of an even special orthogonal group G_n, we really mean that ψ^N is identified with either ψ or $\psi_\star$, through a specific twisted endoscopic datum.

2.3. **Fourier coefficients and partitions.** For an F-quasisplit classical group G_n^* defined by an $\mathfrak{n}$-dimensional non-degenerate space (V^*, q^*) with the Witt index $n = [\frac{\mathfrak{n}}{2}]$, the relation between Fourier coefficients of automorphic forms φ of $G_n^*(\mathbb{A})$ and the partitions of type $(\mathfrak{n}, G_n^*)$ has been discussed with details in [37] and also in [38]. We denote by $\mathcal{P}(\mathfrak{n}, G_n^*)$ the set of all partitions of type $(\mathfrak{n}, G_n^*)$. The set $\mathcal{P}(\mathfrak{n}, G_n^*)$ parameterizes the set of all F-stable nilpotent adjoint orbits in the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}_n^*(F)$ of $G_n^*(F)$, and hence each partition $\underline{p} \in \mathcal{P}(\mathfrak{n}, G_n^*)$ defines an F-stable nilpotent adjoint orbit $\mathcal{O}_{\underline{p}}^{\mathrm{st}}$. For an F-rational orbit $\mathcal{O}_{\underline{p}} \in \mathcal{O}_{\underline{p}}^{\mathrm{st}}$, the datum $(\underline{p}, \mathcal{O}_{\underline{p}})$ determines a datum $(V_{\underline{p}}, \psi_{\mathcal{O}_{\underline{p}}})$ for defining Fourier coefficients as explained in [37] and [38]. Here $V_{\underline{p}}$ is a unipotent subgroup of G_n^* and $\psi_{\mathcal{O}_{\underline{p}}}$ is a non-degenerate character of $V_{\underline{p}}(\mathbb{A})$, which is trivial on $V_{\underline{p}}(F)$ and determined by a given non-trivial character ψ_F of $F\backslash\mathbb{A}$.

For an automorphic form φ on $G_n^*(\mathbb{A})$, the $\psi_{\mathcal{O}_{\underline{p}}}$-Fourier coefficient of φ is defined by the following integral:

$$\mathcal{F}^{\psi_{\mathcal{O}_{\underline{p}}}}(\varphi)(g) := \int_{V_{\underline{p}}(F)\backslash V_{\underline{p}}(\mathbb{A})} \varphi(vg)\psi_{\mathcal{O}_{\underline{p}}}^{-1}(v)dv. \tag{2.7}$$

Let $N_{G_n^*}(V_{\underline{p}})^{\mathrm{ss}}$ be the connected component of the semi-simple part of the normalizer of the subgroup $V_{\underline{p}}$ in G_n^*. Define

$$H^{\mathcal{O}_{\underline{p}}} := \mathrm{Cent}_{N_{G_n^*}(V_{\underline{p}})^{\mathrm{ss}}}(\psi_{\mathcal{O}_{\underline{p}}})^{\circ}, \tag{2.8}$$

the identity connected component of the stabilizer. It is clear that the $\psi_{\mathcal{O}_{\underline{p}}}$-Fourier coefficient of φ, $\mathcal{F}^{\psi_{\mathcal{O}_{\underline{p}}}}(\varphi)(g)$, is left $H^{\mathcal{O}_{\underline{p}}}(F)$-invariant, smooth when restricted on $H^{\mathcal{O}_{\underline{p}}}(\mathbb{A})$, and of moderate growth on a Siegel set of $H^{\mathcal{O}_{\underline{p}}}(\mathbb{A})$.

For any $\pi \in \mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{disc}}(G_n^*)$, we denote by $\mathcal{C}_\pi$ a realization of π in the discrete spectrum $L^2_{\mathrm{disc}}(G_n^*)$. We define $\mathcal{F}^{\mathcal{O}_{\underline{p}}}(\mathcal{C}_\pi)$ (or simply $\mathcal{F}^{\mathcal{O}_{\underline{p}}}(\pi)$ when no confusion is caused) to be the space spanned by all $\mathcal{F}^{\psi_{\mathcal{O}_{\underline{p}}}}(\varphi_\pi)$ with φ_π running in the space of $\mathcal{C}_\pi$, and call $\mathcal{F}^{\mathcal{O}_{\underline{p}}}(\mathcal{C}_\pi)$ a $\psi_{\mathcal{O}_{\underline{p}}}$-*Fourier module* of π. We note that if the discrete multiplicity of π is one, it has a unique $\psi_{\mathcal{O}_{\underline{p}}}$-Fourier module. For a given $\pi \in \mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{disc}}(G_n^*)$, we denote by $\mathfrak{p}(\mathcal{C}_\pi)$ (or simply $\mathfrak{p}(\pi)$) the subset of $\mathcal{P}(\mathfrak{n}, G_n^*)$ consisting all partitions $\underline{p}$ with the property that the $\psi_{\mathcal{O}_{\underline{p}}}$-Fourier module, $\mathcal{F}^{\mathcal{O}_{\underline{p}}}(\mathcal{C}_\pi)$, is nonzero for some choice of the F-rational orbit $\mathcal{O}_{\underline{p}}$ in the F-stable orbit $\mathcal{O}_{\underline{p}}^{\mathrm{st}}$, and denote by $\mathfrak{p}^m(\pi)$ (short for $\mathfrak{p}^m(\mathcal{C}_\pi)$) the subset of all maximal members in $\mathfrak{p}(\pi)$. In the rest of this paper, we may write $\mathcal{F}^{\mathcal{O}_{\underline{p}}}(\pi)$ to be $\mathcal{F}^{\mathcal{O}_{\underline{p}}}(\mathcal{C}_\pi)$ and $\mathfrak{p}^m(\pi)$ to be $\mathfrak{p}^m(\mathcal{C}_\pi)$ for a discrete realization $\mathcal{C}_\pi$ of π.

For a pure inner F-form G_n of G_n^*, a partition $\underline{p}$ in the set $\mathcal{P}(\mathfrak{n}, G_n^*)$ is called *G_n-relevant* if the unipotent subgroup $V_{\underline{p}}$ of G_n as algebraic groups over the algebraic closure $\overline{F}$ is actually defined over F. We denote by $\mathcal{P}(\mathfrak{n}, G_n^*)_{G_n}$ the subset of the set $\mathcal{P}(\mathfrak{n}, G_n^*)$ consisting of all G_n-relevant partitions of type $(\mathfrak{n}, G_n^*)$. It is easy to see that the above discussion about Fourier coefficients and Fourier modules can be applied to all $\pi \in \mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{disc}}(G_n)$ and all $\underline{p} \in \mathcal{P}(\mathfrak{n}, G_n^*)_{G_n}$, without change.

Following R. Howe ([32] and [33]), N. Kawanaka ([51]), Mœglin and Waldspurger ([62]), and Mœglin ([58]), one expects that the partitions $\underline{p}$ in $\mathfrak{p}^m(\pi)$, the F-rational orbits $\mathcal{O}_{\underline{p}}$ in the F-stable orbits $\mathcal{O}_{\underline{p}}^{\mathrm{st}}$, and the automorphic spectrum of the Fourier modules $\mathcal{F}^{\mathcal{O}_{\underline{p}}}(\pi)$ as representations of $H^{\mathcal{O}_{\underline{p}}}(\mathbb{A})$ carry fundamental information about the given automorphic representation π of $G_n(\mathbb{A})$. However, it is usually not easy to obtain explicit information about those data from the given π. In reality, we may consider certain special pieces of those data which may already carry enough information for us to understand the given representation π in the theory discussed in this paper.

We consider a family of partitions of type $(\mathfrak{n}, G_n^*)$, which leads to the so called Bessel-Fourier coefficients of automorphic forms on $G_n(\mathbb{A})$. These partitions are of the form

$$\underline{p}_\ell = [(2\ell+1)1^{\mathfrak{n}-2\ell-1}]. \tag{2.9}$$

They are of type $(\mathfrak{n}, G_n^*)$. The partition $\underline{p}_\ell$ is G_n-relevant if ℓ is less than or equal to the F-rank $\mathfrak{r}$ of G_n. For example, if G_n is F-anisotropic, then the only G_n-relevant partition is the trivial partition $\underline{p}_0 = [1^{\mathfrak{n}}]$. For $\pi \in \mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{disc}}(G_n)$, and for a partition $\underline{p}_\ell \in \mathcal{P}(\mathfrak{n}, G_n^*)_{G_n}$, the Fourier module $\mathcal{F}^{\mathcal{O}_{\underline{p}_\ell}}(\pi)$ will be called the *ℓ-th Bessel module* of π. As explained before, the ℓ-th Bessel module $\mathcal{F}^{\mathcal{O}_{\underline{p}_\ell}}(\pi)$ consists of moderately increasing automorphic functions on $H^{\mathcal{O}_{\underline{p}_\ell}}(\mathbb{A})$, and is a representation of $H^{\mathcal{O}_{\underline{p}_\ell}}(\mathbb{A})$ by the right translation.

To simplify the notation, we set $\psi_{\mathcal{O}_\ell} := \psi_{\mathcal{O}_{\underline{p}_\ell}}$, $H^{\mathcal{O}_\ell} := H^{\mathcal{O}_{\underline{p}_\ell}}$, and $\mathcal{F}^{\mathcal{O}_\ell}(\pi) := \mathcal{F}^{\mathcal{O}_{\underline{p}_\ell}}(\pi)$. In this case, the F-algebraic group $H^{\mathcal{O}_\ell}$ is the classical group $H_{\ell^-}^{\mathcal{O}_\ell} = \mathrm{Isom}(W^{\mathcal{O}_\ell}, q)^\circ$, where $(W^{\mathcal{O}_\ell}, q)$ is an $\mathfrak{l}^-$-dimensional non-degenerate subspace of (V, q) with the properties:

- $\mathfrak{l}^- = \mathfrak{n} - 2\ell - 1$ and $\ell^- = [\frac{\mathfrak{l}^-}{2}]$,
- the product $G_n \times H_{\ell^-}^{\mathcal{O}_\ell}$ is relevant in the sense of the Gan-Gross-Prasad conjecture ([17]), and
- the product $G_n \times H_{\ell^-}^{\mathcal{O}_\ell}$ is a pure inner F-form of an F-quasisplit $G_n^* \times H_{\ell^-}^*$.

We refer to Section 2.4 for more detailed discussion. One may extend the proof of [25, Theorem 7.3] to the current case and prove the cuspidality of the maximal Bessel module of π.

Proposition 2.2 (Cuspidality of Bessel Modules)**.** *For any π belonging to $\mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{cusp}}(G_n)$ with a cuspidal realization $\mathcal{C}_\pi$, the ℓ-th Bessel module $\mathcal{F}^{\mathcal{O}_\ell}(\mathcal{C}_\pi)$ of $\mathcal{C}_\pi$ enjoys the following property: There exists an integer ℓ_0 in $\{0,1,\cdots,\mathfrak{r}\}$, where $\mathfrak{r}$ is the F-rank of G_n, such that*

1. *the ℓ_0-th Bessel module $\mathcal{F}^{\mathcal{O}_{\ell_0}}(\mathcal{C}_\pi)$ of $\mathcal{C}_\pi$ is nonzero, but for any $\ell \in \{0,1,\cdots,\mathfrak{r}\}$ with $\ell > \ell_0$, the ℓ-th Bessel module $\mathcal{F}^{\mathcal{O}_\ell}(\mathcal{C}_\pi)$ is identically zero; and*
2. *the ℓ_0-th Bessel module $\mathcal{F}^{\mathcal{O}_{\ell_0}}(\mathcal{C}_\pi)$ is cuspidal in the sense that its constant terms along all the parabolic subgroups of $H^{\mathcal{O}_{\ell_0}}_{\ell_0^-}$ are zero.*

We note that when the cuspidal multiplicity of π is two, the index ℓ_0 of π in Proposition 2.2 may depend on a particular realization $\mathcal{C}_\pi$ of π in the cuspidal spectrum $L^2_{\mathrm{cusp}}(G_n)$. Hence we write $\ell_0 = \ell_0(\mathcal{C}_\pi)$ to be a *first occurrence index* of π. Of course, if the cuspidal multiplicity of π is one, π has the unique first occurrence index, which may be written as $\ell_0 = \ell_0(\pi)$.

By Proposition 2.2, for any $\pi \in \mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{cusp}}(G_n)$, the ℓ_0-th Bessel module $\mathcal{F}^{\mathcal{O}_{\ell_0}}(\pi)$, or more precisely, $\mathcal{F}^{\mathcal{O}_{\ell_0}}(\mathcal{C}_\pi)$, as a representation of $H^{\mathcal{O}_{\ell_0}}_{\ell_0^-}(\mathbb{A})$, is nonzero and can be embedded as a submodule in the cuspidal spectrum $L^2_{\mathrm{cusp}}(H^{\mathcal{O}_{\ell_0}}_{\ell_0^-})$, and hence can be written as the following Hilbert direct sum of irreducible cuspidal automorphic representations of $H^{\mathcal{O}_{\ell_0}}_{\ell_0^-}(\mathbb{A})$:

$$\mathcal{F}^{\mathcal{O}_{\ell_0}}(\pi) = \sigma_1 \oplus \sigma_2 \oplus \cdots \oplus \sigma_t \oplus \cdots \tag{2.10}$$

where all $\sigma_i \in \mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{cusp}}(H^{\mathcal{O}_{\ell_0}}_{\ell_0^-})$. By the uniqueness of local Bessel models for classical groups ([2], [72], [17] and [43]), it is easy to deduce that the decomposition (2.10) is of multiplicity free. Furthermore, we have the following conjecture.

Conjecture 2.3 (Generic Summand)**.** *Assume that $\pi \in \mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{cusp}}(G_n)$ has a G_n-relevant, generic global Arthur parameter $\phi \in \widetilde{\Phi}_2(G_n^*)$. Then there exists a cuspidal realization $\mathcal{C}_\pi$ of π in $L^2_{\mathrm{cusp}}(G_n)$ with the first occurrence index $\ell_0 = \ell_0(\mathcal{C}_\pi)$, such that there exists an F-rational orbit $\mathcal{O}_{\ell_0} = \mathcal{O}_{\underline{p}_{\ell_0}}$ in the F-stable orbits $\mathcal{O}^{\mathrm{st}}_{\underline{p}_{\ell_0}}$ associated to the partition $\underline{p}_{\ell_0}$ with the* **Generic Summand Property:** *There exists at least one σ in $\mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{cusp}}(H^{\mathcal{O}_{\ell_0}}_{\ell_0^-})$ with an $H^{\mathcal{O}_{\ell_0}}_{\ell_0^-}$-relevant, generic global Arthur parameter*

ϕ_σ in $\widetilde{\Phi}_2(H^*_{\ell_0^-})$, and with a cuspidal realization $\mathcal{C}_\sigma$ of σ in $L^2_{\mathrm{cusp}}(H^{\mathcal{O}_{\ell_0}}_{\ell_0^-})$, such that the L^2-inner product

$$\left\langle \mathcal{F}^{\psi_{\mathcal{O}_{\ell_0}}}(\varphi_\pi), \varphi_\sigma \right\rangle_{H^{\mathcal{O}_{\ell_0}}_{\ell_0^-}}$$

in the Hilbert space $L^2_{\mathrm{cusp}}(H^{\mathcal{O}_{\ell_0}}_{\ell_0^-})$ is nonzero for some $\varphi_\pi \in \mathcal{C}_\pi$ and $\varphi_\sigma \in \mathcal{C}_\sigma$.

We may regard the *Generic Summand Conjecture* (Conjecture 2.3) as a natural extension of the Burger-Sarnak principle ([12] and [11]), and the Arthur-Berger-Sarnak principle or *ABS principle* of Clozel in [14]. For example, when G_n is F-anisotropic, we must have the index $\ell_0 = 0$. In this case, we have that $\ell_0^- = [\frac{\mathfrak{n}-1}{2}]$, and $H^{\mathcal{O}_{\ell_0}}_{\ell_0^-}$ is also F-anisotropic. It follows that

$$\mathcal{F}^{\psi_{\mathcal{O}_{\ell_0}}}(\varphi_\pi)|_{H^{\mathcal{O}_{\ell_0}}_{\ell_0^-}(\mathbb{A})} = \varphi_\pi|_{H^{\mathcal{O}_{\ell_0}}_{\ell_0^-}(\mathbb{A})},$$

which is an automorphic version of the classical problem of symmetric breaking. Hence the Generic Summand Conjecture asks for the similar structure as the Burger-Sarnak principle or the Arthur-Berger-Sarnak principle does. It is worthwhile to note that in [82], there are interesting examples of this nature obtained through a simple relative trace formula approach.

In Section 7.3, we consider the situation that a cuspidal automorphic member π in $\widetilde{\Pi}_\phi(G_n)$ has the property that $\mathfrak{p}^m(\pi) = \{\underline{p}_{\mathrm{subr}}\}$, where $\underline{p}_{\mathrm{subr}}$ is the partition associated to the subregular nilpotent orbit, and prove in Proposition 7.4 that Conjecture 2.3 holds for this case. Further discussions on the Generic Summand Conjecture: its variants and applications can be found in our work ([45], [46], and [47]).

2.4. **On rationality of $H^{\mathcal{O}_\ell}_{\ell^-}$.** We are going to make more explicit the parametrization of the F-rational orbits $\mathcal{O}_\ell$ in the F-stable orbit $\mathcal{O}^{\mathrm{st}}_{\underline{p}_\ell}$ for the family of partitions $\underline{p}_\ell$, which define the family of Bessel modules. This yields more explicit structure about the groups $H^{\mathcal{O}_\ell}_{\ell^-}$.

For the partition $\underline{p}_\ell = [(2\ell+1)1^{\mathfrak{n}-2\ell-1}]$ of type $(\mathfrak{n}, G_n^*)$, which is G_n-relevant, the unipotent subgroup $V_\ell = V_{\underline{p}_\ell}$ of G_n can be chosen to consist of all unipotent elements of the form:

$$V_\ell = \left\{ v = \begin{pmatrix} z & y & x \\ & I_{\mathfrak{n}-2\ell} & y' \\ & & z^* \end{pmatrix} \in G_n \mid z \in Z_\ell \right\}, \tag{2.11}$$

where Z_ℓ is the standard maximal (upper-triangular) unipotent subgroup of $G_{E/F}(\ell)$. It follows that the F-rational nilpotent orbits $\mathcal{O}_\ell$ in the F-stable nilpotent orbit $\mathcal{O}^{\mathrm{st}}_{\underline{p}_\ell}$ are in one to one correspondence with the $G_{E/F}(1)\times G_{n-\ell}$-orbits of F-anisotropic vectors in $(E^{\mathfrak{n}-2\ell}, q)$, viewed as a subspace of (V, q). Hence the generic character $\psi_{\mathcal{O}_\ell}$ of $V_\ell(\mathbb{A})$ may also be explicitly defined as follows. Fix a nontrivial character ψ_F of $F\backslash\mathbb{A}$ and define a character ψ_E of $E\backslash\mathbb{A}_E$ by

$$\psi_E(x) := \begin{cases} \psi_F(x) & \text{if } E = F; \\ \psi_F(\frac{1}{2}\mathrm{tr}_{E/F}(\frac{x}{\sqrt{\varsigma}})) & \text{if } E = F(\sqrt{\varsigma}). \end{cases}$$

Consider the following identification:

$$V_\ell/[V_\ell, V_\ell] \cong \oplus_{i=1}^{\ell-1}\mathfrak{g}_{\alpha_i} \oplus E^{\mathfrak{n}-2\ell}.$$

Let w_0 be an anisotropic vector in $(E^{\mathfrak{n}-2\ell}, q)$ and define a character ψ_{ℓ,w_0} of $V_\ell(\mathbb{A}_F)$ by

$$\psi_{\mathcal{O}_\ell}(v) = \psi_{\ell,w_0}(v) := \psi_E(\sum_{i=1}^{\ell-1} z_{i,i+1} + q(y_\ell, w_0)), \tag{2.12}$$

where y_ℓ is the last row of y as defined in (2.11). The Levi subgroup of $\mathcal{P}_{\{\ell+1,\dots,\mathfrak{r}\}}$ normalizes the unipotent subgroup V_ℓ and acts on the set of such defined characters ψ_{ℓ,w_0}. The group $H^{\mathcal{O}_\ell}_{\ell^-} = H^{w_0}_{\ell^-}$ is the identity connected component of the stabilizer of ψ_{ℓ,w_0}, which is give by

$$\left\{ \begin{pmatrix} I_\ell & & \\ & \gamma & \\ & & I_\ell \end{pmatrix} \in G_n \mid \gamma J_{\mathfrak{n}-2\ell} w_0 = J_{\mathfrak{n}-2\ell} w_0 \right\}, \tag{2.13}$$

where $\ell^- = [\frac{\mathfrak{l}^-}{2}]$ with $\mathfrak{l}^- := \mathfrak{n} - 2\ell - 1$. As introduced in Section 2.1, we may write $V_{(\ell)} = E^{\mathfrak{n}-2\ell}$ and view $(V_{(\ell)}, q)$ as a non-degenerate subspace of (V, q) under the natural embedding. Hence the group $H^{\mathcal{O}_\ell}_{\ell^-} = H^{w_0}_{\ell^-}$ can also be identified as $\mathrm{Isom}(V_{(\ell)} \cap w_0^\perp, q)^\circ$. Write $W_{\mathfrak{l}^-} := V_{(\ell)} \cap w_0^\perp$ so that $(W_{\mathfrak{l}^-}, q)$ is an $\mathfrak{l}^-$-dimensional non-degenerate subspace of (V, q). It follows that the dimension $\mathfrak{d}_0^-$ of its anisotropic kernel of the space $(W_{\mathfrak{l}^-}, q)$ is $\mathfrak{d}_0 \pm 1$, depending on the choice of w_0. Note that $(W_{\mathfrak{l}^-}, q)$ is isometric to $(W^{\mathcal{O}_\ell}, q)$ as introduced in Section 2.3. Define $\mathfrak{r}^-$ to be the Witt index of $(W_{\mathfrak{l}^-}, q)$, which equals $\mathfrak{r} - \ell$ or $\mathfrak{r} - \ell - 1$, depending on $\mathfrak{d}_0^- = \mathfrak{d}_0 - 1$ or $\mathfrak{d}_0^- = \mathfrak{d}_0 + 1$, respectively.

For further explicit calculation, we may take the representative w_0 of the F-anisotropic vectors corresponding to the F-rational nilpotent orbits $\mathcal{O}_\ell$ in the F-stable nilpotent orbit $\mathcal{O}^{\mathrm{st}}_{\underline{p}_\ell}$ as follows. The representative w_0 is an F-anisotropic vector in the space $(E^{\mathfrak{n}-2\ell}, q)$, which defines the character ψ_{ℓ,w_0}. Under the action of the product $G_{E/F}(1)\times G_{n-\ell}$ on the space $(E^{\mathfrak{n}-2\ell},q)$, in particular, on the set of F-anisotropic vectors w_0, we may choose, if $\ell<\mathfrak{r}$,

$$w_0 = y_\kappa = e_{\mathfrak{r}} + (-1)^{\mathfrak{n}+1}\frac{\kappa}{2}e_{-\mathfrak{r}} \tag{2.14}$$

for some $\kappa\in F^\times$, using the following lemma.

Lemma 2.4. *If the Witt index of $(V_{(\ell)},q)$ is not zero, i.e. if $\ell<\mathfrak{r}$, then there exists an element g in $G_{\mathfrak{n}-2\ell}(F)=\mathrm{Isom}(V_{(\ell)},q)^\circ(F)$ such that*

$$g\cdot w_0 = e_{\mathfrak{r}} + (-1)^{\mathfrak{n}+1}\frac{\kappa}{2}e_{-\mathfrak{r}}$$

for some $\kappa\in F^\times$.

Proof. The proof is straightforward. We omit the details here. □

It is clear that if $\ell=\mathfrak{r}$, then the subspace $(E^{\mathfrak{n}-2\mathfrak{r}},q)$ is F-anisotropic, and hence the choice of the F-anisotropic vector w_0 is not sensitive. The structure of $H_{\ell^-}^{\mathcal{O}_\ell}$ is summarized in the following proposition.

Proposition 2.5. *For the partition $\underline{p}_\ell$, let $\mathcal{O}_\ell$ in $\mathcal{O}_{\underline{p}_\ell}^{\mathrm{st}}$ be determined by the F-anisotropic vector w_0 as in Lemma 2.4. Then the classical group $H_{\ell^-}^{\mathcal{O}_\ell}=H_{\ell^-}^{w_0}$ is defined by an $\mathfrak{l}^-$-dimensional non-degenerate subspace $(W_{\mathfrak{l}^-},q)$ of (V,q) with a $(\mathfrak{d}_0-1)$-dimensional F-anisotropic kernel if $y_{-\kappa}$ belongs to the $G_{E/F}(1)\times G_{n-\ell}$-orbit of a nonzero vector in the F-anisotropic kernel (V_0,q) of (V,q); and is defined by an $\mathfrak{l}^-$-dimensional non-degenerate subspace $(W_{\mathfrak{l}^-},q)$ of (V,q) with a $(\mathfrak{d}_0+1)$-dimensional F-anisotropic kernel if $y_{-\kappa}$ does not belong to the $G_{E/F}(1)\times G_{n-\ell}$-orbit of any nonzero vector in the F-anisotropic kernel (V_0,q).*

Following the explicit discussions on pure inner forms of F-quasisplit classical groups in [17], it is easy to obtain the following proposition.

Proposition 2.6. *Let H_m^* be an F-quasisplit classical group as introduced in Section 2.1. For any pure inner F-form H_m of H_m^*, there exist*

- *a classical group G_n defined over F that is a pure inner form of an F-quasisplit classical group G_n^*, and*
- *a datum $(\underline{p}_\ell,\mathcal{O}_\ell)$ for the Fourier coefficients for automorphic forms on $G_n(\mathbb{A})$,*

such that $m=\ell^-$ and $H_m\cong H_{\ell^-}^{\mathcal{O}_\ell}$. Moreover, the product $G_n\times H_m$ is a relevant pure inner form of the F-quasisplit $G_n^*\times H_m^*$ in the sense of the Gan-Gross-Prasad conjecture.

We will recall the Gan-Gross-Prasad conjecture and related notions in Section 3.

3. On the Gan-Gross-Prasad conjecture

We recall the Gan-Gross-Prasad conjecture from [17] for the cases considered in this paper. The local version of the Gan-Gross-Prasad conjecture was proved for p-adic local fields by Waldspurger and by Mœglin and Waldspurger in a series of papers (see [77] and [65], for instance) for orthogonal groups, while the archimedean case is still in progress. However, over the real number field $\mathbb{R}$, for the rank-one special orthogonal groups $(\mathrm{SO}(n+1,1), \mathrm{SO}(n,1))$, a proof of the local Gan-Gross-Prasad conjecture is announced by Kobayashi and Speh for the tempered representations in [53]. For unitary groups, Beuzart-Plessis ([8] and [9]) proves the conjecture for tempered local L-parameters over all local fields, and in [29], H. He proves the conjecture for discrete representations over $\mathbb{R}$ via a different approach. The extension to the generic local L-parameters was obtained by Gan and Ichino ([19]). In the proof of the main conjecture (Conjecture 6.6), we need the local Gan-Gross-Prasad conjecture for generic local parameters at all local places as an input. In the process towards the proof of Conjecture 6.6, we are able to prove the global Gan-Gross-Prasad conjecture (with one direction having an extra assumption). This will be explained in Sections 5.3 and 6.3.

3.1. **Generic Arthur parameters.** We consider generic local Arthur parameters for the classical groups considered in this paper. This has been extensively discussed in [17] and in [65]. We recall the basics for the case of orthogonal groups, and refer to [19] for the case of unitary groups. Let $G_n^* = \mathrm{SO}(V^*, q^*)$ be the special orthogonal group defined by a non-degenerate, $\mathfrak{n}$-dimensional quadratic space (V^*, q^*) with $n = [\frac{\mathfrak{n}}{2}]$, which is F-quasisplit. We recall that the generic global Arthur parameters for G_n^* are of the form

$$\phi = (\tau_1, 1) \boxplus \cdots \boxplus (\tau_r, 1) \tag{3.1}$$

as in (1.2), where $\tau_1, \cdots, \tau_r$ are irreducible unitary cuspidal automorphic representations of $\mathrm{GL}_{a_1}(\mathbb{A}), \cdots, \mathrm{GL}_{a_r}(\mathbb{A})$, respectively, with required constraints to make ϕ a global Arthur parameter of G_n^*. As before, the set of generic global Arthur parameters of G_n^* is denoted by $\widetilde{\Phi}_2(G_n^*)$. It is known that the global Arthur packet $\widetilde{\Pi}_\phi(G_n^*)$ associated to a generic global Arthur parameter ϕ contains a generic member. We refer to [38, Theorem 3.3] for the detail.

With the assumption of the Ramanujan conjecture for general linear groups, at each local place ν of F, the localization ϕ_ν of the generic global Arthur parameter ϕ must be a tempered local L-parameter for $G_n^*(F_\nu)$. Hence with possible failure of the Ramanujan conjecture for general linear groups, one has to figure out the possible structure of the localization ϕ_ν of the generic global Arthur parameter ϕ. We recall from a work of Mœglin and Waldspurger ([65]) for special orthogonal groups and refer to [19] for the unitary group case.

For each local place ν of F, we denote by $\mathcal{W}_{F_\nu}$ the local Weil group of F_ν. The local Langlands group of F_ν, which is denoted by $\mathcal{L}_{F_\nu}$, is equal to the local Weil-Deligne group. Hence the local Langlands group $\mathcal{L}_{F_\nu}$, as usual, may be taken to be $\mathcal{W}_{F_\nu} \times \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})$ or equivalently $\mathcal{W}_{F_\nu} \times \mathrm{SU}(2)$ if ν is a finite local place, and to be the local Weil group $\mathcal{W}_{F_\nu}$ if ν is an archimedean local place. The local L-parameters for $G_n^*(F_\nu)$ are of the form

$$\phi_\nu \ : \ \mathcal{L}_{F_\nu} \to {}^L G_n^* \tag{3.2}$$

with the property that the restriction of ϕ_ν to the local Weil group $\mathcal{W}_{F_\nu}$ is Frobenius semisimple and trivial on an open subgroup of the inertia group $\mathcal{I}_{F_\nu}$ of F_ν, and the restriction to $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})$ is algebraic. By the local Langlands conjecture for general linear groups ([56], [31] and [28]), the localization ϕ_ν at a local place ν of F of a generic global Arthur parameter ϕ is a local L-parameter, for which there exists a datum $(L_\nu^*, \phi_\nu^{L^*}, \underline{\beta})$ with the following properties:

(1) L_ν^* is a Levi subgroup of $G^*(F_\nu)$ of the form

$$L_\nu^* = \mathrm{GL}_{n_1} \times \cdots \times \mathrm{GL}_{n_t} \times G_{n_0}^*,$$

where $\mathrm{GL}_{n_1}, \cdots, \mathrm{GL}_{n_t}$ and $G_{n_0}^*$ depend on the local place ν,

(2) ϕ^{L^*} is a local L-parameter of L^* given by

$$\phi^{L_\nu^*} := \phi_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \phi_t \otimes \phi_0 \ : \ \mathcal{L}_{F_\nu} \to {}^L L^*,$$

where ϕ_j is a local tempered L-parameter of GL_{n_j} for $j = 1, 2, \cdots, t$, and ϕ_0 is a local tempered L-parameter of $G_{n_0}^*$, with dependence on the local place ν,

(3) $\underline{\beta} := (\beta_1, \cdots, \beta_t) \in \mathbb{R}^t$, such that $\beta_1 > \beta_2 > \cdots > \beta_t > 0$, which is also dependent of the local place ν.

With the given datum, following [65], which is expressed in terms of the parabolic induction, one can write

$$\phi_\nu = (\phi_1 \otimes |\cdot|_\nu^{\beta_1}) \otimes \cdots \otimes (\phi_t \otimes |\cdot|_\nu^{\beta_t}) \otimes \phi_0.$$

Using the notation of [3], the set of such local L-parameters is denoted by $\widetilde{\Phi}^+_{\mathrm{unit}}(G_n^*(F_\nu))$. Following [3] and also [65], the local L-packets can be formed for all local L-parameters ϕ_ν as displayed above, and are denoted by $\widetilde{\Pi}_{\phi_\nu}(G_n^*)$. All the members in such local L-packets are irreducible and unitary. It is clear that the localization ϕ_ν of a generic global Arthur parameter ϕ is a generic local L-parameter according the definition in [65] since there exists a generic member in the local L-packet $\widetilde{\Pi}_{\phi_\nu}(G_n^*)$. Hence, following [65], the local Gan-Gross-Prasad conjecture can be formulated for the localization ϕ_ν of all generic global Arthur parameters ϕ in $\widetilde{\Phi}_2(G_n^*)$, which will be discussed in the following section.

3.2. **On the local Gan-Gross-Prasad conjecture.** The local Gan-Gross-Prasad conjecture was explicitly formulated in [17] for general classical groups. We discuss the case of orthogonal groups with details and refer the unitary group case to [17] and [8], [9], and [19] for the details.

Assume that in this section, F is a local field of characteristic zero. Recall that an F-quasisplit special orthogonal group $G_n^* = \mathrm{SO}(V^*, q^*)$ and its pure inner F-forms $G_n = \mathrm{SO}(V, q)$ share the same L-group ${}^LG_n^*$. As explained in [17, Section 7], if the dimension $\mathfrak{n} = \dim V = \dim V^*$ is odd, one may take $\mathrm{Sp}_{\mathfrak{n}-1}(\mathbb{C})$ to be the L-group ${}^LG_n^*$, and if the dimension $\mathfrak{n} = \dim V = \dim V^*$ is even, one may take $\mathrm{O}_{\mathfrak{n}}(\mathbb{C})$ to be ${}^LG_n^*$ when $\mathrm{disc}(V^*)$ is not a square in $F^\times$ and take $\mathrm{SO}_{\mathfrak{n}}(\mathbb{C})$ to be ${}^LG_n^*$ when $\mathrm{disc}(V^*)$ is a square in $F^\times$.

For a relevant pair $G_n = \mathrm{SO}(V, q)$ and $H_m = \mathrm{SO}(W, q)$, and an F-quasisplit relevant pair $G_n^* = \mathrm{SO}(V^*, q^*)$ and $H_m^* = \mathrm{SO}(W^*, q^*)$ as recalled in Section 2.1 from [17], we are going to discuss the local Langlands parameters for the group $G_n^* \times H_m^*$ and its relevant pure inner F-form $G_n \times H_m$. As in Section 3.1, we use $\mathcal{L}_F$ to denote the local Langlands group associated to F. We only consider the local Langlands parameters that satisfy the three properties in Section 3.1:

$$\phi \ : \ \mathcal{L}_F \to {}^LG_n^* \times {}^LH_m^*. \tag{3.3}$$

Hence they are the localization of the generic global Arthur parameters for the product of the F-quasisplit relevant pair G_n^* and H_m^*. The set of such local Langlands parameters is denoted by $\widetilde{\Phi}^+_{\mathrm{unit}}(G_n^* \times H_m^*)$. As in Section 3.1, each local L-parameter ϕ in $\widetilde{\Phi}^+_{\mathrm{unit}}(G_n^* \times H_m^*)$ defines a local L-packet $\widetilde{\Pi}_\phi(G_n^* \times H_m^*)$. For any relevant pure inner F-form $G_n \times H_m$, if a parameter $\phi \in \widetilde{\Phi}^+_{\mathrm{unit}}(G_n^* \times H_m^*)$ is $G_n \times H_m$-relevant, it defines a local L-packet $\widetilde{\Pi}_\phi(G_n \times H_m)$, as in Section 3.1, following [3] and [65]. If a parameter $\phi \in \widetilde{\Phi}^+_{\mathrm{unit}}(G_n^* \times H_m^*)$ is not $G_n \times H_m$-relevant, the corresponding local L-packet $\widetilde{\Pi}_\phi(G_n \times H_m)$ is defined to be the empty set. The local Vogan packet for a local Langlands parameter ϕ belonging to $\widetilde{\Phi}^+_{\mathrm{unit}}(G_n^* \times H_m^*)$ is defined to be the union of the local L-packets $\widetilde{\Pi}_\phi(G_n \times H_m)$ over all pure inner F-forms $G_n \times H_m$ of the

F-quasisplit group $G_n^*\times H_m^*$, and is denoted by

$$\widetilde{\Pi}_\phi[G_n^*\times H_m^*]. \tag{3.4}$$

In order to state the local Gan-Gross-Prasad conjecture and relevant progress, we have to introduce the local analogue of the Fourier coefficients as introduced in Section 2.3, which is usually called the local Bessel models. For a given relevant pair (G_n,H_m), take a partition of the form: $\underline{p}_\ell=[(2\ell+1)1^{\mathfrak{n}-2\ell+1}]$, where $2\ell+1=\dim W^\perp=\mathfrak{n}-\mathfrak{m}$. The F-stable nilpotent orbit $\mathcal{O}^{\mathrm{st}}_{\underline{p}_\ell}$ corresponding to the partition $\underline{p}_\ell$ defines a unipotent subgroup $V_{\underline{p}_\ell}$ and a generic character $\psi_{\mathcal{O}_\ell}$ associated to any F-rational orbit $\mathcal{O}_\ell$ in the F-stable orbit $\mathcal{O}^{\mathrm{st}}_{\underline{p}_\ell}$. According to the discussion in Section 2.4, there is an F-rational orbit $\mathcal{O}_\ell$ in the F-stable orbit $\mathcal{O}^{\mathrm{st}}_{\underline{p}_\ell}$, such that the subgroup $H_m=H^{\mathcal{O}_\ell}_{\ell^-}$ normalizes the unipotent subgroup $V_{\underline{p}_\ell}$ and stabilizes the character $\psi_{\mathcal{O}_\ell}$. We define the following subgroup of G_n:

$$R_{\mathcal{O}_\ell}:=H_m\ltimes V_{\underline{p}_\ell}=H^{\mathcal{O}_\ell}_{\ell^-}\ltimes V_{\underline{p}_\ell}.$$

Let π be an irreducible admissible representation of $G_n(F)$ and σ be an irreducible admissible representation of $H_m(F)$. The local functionals we considered belong to the following Hom-space

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{R_{\mathcal{O}_\ell}(F)}(\pi\otimes\sigma,\psi_{\mathcal{O}_\ell}). \tag{3.5}$$

This is usually called the space of local Bessel functionals. The uniqueness of local Bessel functionals asserts that

$$\dim\mathrm{Hom}_{R_{\mathcal{O}_\ell}(F)}(\pi\otimes\sigma,\psi_{\mathcal{O}_\ell})\le 1.$$

This was proved in [2], [72], [17], and [43]. The stronger version in terms of local Vogan packets for more general classical groups is given as follows.

Conjecture 3.1. *Let G_n^* and H_m^* be a relevant pair of F-quasisplit classical groups. For a given local L-parameter ϕ in $\widetilde{\Phi}^+_{\mathrm{unit}}(G_n^*\times H_m^*)$, the following identity holds:*

$$\sum_{\pi\otimes\sigma\in\widetilde{\Pi}_\phi[G_n^*\times H_m^*]}\dim\mathrm{Hom}_{R_{\mathcal{O}_\ell}(F)}(\pi\otimes\sigma,\psi_{\mathcal{O}_\ell})=1. \tag{3.6}$$

The known cases of Conjecture 3.1 can be summarized as follows.

Theorem 3.2. *Conjecture 3.1 holds for the following cases:*

1. *the relevant orthogonal group pair G_n^* and H_m^* over a p-adic local field F, by Mœglin and Waldspurger in* [65] *for generic local L-parameters;*

(2) *the relevant unitary group pair* G_n^* *and* H_m^* *over a* p*-adic local field* F *or over the real number field* $\mathbb{R}$*, by Beuzart-Plessis in* [8] *and* [9] *for tempered local* L*-parameters; and*

(3) *the relevant unitary group pair* G_n^* *and* H_m^* *over a* p*-adic local field* F*, extended by Gan and Ichino in* [19] *to generic local* L*-parameters.*

We remark that over the real number field $\mathbb{R}$, H. He proves in [29] the local Gan-Gross-Prasad conjecture for discrete representations via a different approach; and Kobayashi and Speh prove it in [53] for the tempered representations when (G, H) is $(\mathrm{SO}(n+1,1), \mathrm{SO}(n,1))$, a pair of the rank-one special orthogonal groups $(\mathrm{SO}(n+1,1), \mathrm{SO}(n,1))$.

4. Bessel Periods and Global Zeta Integrals

4.1. **Global zeta integrals.** The global zeta integrals that we are going to study are defined for the following three families of classical groups:

(1) $G_b = \mathrm{SO}_{2b+1}(V, q)$ and $H_c = \mathrm{SO}_{2c}(W, q)$, such that the product $G_b \times H_c$ is a relevant pure inner form of an F-quasisplit $G_b^* \times H_c^*$ over F.

(2) $G_b = \mathrm{SO}_{2b}(V, q)$ and $H_c = \mathrm{SO}_{2c+1}(W, q)$, such that the product $G_b \times H_c$ is a relevant pure inner form of an F-quasisplit $G_b^* \times H_c^*$ over F.

(3) $G_b = \mathrm{U}_{\mathfrak{b}}(V, q)$ and $H_c = \mathrm{U}_{\mathfrak{c}}(W, q)$ with $\mathfrak{b}$ and $\mathfrak{c}$ being of different parity and $b = [\frac{\mathfrak{b}}{2}]$ and $c = [\frac{\mathfrak{c}}{2}]$, such that the product $G_b \times H_c$ is a relevant pure inner form of an F-quasisplit $G_b^* \times H_c^*$.

In the following, we use the notation that $G_\star = \mathrm{Isom}(V, q)^\circ$ and $H_\square = \mathrm{Isom}(W, q)^\circ$, such that $G_\star \times H_\square$ is a relevant pure inner form of an F-quasisplit $G_\star^* \times H_\square^*$.

We note that the global zeta integrals considered in this paper extend what were studied for F-quasisplit groups by the authors in [44], which generalizes the work of Ginzburg, Piatetski-Shapiro and Rallis in [24]. In order to formulate the families of global zeta integrals, we take τ to be an irreducible unitary automorphic representation of $G_{E/F}(a)(\mathbb{A}_F)$ of the following isobaric type:

$$\tau = \tau_1 \boxplus \tau_2 \boxplus \cdots \boxplus \tau_r, \tag{4.1}$$

where $\tau_i \in \mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{cusp}}(G_{E/F}(a_i))$, $\sum_{i=1}^r a_i = a$, and $\tau_i \not\cong \tau_j$ if $i \neq j$. For the discussion of global zeta integrals in this section, we may only assume that τ is generic. This means that some τ_i and τ_j could be equivalent. Take $H_m = \mathrm{Isom}(W, q)^\circ$ with $\dim W = \mathfrak{m}$ and $m = [\frac{\mathfrak{m}}{2}]$. Let σ be an irreducible automorphic representation of $H_m(\mathbb{A})$. Note that we may not assume the cuspidality of σ in this section.

Let $M_{\hat{a}} = G_{E/F}(a) \times H_m$ be an F-Levi subgroup of H_{a+m}, so that $P_{\hat{a}} = M_{\hat{a}}U_{\hat{a}}$ is a standard parabolic F-subgroup of H_{a+m}. Following from Section I.1.4 in [64], we denote $X_{M_{\hat{a}}}$ to be the group of continuous homomorphisms of $M_{\hat{a}}(\mathbb{A})$ into $\mathbb{C}^\times$ which are trivial on $M_{\hat{a}}^1 := \cap_{\chi\in\mathrm{Hom}(M_{\hat{a}},\mathbb{G}_m)} \ker|\chi|$. Since the parabolic subgroup $P_{\hat{a}}$ is maximal, the $\mathbb{C}$-vector space $X_{M_{\hat{a}}}$ is one-dimensional. As in Section 2.2 in [44], for any $s \in \mathbb{C}$, we define that $\lambda_s(m,h) := |\det m|_{\mathbb{A}_F}^s$ for $(m,h) \in G_{E/F}(a)(\mathbb{A}) \times H_m(\mathbb{A})$. It is clear that $\lambda_s \in X_{M_{\hat{a}}}$. For any

$$\phi = \phi_{\tau\otimes\sigma} \in \mathcal{A}(U_{\hat{a}}(\mathbb{A})M_{\hat{a}}(F)\backslash H_{a+m}(\mathbb{A}))_{\tau\otimes\sigma}. \tag{4.2}$$

we form the associated Eisenstein series to be

$$E(h,\phi,s) = E(h,\phi_{\tau\otimes\sigma},s) = \sum_{\delta\in P_{\hat{a}}(F)\backslash H_{a+m}(F)} \lambda_s\phi(\delta g). \tag{4.3}$$

Note that the character λ_s is normalized as in [68]. The theory of Langlands on Eisenstein series ([55] and [64]) shows that $E(h,\phi,s)$ converges absolutely for $\mathrm{Re}(s)$ large, has meromorphic continuation to the complex plane $\mathbb{C}$, and defines an automorphic form on $H_{a+m}(\mathbb{A})$ when s is not a pole.

Take a family of H_{a+m}-relevant partitions $\underline{p}_\ell = [(2\ell+1)1^{\mathfrak{m}+2a-2\ell-1}]$ of type $(\mathfrak{m}+2a, H_{a+m}^*)$, with $\ell \le a+\mathfrak{r}_\mathfrak{m}$, where $\mathfrak{r}_\mathfrak{m} := \mathfrak{r}(H_m)$ is the F-rank of H_m and is the Witt index of $\mathfrak{m}$-dimensional non-degenerate space (W,q) that defines H_m. We define the Bessel-Fourier coefficient of the Eisenstein series $E(h,\phi,s)$ on $H_{a+m}(\mathbb{A})$:

$$\mathcal{F}^{\psi_{\ell,w_0}}(E(\cdot,\phi,s))(h) := \int_{N_\ell(F)\backslash N_\ell(\mathbb{A})} E(nh,\phi,s)\psi_{\ell,w_0}^{-1}(n)\,\mathrm{d}n, \tag{4.4}$$

where the unipotent subgroup N_ℓ of H_{a+m} determined by the partition $\underline{p}_\ell$ is similar to the unipotent subgroup V_ℓ of G_n considered in Section 2.3. We use N_ℓ in this section in order to match the notation used in [44], since we have to recall from there some technical computations of the global zeta integrals.

As in Lemma 2.4, one may choose the representative w_0 that defines the character ψ_{ℓ,w_0} for Fourier coefficient, as in (2.14):

$$w_0 = y_\kappa = e_{a+\mathfrak{r}_\mathfrak{m}} + (-1)^{\mathfrak{m}+1}\frac{\kappa}{2}e_{-(a+\mathfrak{r}_\mathfrak{m})} \tag{4.5}$$

for some $\kappa \in F^\times$. Following Proposition 2.6, we have that $m^- = [\frac{\mathfrak{m}^-}{2}]$ and $\mathfrak{m}^- := 2a+\mathfrak{m}-2\ell-1$ and $\ell < a+\mathfrak{r}_\mathfrak{m}$, and that the stabilizer $G_{m^-}^{w_0}$ and the subgroup H_m form a relevant pair in the sense of the Gan-Gross-Prasad conjecture (see Section 3). Of course, when $\ell = a+\mathfrak{r}_\mathfrak{m}$, the representative w_0 is any F-anisotropic vector in the F-anisotropic kernel (W_0, q) of (W, q). It is clear that the pair $(G^{w_0}_{m^-}, H_m)$ is relevant in this case. Note that in this case, we must have

$$\mathfrak{m}^- = 2a + \mathfrak{m} - 2\ell - 1 = \mathfrak{d}_0(W) - 1,$$

and the group $G^{w_0}_{m^-}$ is F-anisotropic.

As in [44] we define the following semi-direct product of subgroups:

$$R^{w_0}_\ell := G^{w_0}_{m^-} \ltimes N_\ell. \tag{4.6}$$

For an automorphic form $\varphi_{2a+\mathfrak{m}}$ on $H_{a+m}(\mathbb{A})$, and an automorphic form $\varphi_{\mathfrak{m}^-}$ on $G^{w_0}_{m^-}(\mathbb{A})$, we define the Bessel period by

$$\mathcal{P}^{\psi_{\ell,w_0}}(\varphi_{2a+\mathfrak{m}}, \varphi_{\mathfrak{m}^-}) := \int_{G^{w_0}_{m^-}(F)\backslash G^{w_0}_{m^-}(\mathbb{A})} \mathcal{F}^{\psi_{\ell,w_0}}(\varphi_{2a+\mathfrak{m}})(g)\varphi_{\mathfrak{m}^-}(g)\,\mathrm{d}g. \tag{4.7}$$

It is absolutely convergent if one of the automorphic forms $\varphi_{2a+\mathfrak{m}}$ and $\varphi_{\mathfrak{m}^-}$ is cuspidal. In fact, following [64, Chapter 2], the Fourier coefficients of an automorphic form with moderate growth is still of moderate growth on the stabilizer of the character that defines the Fourier coefficients. Moreover, if an automorphic form is rapidly decreasing on a Siegel set, then its Bessel-Fourier coefficient is also rapidly decreasing on a Siegel set of the stabilizer of the character that defines the Bessel-Fourier coefficient. We refer to [25, Lemma 10.1], [6, Lemma 2.1] and [7] (and also [44, Proposition 2.1]) for details. Otherwise, some regularization may be needed to define this integral in (4.7). We define the L^2-inner product of automorphic functions φ_1 and φ_2 over $G^{w_0}_{m^-}(\mathbb{A})$ by

$$\langle \varphi_1, \varphi_2 \rangle_{G^{w_0}_{m^-}} := \int_{G^{w_0}_{m^-}(F)\backslash G^{w_0}_{m^-}(\mathbb{A})} \varphi_1(x)\overline{\varphi}_2(x)\,\mathrm{d}x \tag{4.8}$$

assuming it converges, where $\overline{\varphi}(x) = \overline{\varphi(x)}$ defines the complex conjugation of the function φ.

For $\pi \in \mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{cusp}}(G^{w_0}_{m^-})$, the *global zeta integral* $\mathcal{Z}(s, \phi_{\tau\otimes\sigma}, \varphi_\pi, \psi_{\ell,w_0})$, as in (2.17) of [44], is defined by the following Bessel period:

$$\mathcal{Z}(s, \varphi_\pi, \phi_{\tau\otimes\sigma}, \psi_{\ell,w_0}) := \mathcal{P}^{\psi_{\ell,w_0}}(E(\phi_{\tau\otimes\sigma}, s), \varphi_\pi), \tag{4.9}$$

where the Bessel period is written in our convention in this paper by means of the L^2-inner product as follows:

$$\mathcal{P}^{\psi_{\ell,w_0}}(E(\phi_{\tau\otimes\sigma}, s), \varphi_\pi) = \left\langle \varphi_\pi, \overline{\mathcal{F}^{\psi_{\ell,w_0}}(E(\phi_{\tau\otimes\sigma}, s))} \right\rangle_{G^{w_0}_{m^-}}.$$

As given in Proposition 2.1 of [44], $\mathcal{Z}(s, \phi_{\tau\otimes\sigma}, \varphi_\pi, \psi_{\ell,w_0})$ converges absolutely and hence is holomorphic at s where the Eisenstein series $E(h, \phi, s)$ has no poles.

4.2. **Calculation of Fourier coefficients of Eisenstein series.** We first extend Proposition 3.3 in [44] to the general case considered in this section. This is to calculate the Fourier coefficient of the Eisenstein series as defined in (4.4). Since the calculation is very similar to that in the proof of [44, Proposition 3.3], we will not repeat every detail from there, but point out the key steps in the proof.

Under the assumption that $\mathrm{Re}(s)$ is large, we unfold the Eisenstein series, which leads us to consider the double coset decomposition $P_{\hat{a}}\backslash H_{a+m}/P_{\hat{\ell}}$. This decomposition corresponds to the double coset decomposition $W_{\hat{a}}\backslash W_{{}_F\Delta}/W_{\hat{\ell}}$. Here $W_{{}_F\Delta}$ is the Weyl group of H_{a+m} relative to F, which is generated by the simple reflections s_α for $\alpha \in {}_F\Delta$. Similarly, $W_{\hat{a}}$ is the subgroup of $W_{{}_F\Delta}$ generated by the simple reflections s_α for $\alpha \in {}_F\Delta \smallsetminus \{\alpha_a\}$, so is $W_{\hat{\ell}}$.

We discuss the group H_{a+m} in the following four cases:

(1) If H_{a+m} is the quasi-split even unitary group (i.e. $\mathfrak{m} = 2\mathfrak{r}_\mathfrak{m}$ and $E = F(\sqrt{\varsigma})$), then $W_{{}_F\Delta} = W(C_{a+\mathfrak{r}_\mathfrak{m}})$;
(2) If H_{a+m} is a unitary group, but not a quasi-split even unitary group (i.e. $2\mathfrak{r}_\mathfrak{m} < \mathfrak{m}$ and $E = F(\sqrt{\varsigma})$), then $W_{{}_F\Delta} = W(B_{a+\mathfrak{r}_\mathfrak{m}})$;
(3) If H_{a+m} is the split even special orthogonal group (i.e. $\mathfrak{m} = 2\mathfrak{r}_\mathfrak{m}$ and $E = F$), then $W_{{}_F\Delta} = W(D_{a+\mathfrak{r}_\mathfrak{m}})$;
(4) If H_{a+m} is a special orthogonal group but not a split even special orthogonal group (i.e. $2\mathfrak{r}_\mathfrak{m} < \mathfrak{m}$ and $E = F$), then $W_{{}_F\Delta} = W(B_{a+\mathfrak{r}_\mathfrak{m}})$.

Here $W(X_{a+\mathfrak{r}_\mathfrak{m}})$ is the Weyl group of the split classical group of type X with rank $a + \mathfrak{r}_\mathfrak{m}$. Following from [44, Section 3.1], we put the double coset decomposition $W_{\hat{a}}\backslash W_{{}_F\Delta}/W_{\hat{\ell}}$ into three cases for discussions, i.e. **Case (1-1)**, **Case (2-1)** and **Case (2-2)**. Both **Case (2-1)** and **Case (2-2)** in [44, Section 3.1] are only for the split even special orthogonal groups. The result that we are to prove here has already been proved in [44]. Hence, we assume that H_{a+m} *is not the split even special orthogonal group*, which is **Case (1-1)** in [44, Section 3.1]. We extend below the proof for **Case (1-1)** in [44, Section 3.1] to the current general case considered in this section.

In this situation, the double coset decomposition $P_{\hat{a}}\backslash H_{a+m}/P_{\hat{\ell}}$ is in bijection parameterized by the set of pairs of nonnegative integers

$$\mathfrak{E}_{a,\ell} = \{(\alpha, \beta) \mid 0 \le \alpha \le \beta \le a \text{ and } a \le \ell + \beta - \alpha \le a + \mathfrak{r}_\mathfrak{m}\}.$$

The representatives $\epsilon_{\alpha,\beta}$ are chosen as in [25]. Let $\mathcal{N}_{\beta,\ell,w_0}$ be the set of representatives of $P_{\hat{\ell}}^{\epsilon_{0,\beta}}(F)\backslash P_{\hat{\ell}}(F)/R_\ell^{w_0}(F)$, where the group $R_\ell^{w_0}$ is defined as in (4.6), and $P_{\hat{\ell}}^{\epsilon_{0,\beta}}(F) := \epsilon_{0,\beta}^{-1} P_{\hat{a}} \epsilon_{0,\beta} \cap P_{\hat{\ell}}(F)$. Set

$$W_{\ell,i}^{\pm} = \mathrm{Span}_E\{e_{\pm(\ell+1)}, e_{\pm(\ell+2)}, \ldots, e_{\pm(\ell+i)}\} \tag{4.10}$$

for $1 \leq i \leq a+\mathfrak{r}_{\mathfrak{m}}-\ell$, which are totally isotropic subspaces of $(W_{\mathfrak{m}+2a}, q)$. Following the same argument in [44, Lemmas 3.1 and 3.2], we can prove that Proposition 3.3 in [44] also holds for the more general cases in this paper that H_{a+m} may not be F-quasisplit.

Proposition 4.1. *For* $\mathrm{Re}(s)$ *large, the Bessel-Fourier coefficient of the Eisenstein series as in* (4.4), $\mathcal{F}^{\psi_{\ell,w_0}}(E(\cdot,\phi_{\tau\otimes\sigma},s))(h)$, *is equal to*

$$\sum_{\epsilon_\beta}\sum_{\eta}\sum_{\delta}\int_{N_\ell^\eta(\mathbb{A})\backslash N_\ell(\mathbb{A})}\int_{N_\ell^\eta(F)\backslash N_\ell^\eta(\mathbb{A})}\lambda\phi(\epsilon_\beta\eta\delta unh)\psi_{\ell,w_0}^{-1}(un)\,\mathrm{d}u\,\mathrm{d}n,$$

where $N_\ell^\eta = N_\ell \cap \eta^{-1}P_{\hat{\ell}}^{\epsilon_{0,\beta}}\eta$ *and* $G_{m^-}^\eta := G_{m^-}^{w_0} \cap \gamma^{-1}P'_w\gamma$; *and the summations are over the following representatives:*

- $\epsilon_\beta = \epsilon_{0,\beta} \in \mathfrak{E}_{a,\ell}^0$, *which is the subset of* $\mathfrak{E}_{a,\ell}$ *consisting of elements with* $\alpha = 0$;
- $\eta = \mathrm{diag}(\epsilon,\gamma,\epsilon^*)$ *belongs to* $\mathcal{N}_{\beta,\ell,w_0}^0$, *which is the subset of* $\mathcal{N}_{\beta,\ell,w_0}$ *consisting of elements with* $\alpha = 0$, $\epsilon = \begin{pmatrix} & I_{\ell-t} \\ I_t & \end{pmatrix}$, *and* $t = a-\beta$, *and has the property that if* $\beta > \max\{a-\ell,0\}$, *then* γw_0 *is orthogonal to* $W_{\ell,\beta}^-$ *for* $\gamma \in P'_w(F)\backslash H_{a+m-\ell}(F)/G_{m^-}^{w_0}(F)$ *with* $P'_w = G_{m^-}^{w_0} \cap \epsilon_{0,\beta}^{-1}P_{\hat{a}}\epsilon_{0,\beta}$; *and*
- δ *belongs to* $G_{m^-}^\eta(F)\backslash G_{m^-}^{w_0}(F)$.

4.3. **Euler product decomposition.** Next, we apply the expression in Proposition 4.1 to the further calculation of the global zeta integral (4.9) and have

(4.11)

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathcal{Z}(s,\phi_{\tau\otimes\sigma},\varphi_\pi,\psi_{\ell,w_0})\\ =&\sum_{\epsilon_\beta;\eta}\int_{G_{m^-}^\eta(F)\backslash G_{m^-}^{w_0}(\mathbb{A})}\varphi_\pi(h)\int_n\int_{[N_\ell^\eta]}\lambda\phi(\epsilon_\beta\eta unh)\psi_{\ell,w_0}^{-1}(un)\,\mathrm{d}u\,\mathrm{d}n\,\mathrm{d}h,\end{aligned}$$

where the summation over ϵ_β and η is a finite sum and the integration $\int_n$ is over $N_\ell^\eta(\mathbb{A})\backslash N_\ell(\mathbb{A})$. Similar to [44, Lemma 3.4], for each $\eta = \mathrm{diag}(\epsilon,\gamma,\epsilon)$, if the stabilizer $G_{m^-}^\eta$ is a proper maximal F-parabolic subgroup of $G_{m^-}^{w_0}$, then the summand over such η vanishes due to the cuspidality of φ_π.

To precede the calculation, we need to study the double coset decomposition $P'_w\backslash H_{a+m-\ell}/G_{m^-}^{w_0}$ and extend the calculation in [44, Section 3.2] to the current setting. With the choice of the w_0, it is easy to see that the group $H_{a+m-\ell}$ has its F-rank no less than one. We may apply [25, Proposition 4.4] to the current situation.

Anyway, similar to Proposition 3.6 in [44], we still obtain the following expression for the global zeta integrals, which have two different forms according to the two cases: $a \leq \ell$ and $a > \ell$.

If $a \leq \ell$, we must have that $\beta = 0$, $\eta = \operatorname{diag}\{\epsilon, I_{\mathfrak{m}}, \epsilon^*\}$ with $\epsilon = \begin{pmatrix} & I_{\ell-a} \\ I_a & \end{pmatrix}$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{Z}(s, \phi_{\tau\otimes\sigma}, \varphi_\pi, \psi_{\ell,w_0}) = & \int_{G^{w_0}_{m^-}(F)\backslash G^{w_0}_{m^-}(\mathbb{A})} \varphi_\pi(h) \int_{N^{\eta}_{\ell}(\mathbb{A})\backslash N_\ell(\mathbb{A})} \\ & \int_{[N^{\eta}_{\ell}]} \lambda\phi(\epsilon_{0,\beta}\eta u n h)\psi^{-1}_{\ell,w_0}(un)\, \mathrm{d}u\, \mathrm{d}n\, \mathrm{d}h, \end{aligned} \tag{4.12}$$

where $[N^{\eta}_{\ell}] = N^{\eta}_{\ell}(F)\backslash N^{\eta}_{\ell}(\mathbb{A})$.

If $a > \ell$, we must have that $\beta = a - \ell$, $\eta = \operatorname{diag}\{I_\ell, \gamma_0, I_\ell\}$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{Z}(s, \phi_{\tau\otimes\sigma}, \varphi_\pi, \psi_{\ell,w_0}) = & \int_{G^{\eta}_{m^-}(F)\backslash G^{w_0}_{m^-}(\mathbb{A})} \varphi_\pi(h) \int_{N^{\eta}_{\ell}(\mathbb{A})\backslash N_\ell(\mathbb{A})} \\ & \int_{[N^{\eta}_{\ell}]} \lambda\phi(\epsilon_{0,\beta}\eta u n h)\psi^{-1}_{\ell,w_0}(un)\, \mathrm{d}u\, \mathrm{d}n\, \mathrm{d}h, \end{aligned} \tag{4.13}$$

where γ_0 is a representative in the open double coset of

$$P'_w(F)\backslash H_{a+m-\ell}(F)/G^{w_0}_{m^-}(F),$$

with the property that $\gamma_0 w_0$ is not orthogonal to $W^-_{\ell,\beta}$.

In order to continue the calculation, we have to recall the relevant calculations in [44] with replacement of notation used here. Section 4.4 will deal with the case of $a > \ell$ and hence is to work with the integral in (4.13). Section 4.5 will deal with the case of $a \leq \ell$ and hence is to work with the integral in (4.12).

4.4. **Case $a > \ell$.** We are studying the integral in (4.13). For convenience, we recall the the open coset $\epsilon_{0,\beta}$ with $\beta = a - \ell$ in Equation (4.14) in [25],

$$\epsilon_{0,\beta} = w_q^\ell \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 0 & I_{a-\ell} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & I_\ell \\ 0 & 0 & I_{\mathfrak{m}} & 0 & 0 \\ I_\ell & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & I_{a-\ell} & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{4.14}$$

Note that $w_q = I_{\mathfrak{m}+2a}$ if $E = F(\sqrt{\varsigma})$; $w_q = -I_{\mathfrak{m}+2a}$ if $E = F$ and $\mathfrak{m}$ is odd; $w_q = \operatorname{diag}\{I_{\frac{\mathfrak{m}}{2}+a-1}, w_q^0, I_{\frac{\mathfrak{m}}{2}+a-1}\}$ with $w_q^0 = \operatorname{diag}\{1, -1\}$ if $E = F$, $\mathfrak{m}$ is even and H_{a+m} is not split; and $w_q = \operatorname{diag}\{I_{\mathfrak{r}_{\mathfrak{m}}+a-1}, w_q^0, I_{\mathfrak{r}_{\mathfrak{m}}+a-1}\}$ with $w_q^0 = \begin{pmatrix} & 1 \\ 1 & \end{pmatrix}$ if $\mathfrak{m} = 2\mathfrak{r}_{\mathfrak{m}}$, $E = F$, and H_{a+m} is split.

Similar to Section 3.3 of [44], it is easy to calculate that N_ℓ^η consists of elements of the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} c & 0 & 0 & 0 & y_6 & 0 & 0 \\ & I_{\mathfrak{r}_\mathfrak{m}} & & & & & 0 \\ & & I_{a-\ell} & & & & y_6' \\ & & & I_{\mathfrak{m}-2\mathfrak{r}_\mathfrak{m}} & & & 0 \\ & & & & I_{a-\ell} & & 0 \\ & & & & & I_{\mathfrak{r}_\mathfrak{m}} & 0 \\ & & & & & & c^* \end{pmatrix} \in N_\ell$$

where $c \in Z_\ell$. By the conjugate of $\epsilon_{0,\beta}\eta$, the stabilizer $(\epsilon_{0,\beta}\eta)N_\ell^\eta(\epsilon_{0,\beta}\eta)^{-1}$ in $P_{\hat{a}}$ consists of elements of the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} I_{a-\ell} & y_6' & & & \\ & c^* & & & \\ & & I_\mathfrak{m} & & \\ & & & c & y_6 \\ & & & & I_{a-\ell} \end{pmatrix}.$$

It is also considered as a subgroup of $G_{E/F}(a)$, and is denoted by Z'_ℓ.

The first inner integral over $[N_\ell^\eta]$ in (4.13) is a Fourier coefficient taken on the subgroup $G_{E/F}(a)$ of the Levi $M_{\hat{a}}$, and is equal to

$$\phi_\lambda^{\psi_{Z'_\ell,\kappa}}(h) := \int_{[Z'_\ell]} \lambda\phi(\hat{z}'h)\psi_{Z'_\ell,\kappa}(z')\,\mathrm{d}z',$$

where $Z'_\ell \cong N_\ell^\eta$ and $z' = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} I_{a-\ell} & y \\ 0 & z \end{smallmatrix}\right)$, and $\hat{z}'$ is the embedded element in H_{a+m} and the character is given by

$$\psi_{Z'_\ell,\kappa}(z') := \psi((-1)^{\mathfrak{m}+1}\frac{\kappa}{2}z_{\beta,\beta+1} + z_{\beta+1,\beta+2} + \cdots + z_{a-1,a}).$$

The integration over $N_\ell^\eta(\mathbb{A})\backslash N_\ell(\mathbb{A})$ in (4.13) is already adelic. We simply denote it by (as in [44, (3.34)])

$$\Phi(h) := \int_{N_\ell^\eta(\mathbb{A})\backslash N_\ell(\mathbb{A})} \phi_\lambda^{\psi_{Z'_\ell,\kappa}}(\epsilon_{0,\beta}\eta n h)\psi_{\ell,w_0}^{-1}(n)\,\mathrm{d}n. \tag{4.15}$$

Therefore, we may write the global zeta integral in (4.13) as

$$\mathcal{Z}(s, \phi_{\tau\otimes\sigma}, \varphi_\pi, \psi_{\ell,w_0}) = \int_{G_{m^-}^\eta(F)\backslash G_{m^-}^{w_0}(\mathbb{A})} \varphi_\pi(h)\Phi(h)\,\mathrm{d}h. \tag{4.16}$$

Next, we need to unfold the integral over $G_{m^-}^\eta(F)\backslash G_{m^-}^{w_0}(\mathbb{A})$ in (4.13) and in (4.16). Similar to the decomposition (3.33) in [44], we also have the decomposition

$$G_{m^-}^\eta = (G_{E/F}(W^+_{\mathfrak{r}_\mathfrak{m}+a-1,\beta-1}) \times H(q_{\eta^{-1}W_{(a)}})) \ltimes V_{\beta-1,\eta},$$

where $W^+_{\mathfrak{r}_\mathfrak{m}+a-1,\beta-1}$ is defined in (4.10), $W_{(a)} = (W_a^+ \oplus W_a^-)^\perp$, and $V_{\beta-1,\eta}$ is the unipotent radical of the stabilizer $G^\eta_{m^-}$, as described on Page 573 of [44].

More precisely, in Proposition 4.1, take $\eta = \eta_{I,\gamma_0}$, and then $V_{\beta-1,\eta}$ consists of the elements of the form

$$\eta^{-1}\begin{pmatrix} I_\ell & & & & & & \\ & I_{\beta-1} & d_1 & u & v_1 & v & \\ & & 1 & 0 & 0 & v_1' & \\ & & & I_\mathfrak{m} & 0 & u' & \\ & & & & 1 & d_1' & \\ & & & & & I_{\beta-1} & \\ & & & & & & I_\ell \end{pmatrix}\eta \tag{4.17}$$

with $d_1 + (-1)^{\mathfrak{m}+1}\frac{\kappa}{2}v_1 = 0$, where d_1 and v_1 are column vectors of dimension $\beta - 1$. Let $Z^\eta_{\ell,\beta-1}$ be the maximal unipotent subgroup of $G_{E/F}(W^+_{\mathfrak{r}_\mathfrak{m}+a-1,\beta-1})$, consisting of elements of following type:

$$\eta^{-1}\cdot \mathrm{diag}\{I_\ell, d, I_{\mathfrak{m}+2}, d^*, I_\ell\}\cdot\eta$$

with $d \in Z_{\beta-1}$.

Write $N^\eta_{\ell,\beta-1} := Z^\eta_{\ell,\beta-1}V_{\beta-1,\eta}$. It is a unipotent subgroup of $G^{w_0}_{m^-}$ associated to the nilpotent orbit with partition $[(2(a-\ell-1)+1)1^\mathfrak{m}]$. Fixing the anisotropic vector $y_{-\kappa}$ that defines the character of $N^\eta_{\ell,\beta-1}$, we deduce that the corresponding stabilizer in $G^{w_0}_{m^-}$ is $\mathrm{Isom}(\eta^{-1}W_{(a)}, q)^\circ$. Hence $\mathrm{Isom}(\eta^{-1}W_{(a)}, q)^\circ = \eta^{-1}H_m\eta$. The elements of $N^\eta_{\ell,\beta-1}$ have the form

$$(\epsilon_{0,\beta}\eta)^{-1}\begin{pmatrix} d & d_1 & 0 & u & 0 & v_1 & v \\ & 1 & & & & & v_1' \\ & & I_\ell & & & & 0 \\ & & & I_\mathfrak{m} & & & u' \\ & & & & I_\ell & & 0 \\ & & & & & 1 & d_1' \\ & & & & & & d^* \end{pmatrix}(\epsilon_{0,\beta}\eta), \tag{4.18}$$

where $d \in Z_{\beta-1}$. Remark that $Z^\eta_{\ell,\beta-1}$ is the set of all matrices of the form (4.18) with all entries 0 except d. Denote $Z_{\beta,\eta}$ (resp. $C_{\beta-1,\eta}$) to be the subgroup of $N^\eta_{\ell,\beta-1}$ consisting of all matrices in (4.18) with all entries 0 except d and d_1 (resp. with $d = I_{\beta-1}$ and $d_1 = 0$). Then $N^\eta_{\ell,\beta-1} = Z_{\beta,\eta}C_{\beta-1,\eta}$.

Similar to [44, Page 575], we have the following isomorphism

$$C_{\beta-1,\eta}\backslash G^\eta_{m^-} \cong P^1_\beta \times H^\eta_m \qquad (H^\eta_m := \eta^{-1}H_m\eta), \tag{4.19}$$

where H_m^η is a subgroup of $G_{m^{-1}}^{w_0}$ and P_β^1 is the mirabolic subgroup of $G_{E/F}(\beta)$ containing $Z_{\beta,\eta}$. Continuing with the global zeta integral as displayed in (4.16), we obtain that the global zeta integral $\mathcal{Z}(s,\cdot)$ is equal to

$$\int_{P_\beta^1(F)H_m^\eta(F)C_{\beta-1,\eta}(\mathbb{A})\backslash G_{m^-}^{w_0}(\mathbb{A})}\Phi(h)\int_{[C_{\beta-1,\eta}]}\varphi_\pi(ch)\,\mathrm{d}c\,\mathrm{d}h. \tag{4.20}$$

This is the integral similar to that displayed in (3.36) of [44]. We note that there is a typo in the integration domain in Equation (3.36) of [44], and the integral in (4.20) gives the correct version.

Following closely the argument in [44], we apply the Fourier expansion on φ_π along the mirabolic subgroup P_β^1 repeatedly and obtain the same expansion as that displayed in Equation (3.38) in [44]. Plugging so obtained expansion into (4.20) and combining the integrals, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathcal{Z}(s,\phi_{\tau\otimes\sigma},\varphi_\pi,\psi_{\ell,w_0})\\ =&\int_{Z_{\beta,\eta}(F)H_m^\eta(F)C_{\beta-1,\eta}(\mathbb{A})\backslash G_{m^-}^{w_0}(\mathbb{A})}\Phi(h)\mathcal{F}^{\psi^{-1}_{\beta-1,y_{-\kappa}}}(\varphi_\pi)(h)\,\mathrm{d}h,\end{aligned}$$

where $\mathcal{F}^{\psi^{-1}_{\beta-1,y_{-\kappa}}}(\varphi_\pi)$ is the $(a-\ell-1)$-th Bessel coefficient with respect to $\psi_{\beta-1,y_{-\kappa}}$, as defined in (2.7), by

$$\mathcal{F}^{\psi^{-1}_{\beta-1,y_{-\kappa}}}(\varphi_\pi)(h)=\int_{N^\eta_{\ell,\beta-1}(F)\backslash N^\eta_{\ell,\beta-1}(\mathbb{A})}\varphi_\pi(nh)\psi_{\beta-1,y_{-\kappa}}(n)\,\mathrm{d}n. \tag{4.21}$$

Since $\mathcal{F}^{\psi^{-1}_{\beta-1,y_{-\kappa}}}(\varphi_\pi)$ is left $(Z_{\beta,\eta},\psi^{-1}_{\beta-1,y_{-\kappa}})$-equivariant, $\mathcal{Z}(s,\cdot)$ is equal to (see [44, (3.40)])

$$\int_{H_m^\eta(F)N^\eta_{\ell,\beta-1}(\mathbb{A})\backslash G_{m^-}^{w_0}(\mathbb{A})}\mathcal{F}^{\psi^{-1}_{\beta-1,y_{-\kappa}}}(\varphi_\pi)(h)\int_{[Z_{\beta,\eta}]}\Phi(zh)\psi^{-1}_{\beta-1,y_{-\kappa}}(z)\,\mathrm{d}z\,\mathrm{d}h. \tag{4.22}$$

Let us focus on the inner integral over $[Z_{\beta,\eta}]$. By the definition of $\phi_\lambda^{\psi_{Z'_{\ell},\kappa}}$, we may combine the two integrals over $[Z_{\beta,\eta}]$ and $[N_\ell^\eta]$. As a subgroup of $P_{\hat{a}}$, $(\epsilon_{0,\beta}\eta)N_\ell^\eta Z_{\beta,\eta}(\epsilon_{0,\beta}\eta)^{-1}$ consists of elements of the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} d & d_1 & (y_6)'_{*,*} & & & & \\ & 1 & (y_6)'_{\beta,*} & & & & \\ & & c^* & & & & \\ & & & I_{\mathfrak{m}} & & & \\ & & & & c & (y_6)_{*,\beta} & (y_6)_{*,*} \\ & & & & & 1 & d'_1 \\ & & & & & & d \end{pmatrix},$$

where the notation is the same as in [44, (3.42)]. It follows that $N_\ell^\eta Z_{\beta,\eta} \cong Z_a$, where Z_a is the maximal upper-triangular unipotent subgroup of $G_{E/F}(a)$, which is regarded canonically as a subgroup of $P_{\hat{a}}$. Combining the integrals over $[Z_{\beta,\eta}]$ and $[N_\ell^\eta]$, we have

$$\phi^{Z_a,\kappa}(h) := \int_{[Z_a]} \lambda\phi(zh)\psi_{Z_a,\kappa}(z)\,\mathrm{d}z, \tag{4.23}$$

where the character $\psi_{Z_a,\kappa}(z)$ is given by

$$\psi(-z_{1,2}-\cdots-z_{\beta-1,\beta}+(-1)^{\mathfrak{m}+1}\frac{\kappa}{2}z_{\beta,\beta+1}+z_{\beta+1,\beta+2}+\cdots+z_{a-1,a}) \tag{4.24}$$

with $\beta = a-\ell$, which is a non-degenerate character of Z_a.

For Re(s) sufficiently large, the integrals we considered here are absolutely convergent, which allow us to switch the integrals. After combining the integrals $[Z_{\beta,\eta}]$ and $[N_\ell^\eta]$ and by (4.15), similar to [44, (3.41)], we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{[Z_{\beta,\eta}]} \Phi(zh)\psi^{-1}_{\beta-1,y_{-\kappa}}(z)\,\mathrm{d}z &= \int_{U^-_{a,\eta}(\mathbb{A})} \phi^{Z_a,\kappa}(n\epsilon_{0,\beta}\eta h)\psi_{(\mathfrak{m}+a+\ell,a-\ell)}(n)\,\mathrm{d}n\\ &=:\mathcal{J}_{\ell,\kappa}(\phi^{Z_a,\kappa})(\epsilon_{0,\beta}\eta h).\end{aligned} \tag{4.25}$$

Here $U^-_{a,\eta}$ consists of matrices of the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} I_{a-\ell} & & & & \\ & I_\ell & & & \\ & x_2' & I_{\mathfrak{m}} & & \\ x_1 & x_3 & x_2 & I_\ell & \\ & x_1' & & & I_{a-\ell}\end{pmatrix}, \tag{4.26}$$

which is a section for the domain of integration, $N_\ell^\eta\backslash N_\ell$, under the adjoint action of $\epsilon_{0,\beta}\eta$. The character $\psi_{(\mathfrak{m}+a+\ell,a-\ell)}$ of $U^-_{a,\eta}$ is given by

$$\psi_{(\mathfrak{m}+a+\ell,a-\ell)}(n) = \psi(n_{\mathfrak{m}+a+\ell,a-\ell})$$

where $n_{\mathfrak{m}+a+\ell,a-\ell} = (x_1)_{\ell,a-\ell}$.

Note that the adelic integration over $U^-_{a,\eta}$ in (4.25) converges absolutely due to the same reason as that of the quasi-split orthogonal group case considered in Appendix II to §5 of [24], and also that in [69] and [71, Theorem 3.1], for instance. Another way to confirm the absolute convergence is that after taking the absolute value of the integrand, the integral is the product of local intertwining operators, which converges absolutely for Re(s) sufficiently large.

From (4.25), the integral $\mathcal{J}_{\ell,\kappa}(\phi^{Z_a,\kappa})$ is $N_\ell(\mathbb{A})$-quasi-equivariant. More precisely, for $u \in N_\ell(\mathbb{A})$, we have

$$\mathcal{J}_{\ell,\kappa}(\phi^{Z_a,\kappa})(ug) = \psi_{\ell,w_0}(ug)\mathcal{J}_{\ell,\kappa}(\phi^{Z_a,\kappa})(g).$$

We conclude the following formula for the global zeta integral:

(4.27)

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathcal{Z}(s,\phi_{\tau\otimes\sigma},\varphi_\pi,\psi_{\ell,w_0})\\ &=\int_{R^\eta_{\ell,\beta-1}(\mathbb{A})\backslash G^{w_0}_{m^-}(\mathbb{A})}\int_{[H^\eta_m]}\mathcal{F}^{\psi^{-1}_{\beta-1,y_{-\kappa}}}(\varphi_\pi)(xh)\mathcal{J}_{\ell,\kappa}(\phi^{Z_{a,\kappa}})(\epsilon_{0,\beta}\eta xh)\,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}h\end{aligned}$$

with $[H^\eta_m]:=H^\eta_m(F)\backslash H^\eta_m(\mathbb{A})$, as defined in (4.19). This is the formula of the global zeta integrals that we want to write it as an Euler product of local zeta integrals. In order to do so, we have to understand the representation nature of the integrands.

Fixing the element h, consider $\mathcal{J}_{\ell,\kappa}(\phi^{Z_{a,\kappa}})(\epsilon_{0,\beta}\eta xh)$ as a function of x under the right translation of $\epsilon_{0,\beta}\eta h$, that is,

$$\mathcal{J}_{\ell,\kappa}(R(\epsilon_{0,\beta}\eta h)\cdot\phi^{Z_{a,\kappa}})(\epsilon_{0,\beta}\eta x(\epsilon_{0,\beta}\eta)^{-1}). \tag{4.28}$$

Note that x is in $G^\eta_{m^-}(F)$. Since $a>\ell$, $G^\eta_{m^-}$ contains H^η_m as a algebraic subgroup. If we vary x in H^η_m, under the conjugation of $\epsilon_{0,\beta}$ as given in (4.14), the function in (4.28) is an automorphic form in the space $\sigma^{w_q^\ell}$. Recall that the adjoint action of w_q on σ is trivial except when H_m is an even special orthogonal group. In this case, $\det(w_q)=-1$ and the adjoint action of w_q is the non-trivial action of $\mathrm{O}(W_{\mathfrak{m}},q)/H_m$ on σ. In other words, w_q restricted to $\mathrm{O}(W_{\mathfrak{m}},q)$ is a choice of ε as defined in Page 16. For simplicity, denote

$$\sigma':=\sigma^{w_q^\ell}. \tag{4.29}$$

We note that if H_m is an even special orthogonal group and ℓ is odd, then $\{\sigma,\sigma^{w_q}\}$ is an $\widetilde{\mathrm{O}}(G)$-orbit of σ as discussed in Page 16.

Next, we apply the local uniqueness of Bessel models to show that the global zeta integral (4.27) can be written as an Euler product when the functions φ_π and $\phi_{\tau\otimes\sigma}$ are factorizable.

Recall that $\phi_{\tau\otimes\sigma}$ in (4.2) is an automorphic function (defined as in [64, I.2.17])

$$\phi_{\tau\otimes\sigma}\colon U_{\hat{a}}(\mathbb{A})M_{\hat{a}}(F)\backslash H_{a+m}(\mathbb{A})\to\mathbb{C}$$

such that for fixed $g\in H_{a+m}(\mathbb{A})$, the function $x\mapsto\delta_{P_{\hat{a}}}(x)^{-\frac{1}{2}}\phi_{\tau\otimes\sigma}(xg)$, $x\in M_{\hat{a}}(\mathbb{A})$, belongs to the space of $\tau\otimes\sigma$, where $\delta_{P_{\hat{a}}}$ is the modular character of $P_{\hat{a}}$. Alternatively, the smooth section $\lambda_s\phi_{\tau\otimes\sigma}$ can be understood as a function in the normalized parabolic induction $\mathrm{Ind}^{H_{a+m}(\mathbb{A})}_{P_{\hat{a}}(\mathbb{A})}\lambda_s\tau\otimes\sigma$. For convenience, we may consider the smooth section $\phi_{\tau\otimes\sigma}$ as a function on $H_{a+m}\times M_{\hat{a}}$ and rewrite it as for each $g\in H_{a+m}(\mathbb{A})$

$$(g;(m,h))\to\phi_{\tau\otimes\sigma}(g;(m,h))\in\tau\otimes\sigma. \tag{4.30}$$

And write $\phi_{\tau\otimes\sigma}(g)$ as shorthand for $\phi_{\tau\otimes\sigma}(g;I)$, where I is identity in $M_{\hat{a}}$.

The integral (4.23) defines the $\psi^{-1}_{Z_a,\kappa}$-Whittaker model of τ, which is denoted by $\mathcal{W}_{a,\kappa}(\tau)$. Then the function $x\mapsto\phi^{Z_a,\kappa}(g;x)$ lies in the space of $\mathcal{W}_{a,\kappa}(\tau)\otimes\sigma$ for all $g\in H_{a+m}(\mathbb{A})$, and hence we may choose it to be of the form:

$$\phi^{Z_a,\kappa}(g;x)=W_{\tau,g}(h_1)\phi_{\sigma,g}(h_2), \tag{4.31}$$

where $x=(h_1,h_2)\in M_{\hat{a}}(\mathbb{A})=G_{E/F}(a)(\mathbb{A})\times H_m(\mathbb{A})$, $W_{\tau,g}\in\mathcal{W}_{a,\kappa}(\tau)$ and $\phi_{\sigma,g}\in\sigma$. Although it is not indicated in our notation, the function $\phi^{Z_a,\kappa}(g;x)$ contains the complex variable s by (4.23). Also note that for $(h'_1,h'_2)\in M_{\hat{a}}(\mathbb{A})$ and $u\in U_{\hat{a}}(\mathbb{A})$ (the unipotent radical of $P_{\hat{a}}$)

$$\phi^{Z_a,\kappa}((h'_1,h'_2)ug;(h_1,h_2))=W_{\tau,g}(h_1h'_1)\phi_{\tau,g}(h_2h'_2).$$

If one chooses a factorizable automorphic form $\phi_{\tau\otimes\sigma}=\otimes_\nu\phi_{\tau\otimes\sigma,\nu}$, then $\phi^{Z_a,\kappa}(xg)$ is factorizable by the local uniqueness of the Whittaker models and may be written as, for fixed $g\in H_{a+m}(\mathbb{A})$,

$$(h_1,h_2)\mapsto\prod_\nu W_{\tau,g,\nu}(h_{1,\nu})\phi_{\sigma,g,\nu}(h_{2,\nu}). \tag{4.32}$$

In order to get an Euler product of the inner integral in (4.27), we need the local uniqueness of the Bessel models.

First, according to (4.21), $\mathcal{F}^{\psi^{-1}_{\beta^{-1},y_{-\kappa}}}(\varphi_\pi)$ produces a smooth function on $H_m(F)\backslash H_m(\mathbb{A})$, which is of rapid decay. Plugging $\phi^{Z_a,\kappa}$ of form (4.31) into (4.28), as discussed below (4.28), we have

(4.33)

$$\mathcal{J}_{\ell,\kappa}(\phi^{Z_{a,\kappa}})(\epsilon_{0,\beta}\eta xh)=\mathcal{J}_{\ell,\kappa}(\phi^{Z_{a,\kappa}})(\epsilon_{0,\beta}\eta h;w^\ell_q\cdot x\cdot(w^\ell_q)^{-1})=:\phi'_{\sigma',h}(x)$$

where $\phi'_{\sigma',h}(x)$ lies in the space of σ' as defined in (4.29). Hence, for fixed h, the inner integration in (4.27) can be written as

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_{[H^\eta_m]}\mathcal{F}^{\psi^{-1}_{\beta^{-1},y_{-\kappa}}}(\varphi_\pi)(xh)\mathcal{J}_{\ell,\kappa}(\phi^{Z_{a,\kappa}})(\epsilon_{0,\beta}\eta xh)\,\mathrm{d}x\\ =&\left\langle\mathcal{F}^{\psi^{-1}_{\beta^{-1},y_{-\kappa}}}(R(h)\cdot\varphi_\pi),\overline{\phi}'_{\sigma',h}\right\rangle_{H_m}\end{aligned}$$

where $R(\cdot)$ is the right translation on the function φ_π and the inner product $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle_{H_m}$ is the canonical L^2-inner product on $H_m(F)\backslash H_m(\mathbb{A})$, i.e.,

(4.34)

$$\left\langle\mathcal{F}^{\psi^{-1}_{\beta^{-1},y_{-\kappa}}}(R(h)\cdot\varphi_\pi),\overline{\phi}'_{\sigma',h}\right\rangle_{H_m}:=\int_{[H^\eta_m]}\mathcal{F}^{\psi^{-1}_{\beta^{-1},y_{-\kappa}}}(\varphi_\pi)(xh)\phi'_{\sigma'}(x)\,\mathrm{d}x.$$

It follows that the inner product (4.34) introduces a local bilinear form at each place ν in the following Hom-space

$$\operatorname{Hom}_{H_m(F_\nu)}(\mathcal{J}_{N^{\eta}_{\ell,\beta-1},\psi^{-1}_{\beta-1,y_{-\kappa}}}(\pi_\nu)\otimes\sigma'_\nu,\mathbb{C}), \tag{4.35}$$

where $\mathcal{J}_{N^{\eta}_{\ell,\beta-1},\psi^{-1}_{\beta-1,y_{-\kappa}}}(\pi_\nu)$ is the local Jacquet module of π_ν with respect to $(N^{\eta}_{\ell,\beta-1},\psi^{-1}_{\beta-1,y_{-\kappa}})$. More precisely, the Hom-space (4.35) consists of bilinear forms $\mathfrak{b}_\nu$ satisfying the following property: for all vectors $v_{\pi_\nu}\in\pi_\nu$ and $v_{\sigma'_\nu}\in\sigma'_\nu$, and all $x\in H_m(F_\nu)$ and $u\in N^{\eta}_{\ell,\beta-1}(F_\nu)$,

$$\mathfrak{b}_\nu(\pi_\nu(ux)v_{\pi_\nu},\sigma'_\nu(x)v_{\sigma'_\nu})=\psi^{-1}_{\beta-1,y_{-\kappa}}(u)\mathfrak{b}_\nu(v_{\pi_\nu},v_{\sigma'_\nu}). \tag{4.36}$$

For the chosen the factorizable vectors $\phi_{\tau\otimes\sigma}$, since (4.25) is an adelic integral, by (4.32), we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{J}_{\ell,\kappa}(\phi^{Z_{a,\kappa}})(g)&=\prod_\nu\mathcal{J}_{\ell,\kappa}(\phi^{Z_{a,\kappa}}_{\tau\otimes\sigma,\nu})(g_\nu)\\&=\prod_\nu\int_{U^-_{a,\eta}(F_\nu)}\phi^{Z_{a,\kappa}}_{\tau\otimes\sigma,\nu}(n_\nu g_\nu)\psi_{(\mathfrak{m}+a+\ell,a-\ell)}(n_\nu)\,\mathrm{d}n_\nu\\&=:\otimes_\nu\,\phi'_{\sigma',g,\nu}(x).\end{aligned} \tag{4.37}$$

Take any factorizable vectors $\varphi_\pi=\otimes_\nu\varphi_{\pi_\nu}\in\pi$ and $\phi_{\sigma'}=\otimes_\nu\phi_{\sigma'_\nu}\in\sigma'$. By the uniqueness of local Bessel models, the global inner period integral (4.50) is proportional to the product of local Bessel functionals:

$$\langle\mathcal{F}^{\psi^{-1}_{\beta-1,y_{-\kappa}}}(\varphi_\pi),\overline{\phi}_{\sigma'}\rangle_{H_m}=\alpha_{\pi,\sigma'}\prod_\nu\mathfrak{b}_\nu(\varphi_{\pi_\nu},\phi_{\sigma'_\nu}). \tag{4.38}$$

Remark that $\alpha_{\pi,\sigma'}$ is a constant determined by the representations π and σ', but independent of choices of φ_π and $\phi_{\sigma'}$.

Hence, for factorizable functions φ_τ and $\phi_{\tau\otimes\sigma}$, plugging (4.37) and (4.38) into (4.27), we can write the global zeta integral (4.27) as an Euler product:

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathcal{Z}(s,\phi_{\tau\otimes\sigma},\varphi_\pi,\psi_{\ell,w_0})\\&=\alpha_{\pi,\sigma'}\prod_\nu\int_{h_\nu}\mathfrak{b}_\nu(R(h_\nu)\cdot\varphi_{\pi_\nu},\phi'_{\sigma',h,\nu})\,\mathrm{d}h_\nu\\&=:\alpha_{\pi,\sigma'}\prod_\nu\mathcal{Z}_\nu(s,\phi_{\tau\otimes\sigma},\varphi_\pi,\psi_{\ell,w_0})\end{aligned} \tag{4.39}$$

where the domain of the integration $\int_{h_\nu}$ is $R^{\eta}_{\ell,\beta-1}(F_\nu)\backslash G^{w_0}_{m^-}(F_\nu)$. We take (4.39) as the definition of the the local zeta integral $\mathcal{Z}_\nu(s,\cdot)$, and summarize the above long discussion in the following theorem.

Theorem 4.2 ($a>\ell$)**.** *Let $E(\phi_{\tau\otimes\sigma},s)$ be the Eisenstein series on $H_{m+a}(\mathbb{A})$ as in (4.3) and let π belong to $\mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{cusp}}(G^{w_0}_{m^-})$. Assume that*

(1) *the automorphic forms φ_π and $\phi_{\tau\otimes\sigma}$ are compatibly factorizable as discussed above; and*
(2) *the relevant local Bessel functionals are suitably normalized at all unramified local places, so that the Euler product in* (4.38) *makes sense.*

Then the global zeta integral $\mathcal{Z}(s,\phi_{\tau\otimes\sigma},\varphi_\pi,\psi_{\ell,w_0})$ converges absolutely when $\mathrm{Re}(s)$ *is large, and can be written as an Euler product*

$$\mathcal{Z}(s,\phi_{\tau\otimes\sigma},\varphi_\pi,\psi_{\ell,w_0})=\alpha_{\pi,\sigma'}\prod_\nu\mathcal{Z}_\nu(s,\phi_{\tau\otimes\sigma},\varphi_\pi,\psi_{\ell,w_0})$$

where the local zeta integral $\mathcal{Z}_\nu(s,\phi_{\tau\otimes\sigma},\varphi_\pi,\psi_{\ell,w_0})$ is defined in (4.39).

4.5. **Case $a\le\ell$.** We are studying the global zeta integral as given in (4.12). In principle, it is similar to the case of $a>\ell$. We follow the discussion in Section 3.4 in [44] to give necessary steps in order to show that the global zeta integral can be factorized as an Euler product of local zeta integrals.

First, we have

$$N_\ell^\eta=\left\{\begin{pmatrix}c&0&0&0&0\\&b&y_4&z_4&0\\&&I_{\mathfrak{m}+2a-2\ell}&y_4'&0\\&&&b^*&0\\&&&&c^*\end{pmatrix}:c\in Z_a,\ b\in Z_{\ell-a}\right\}.$$

Write

$$N_{a,\ell-a}=\left\{\begin{pmatrix}I_a&0&0&0&0\\&b&y_4&z_4&0\\&&I_{\mathfrak{m}+2a-2\ell}&y_4'&0\\&&&b^*&0\\&&&&I_a\end{pmatrix}:b\in Z_{\ell-a}\right\}\subset N_{\ell-a}.$$

Denote $\psi_{m,\ell-a;y_\kappa}$ to be the restriction to the subgroup $N_{a,\ell-a}$ of the character ψ_{ℓ,y_κ}. By the decomposition $N_\ell^\eta=Z_aN_{a,\ell-a}$, the inner integration over $[N_\ell^\eta]$ in (4.12) can be written as

$$\int_{[N_\ell^\eta]}\lambda\phi(\epsilon_{0,0}\eta nh)\psi_{\ell,w_0}^{-1}(u)\,\mathrm{d}u=\mathcal{F}^{\psi^{-1}_{m,\ell-a;y_\kappa}}(\phi_\lambda^{\psi_{Z_a,\kappa}})(\epsilon_{0,0}\eta h),\tag{4.40}$$

where

$$\phi_\lambda^{\psi_{Z_a,\kappa}}(h)=\int_{[Z_a]}\lambda\phi(z)\psi_{Z_a,\kappa}(z)\,\mathrm{d}z.$$

Note that in this case

$$\psi_{Z_a,\kappa}(z)=\psi(z_{1,2}+z_{2,3}+\cdots+z_{a-1,a}),$$

and $\mathcal{F}^{\psi^{-1}_{m,\ell-a;y_\kappa}}$ defines a Bessel-Fourier coefficient of σ. After changing variables, we obtain

(4.41)

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathcal{Z}(s,\phi_{\tau\otimes\sigma},\varphi_\pi,\psi_{\ell,w_0})\\ =&\int_{N_\ell^\eta(\mathbb{A})\backslash N_\ell(\mathbb{A})}\int_{[G^{w_0}_{m^-}]}\varphi_\pi(h)\mathcal{F}^{\psi^{-1}_{m,\ell-a;y_\kappa}}(\phi_\lambda^{\psi_{Z_{a,\kappa}}})(\epsilon_{0,0}\eta nh)\psi^{-1}_{\ell,w_0}(n)\,\mathrm{d}h\,\mathrm{d}n.\end{aligned}$$

It is clear that the inner integration in the variable h in (4.41) gives a Bessel period for the pair (σ,π). By the uniqueness of the local Bessel models, this Bessel period may be written as an Euler product of local Bessel functionals for factorizable input data.

We note that the integral (4.41) is absolutely convergent for $\mathrm{Re}(s)$ sufficient larger. The inner integration over $[G^{w_0}_{m^-}]$ converges absolutely because of rapid decay of the cuspidal automorphic form φ_π. The outer integration over the quotient $N_\ell^\eta(\mathbb{A})\backslash N_\ell(\mathbb{A})$ converges absolutely due to the reason that explained for (4.25).

For convenience, we write down explicitly the quotient $N_\ell^\eta\backslash N_\ell$ and the restriction of ψ_{ℓ,y_κ}. The quotient $N_\ell^\eta\backslash N_\ell$ is isomorphic to the subgroup consisting of elements

$$\begin{pmatrix} I_a & x_1 & x_2 & x_3 & x_4\\ & I_{\ell-a} & & & x_3'\\ & & I_{\mathfrak{m}+2a-2\ell} & & x_2'\\ & & & I_{\ell-a} & x_1'\\ & & & & I_a\end{pmatrix}.$$

The restriction of ψ_{ℓ,y_κ} is $\psi((x_1)_{a,1})$.

Similar to Case $a>\ell$, we will obtain an Euler product of the global zeta integral $\mathcal{Z}(s,\cdot)$ in this case. Let us choose a factorizable function $\phi_{\tau\otimes\sigma}$ and then $\phi^{Z_{a,\kappa}}$ is of form (4.31) and (4.32). Plugging into (4.40), one obtains that $\mathcal{F}^{\psi^{-1}_{m,\ell-a;y_\kappa}}(\phi_\lambda^{\psi_{Z_{a,\kappa}}})$ produces a smooth function on $G^{w_0}_{m^-}(F)\backslash G^{w_0}_{m^-}(\mathbb{A})$ of moderate growth as $\phi_{\tau\otimes\sigma}$ is of moderate growth.

In this case, the arisen local bilinear form $\mathfrak{b}_\nu$ over each local place ν satisfies the following quasi-invariant property: for $u\in N_\ell^\eta(F_\nu)$, $x\in G^{w_0}_{m^-}(F_\nu)$, $v_{\pi_\nu}\in\pi_\nu$ and $v_{\sigma_\nu}\in\sigma_\nu$,

$$\mathfrak{b}_\nu(\rho_{\tau\otimes\sigma,\nu}(ux)v_{\sigma_\nu},\pi_\nu(x)v_{\pi_\nu})=\psi^{-1}_{m,\ell-a;y_\kappa}(u)\mathfrak{b}_\nu(v_{\sigma_\nu},v_{\pi_\nu}).$$

Hence $\mathfrak{b}_v$ belongs to the following Hom-space

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{G^{w_0}_{m^-}(F_\nu)}(\mathcal{J}_{N_\ell^\eta,\psi^{-1}_{m,\ell-a;y_\kappa}}(\sigma_\nu)\otimes\pi_\nu,\mathbb{C}). \tag{4.42}$$

Here the notation is the same as in (4.35).

For factorizable vectors $\phi_\sigma = \otimes_\nu \phi_{\sigma_\nu} \in \sigma$ and $\varphi_\pi = \otimes_\nu \varphi_{\pi_\nu} \in \pi$, by the uniqueness of local Bessel models (4.42), we obtain an Euler product

$$\left\langle \varphi_\pi, \overline{\mathcal{F}^{\psi^{-1}_{m,\ell-a;y_\kappa}}(\phi_\sigma)} \right\rangle_{G^{w_0}_{m^-}} = \beta_{\pi,\sigma} \prod_\nu \mathfrak{b}_\nu(\phi_{\sigma,\nu}, \varphi_{\pi,\nu}). \tag{4.43}$$

Similar to $\alpha_{\pi,\sigma}$, the constant $\beta_{\pi,\sigma}$ is uniquely determined by π and σ, but independent with the choice of vectors φ_π and ϕ_σ.

Similar to (4.33), define

$$\phi'_{\sigma,n}(h) := \mathcal{F}^{\psi^{-1}_{m,\ell-a;y_\kappa}}(\phi_\lambda^{\psi_{Z_a,\kappa}})(\epsilon_{0,0}\eta n; h).$$

For factorizable functions φ_π and $\phi_{\tau\otimes\sigma}$ as in (4.32), we may define the local zeta integral by

$$\mathcal{Z}_\nu(s, \phi_{\tau\otimes\sigma}, \varphi_\pi, \psi_{\ell,w_0}) =: \int_{N_\ell^\eta(F_\nu)\backslash N_\ell(F_\nu)} \mathfrak{b}_\nu(\phi'_{\sigma,n,\nu}, \varphi_{\pi_\nu})\, \mathrm{d}n_\nu. \tag{4.44}$$

Then we arrive with

Theorem 4.3 ($a \leq \ell$)**.** *Under the same conditions in Theorem 4.2, the global zeta integral $\mathcal{Z}(s, \phi_{\tau\otimes\sigma}, \varphi_\pi, \psi_{\ell,w_0})$ converges absolutely for* $\mathrm{Re}(s)$ *large and can be written as an Euler product:*

$$\mathcal{Z}(s, \phi_{\tau\otimes\sigma}, \varphi_\pi, \psi_{\ell,w_0}) = \beta_{\pi,\sigma} \prod_\nu \mathcal{Z}_\nu(s, \phi_{\tau\otimes\sigma}, \varphi_\pi, \psi_{\ell,w_0}).$$

where $\mathcal{Z}_\nu(s, \cdot)$ is defined in (4.44) *and $\beta_{\pi,\sigma}$ is the constant given in* (4.43).

More detailed explanation on the notation can be found in Section 3.4 [44].

4.6. **A formula for global zeta integrals.** We are now ready to derive a formula for the global zeta integrals.

Note that the group $G^{w_0}_{m^-}$ from the construction in Section 2.4 yields all the groups G_{m^-} as listed in the beginning of this section. Hence there exists a datum such that $G^{w_0}_{m^-}$ is isomorphic to a given G_n over F. From now on, we assume that $\pi \in \mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{cusp}}(G^{w_0}_{m^-})$ and $\sigma \in \mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{cusp}}(H_m)$ have generic global Arthur parameters, respectively.

As in (4.1), we have $\tau = \tau_1 \boxplus \tau_2 \boxplus \cdots \boxplus \tau_r$, which is an irreducible generic isobaric automorphic representation of $G_{E/F}(a)(\mathbb{A}_F)$. We define

$$\mathcal{L}(s, \tau_\nu, \pi_\nu, \sigma_\nu; \rho) = \frac{L(s+\frac{1}{2}, \tau_\nu \times \pi_\nu)}{L(s+1, \tau_\nu \times \sigma_\nu) L(2s+1, \tau_\nu, \rho)}, \tag{4.45}$$

where $\rho = \wedge^2$ if H_{m+a} is an even orthogonal group; $\rho = \mathrm{sym}^2$ if H_{m+a} is an odd orthogonal group; $\rho = \mathrm{As} \otimes \xi^m$ if H_{m+a} is a unitary group.

Some remarks on the local L-functions are in order. At archimedean local places or at unramified local places, the local L-functions in (4.45) are well defined. The main concern here is at the ramified finite local places. Formally, one may take the G.C.D. of the ramified local zeta integrals as the definition or take the one from the normalization of the local intertwining operators from the Eisenstein series in the global zeta integrals. This of course needs the full theory of the local zeta integrals, which is not available at this moment for so general representations π and σ. On the other hand, since both π and σ are assumed to be cuspidal and to have generic global Arthur parameters ([3, Chapter 9] and [50]), we may follow [3], [67] and [50] to define the local L-functions in (4.45) at ramified finite local places in terms of the local L-functions of the corresponding localization of the global Arthur parameters. We refer to [61] for discussion with more general parameters when the groups are F-quasisplit.

We note that only when H_m is an even special orthogonal group, the twist representation σ'_ν (see (4.29)) may not be equivalent to σ_ν if $w_q^\ell \neq I$. However, their corresponding local L-parameters are $\mathrm{O}_{\mathfrak{m}}(\mathbb{C})$-conjugate, since $H_m^\vee(\mathbb{C}) = \mathrm{SO}_{\mathfrak{m}}$ is the complex dual group of H_m. It follows that $\mathcal{L}(s, \tau_\nu, \pi_\nu, \sigma_\nu; \rho)$ and the local L-functions $L(s, \tau_\nu \times \sigma_\nu)$ are the same when the local factors of σ_ν replaced by those of σ'_ν.

Recall that $\sigma' = \sigma^{w_q^\ell}$ in (4.29) when $a > \ell$, and also denote $\sigma' = \sigma$ when $a \leq \ell$ for notational consistence. Define the constant $c_{\pi,\sigma}$ to be $\alpha_{\pi,\sigma}$ in (4.38) if $a > \ell$ and $\beta_{\pi,\sigma}$ in (4.43) if $a \leq \ell$. The Euler products in Theorems 4.2 and 4.3 can be uniformly rewritten as

$$\mathcal{Z}(s, \phi_{\tau\otimes\sigma'}, \varphi_\pi, \psi_{\ell,w_0}) = c_{\pi,\sigma} \prod_\nu \mathcal{Z}_\nu(s, \phi_{\tau\otimes\sigma'}, \varphi_\pi, \psi_{\ell,w_0}).$$

Next, we state the result of unramified calculation for the local zeta integrals. The full detail of the computation in this generality has not been completely written and will be considered as our joint project with D. Soudry. Although many special cases have been treated in [24], [44], and [71], and a relevant idea to treat the general case was outlined by Soudry in [70], we state the well expected general computational result as a hypothesis for this paper.

Hypothesis 4.4 (Unramified Computation). *With all data being unramified, the local unramified zeta integral* $\mathcal{Z}_\nu(s, \phi_{\tau\otimes\sigma'}, \varphi_\pi, \psi_{\ell,w_0})$ *is equal to*

$$\mathcal{L}(s, \tau_\nu, \pi_\nu, \sigma_\nu; \rho)\mathfrak{b}_\nu(\varphi_{\pi_\nu}, \phi_{\sigma_\nu}), \tag{4.46}$$

if $a > \ell$; and equal to

$$\mathcal{L}(s, \tau_\nu, \pi_\nu, \sigma_\nu; \rho)\mathfrak{b}_\nu(\phi_{\sigma_\nu}, \varphi_{\pi_\nu}), \tag{4.47}$$

if $a \leq \ell$, where ϕ_{σ_ν} and φ_{π_ν} are the spherical functions of π_ν and σ_ν, respectively.

Note that the local Bessel functionals $\mathfrak{b}_\nu(\varphi_{\pi_\nu}, \phi_{\sigma_\nu})$ and $\mathfrak{b}_\nu(\phi_{\sigma_\nu}, \varphi_{\pi_\nu})$ are independent of s. The normalization we considered on the local Bessel functionals at unramified local places is to make them equal to 1.

Let S be a finite set of places including all ramified places and all archimedean places such that for $\nu \notin S$ all data are unramified. Following Theorem 4.4,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathcal{Z}(s, \phi_{\tau\otimes\sigma'}, \varphi_\pi, \psi_{\ell,w_0})\\
=&c_{\pi,\sigma}\prod_{\nu\in S}\mathcal{Z}_\nu(s, \phi_{\tau\otimes\sigma'}, \varphi_\pi, \psi_{\ell,w_0})\prod_{\nu\notin S}\mathcal{Z}_\nu(s, \phi_{\tau\otimes\sigma'}, \varphi_\pi, \psi_{\ell,w_0})\\
=&c_{\pi,\sigma}\mathcal{Z}_S(s, \phi_{\tau\otimes\sigma'}, \varphi_\pi, \psi_{\ell,w_0})\mathcal{L}^S(s, \tau, \pi, \sigma; \rho)\\
&\times\begin{cases}\mathfrak{b}^S(\varphi_{\pi_\nu}, \phi_{\sigma_\nu}) & \text{if } a > \ell\\ \mathfrak{b}^S(\phi_{\sigma_\nu}, \varphi_{\pi_\nu}) & \text{if } a \leq \ell,\end{cases}
\end{aligned}$$

where $\mathfrak{b}^S(\cdot,\cdot) = \prod_{\nu\notin S}\mathfrak{b}_\nu(\cdot,\cdot)$. Let us normalize the local zeta integral $\mathcal{Z}_\nu(s,\cdot)$ by

$$\mathcal{Z}^*_\nu(s, \phi_{\tau\otimes\sigma'}, \varphi_\pi, \psi_{\ell,w_0}) := \frac{\mathcal{Z}_\nu(s, \phi_{\tau\otimes\sigma'}, \varphi_\pi, \psi_{\ell,w_0})}{\mathcal{L}(s, \tau_\nu, \pi_\nu, \sigma_\nu; \rho)\mathfrak{b}_\nu(\varphi_{\pi_\nu}, \phi_{\sigma_\nu})} \tag{4.48}$$

if $a > \ell$; and

$$\mathcal{Z}^*_\nu(s, \phi_{\tau\otimes\sigma'}, \varphi_\pi, \psi_{\ell,w_0}) := \frac{\mathcal{Z}_\nu(s, \phi_{\tau\otimes\sigma'}, \varphi_\pi, \psi_{\ell,w_0})}{\mathcal{L}(s, \tau_\nu, \pi_\nu, \sigma_\nu; \rho)\mathfrak{b}_\nu(\phi_{\sigma_\nu}, \varphi_{\pi_\nu})} \tag{4.49}$$

if $a \leq \ell$. Here $\phi_\sigma = \otimes\phi_{\sigma_\nu}$ is the value of $\phi_{\tau\otimes\sigma}(I_{\mathfrak{m}};\cdot)$ (in (4.30)) lying in the σ-component. Remark that the denominator factor $\mathfrak{b}_\nu(\cdot,\cdot)$ is possibly equal to zero in general. If so, we simply normalize $\mathcal{Z}^*_\nu(s,\cdot)$ to be zero, which will not affect the next identity. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{Z}(s, \phi_{\tau\otimes\sigma'}, \varphi_\pi, \psi_{\ell,w_0}) \quad = \quad & \mathcal{Z}^*_S(s, \phi_{\tau\otimes\sigma'}, \varphi_\pi, \psi_{\ell,w_0})\cdot\mathcal{L}(s, \tau, \pi, \sigma; \rho)\\
&\cdot\begin{cases}\langle\mathcal{F}^{\psi^{-1}_{\beta-1,y_{-\kappa}}}(\varphi_\pi), \overline{\phi}_\sigma\rangle_{H_m}, & \text{if } a > \ell\\ \langle\varphi_\pi, \overline{\mathcal{F}^{\psi^{-1}_{a-\ell,y_\kappa}}(\phi_\sigma)}\rangle_{G^{w_0}_{m^-}}, & \text{if } a \leq \ell.\end{cases}
\end{aligned} \tag{4.50}$$

Here the inner products in (4.50) are defined in (4.38) and (4.43), respectively. We define

$$\mathcal{L}(s,\tau,\pi,\sigma;\rho):=\prod_{\nu}\mathcal{L}(s,\tau_\nu,\pi_\nu,\sigma_\nu;\rho); \tag{4.51}$$

and define

$$\mathcal{Z}_S^*(s,\phi_{\tau\otimes\sigma'},\varphi_\pi,\psi_{\ell,w_0}):=\prod_{\nu}\mathcal{Z}_\nu^*(s,\phi_{\tau\otimes\sigma'},\varphi_\pi,\psi_{\ell,w_0}). \tag{4.52}$$

Finally, we obtain the following formula for the global zeta integrals.

Theorem 4.5 (Global Zeta Integral Formula). *Let $\tau=\tau_1\boxplus\tau_2\boxplus\cdots\boxplus\tau_r$ be an irreducible unitary generic isobaric automorphic representation of $G_{E/F}(a)(\mathbb{A}_F)$ as given in* (4.1)*. Assume that $\pi\in\mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{cusp}}(G_{m^-}^{w_0})$ and $\sigma\in\mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{cusp}}(H_m)$ have generic global Arthur parameters, where $m^-=[\frac{\mathfrak{m}^-}{2}]$, $m=[\frac{\mathfrak{m}}{2}]$, and $\mathfrak{m}^-=\mathfrak{m}+2a-2\ell-1$. Then the following identity holds for the particularly chosen factorizable data as in Theorems 4.2 and 4.3:*

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{Z}(s,\phi_{\tau\otimes\sigma'},\varphi_\pi,\psi_{\ell,w_0}) &= \mathcal{Z}_S^*(s,\phi_{\tau\otimes\sigma'},\varphi_\pi,\psi_{\ell,w_0})\cdot\mathcal{L}(s,\tau,\pi,\sigma;\rho)\\ &\quad\cdot\begin{cases}\langle\mathcal{F}^{\psi^{-1}_{\beta-1,y_{-\kappa}}}(\varphi_\pi),\overline{\phi}_\sigma\rangle_{H_m}, & \text{if } a>\ell\\ \langle\varphi_\pi,\overline{\mathcal{F}^{\psi^{-1}_{a-\ell,y_\kappa}}(\phi_\sigma)}\rangle_{G^{w_0}_{m^-}}, & \text{if } a\le\ell.\end{cases}\end{aligned}$$

Here $\mathcal{Z}_S^*(\cdots)$ is defined in (4.52), *$\mathcal{L}(\cdots)$ is defined in* (4.51), *$\sigma'=\sigma^{w_q^\ell}$ is defined in* (4.29), *and the inner products $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle_{H_m}$ and $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle_{G^{w_0}_{m^-}}$ are defined in* (4.38) *and* (4.43), *respectively.*

We note that on the right hand side of the identity in Theorem 4.5 the dependence of τ occurs in the finite product of the normalized local ramified zeta integrals $\mathcal{Z}_S^*(\cdot)$, and the factor $\mathcal{L}(s,\tau,\pi,\sigma;\rho)$ is the same as σ' is replaced by σ as explained before.

When the groups H_{a+m} and $G_{m^-}^{w_0}$ are F-quasisplit orthogonal groups, Theorem 4.5 was proved in the work of Ginzburg, Piatetski-Shapiro and Rallis in [24] for the case of τ to be cuspidal. In the work of the authors in [44], it was proved for both H_{a+m} and $G_{m^-}^{w_0}$ to be F-quasisplit and for the case of generic $\tau=\tau_1\boxplus\cdots\boxplus\tau_r$ with any positive integer r.

4.7. **On even special orthogonal groups.** We explain with more details the twists that we get in the case of even special orthogonal groups. We follow the notation from Section 2 of [44]. First, P_j is the standard parabolic subgroup of SO_{4a+2m} with Levi subgroup isomorphic to $\mathrm{GL}_\ell\times\mathrm{SO}_{4n+2m-2\ell}(W_\ell)$. Here $\mathrm{SO}_{4n+2m-2\ell}(W_\ell)$ preserves the quadratic space

$$W_\ell = \mathrm{Span}\{e^{\pm}_{\ell+1}, \dots, e^{\pm}_{\mathfrak{r}_\mathfrak{m}-1}, e^{\pm}_{\mathfrak{r}_\mathfrak{m}}\} \oplus V_0.$$

G is the stabilizer of y_κ preserving the quadratic space

$$W_\ell \cap y_\kappa^\perp = \mathrm{Span}\{e^{\pm}_{\ell+1}, \dots, e^{\pm}_{\mathfrak{r}_\mathfrak{m}-1}, y_{-\kappa}\} \oplus V_0.$$

The anisotropic kernel of $W_\ell \cap y_\kappa^\perp$ is a subspace of $Fy_{-\kappa} \oplus V_0$. The inner period over π is arisen from the open double coset of $P_j \backslash \mathrm{SO}_{4n+2m}/G \cdot N_\ell$. Recall that we choose the following representative η for this coset

$$\begin{pmatrix} I_\ell & & & & & & \\ & & I_{j-\ell} & & & & \\ & I_{\mathfrak{r}_\mathfrak{m}-j} & & & & & \\ & & & I_{V_0} & & & \\ & & & & & I_{\mathfrak{r}_\mathfrak{m}-j} & \\ & & & & I_{j-\ell} & & \\ & & & & & & I_\ell \end{pmatrix}.$$

Recall that $G^\eta = G \cap (\eta^{-1}P_j\eta)$. Then under the conjugation of η, $\mathrm{SO}_{2m}(\eta^{-1}W_j)$ is the subgroup of G^η, which preserves

$$\mathrm{Span}\{e^{\pm}_{\ell+1}, \dots, e^{\pm}_{\mathfrak{r}_\mathfrak{m}-j+\ell}\} \oplus V_0.$$

For example, when $j = \ell + 1$, then $(G, \mathrm{SO}_{2m}(\eta^{-1}W_j))$ is the Gross-Prasad pair. That is, $\mathrm{SO}_{2m}(\eta^{-1}W_j)$ is the stabilizer of the anisotropic vector $y_{-\kappa}$. Thus $\mathrm{SO}_{2m}(\eta^{-1}W_j)$ is isomorphic to $\mathrm{SO}_{2m}(W_j)$.

5. On Reciprocal Identity for Bessel Periods

We apply the global zeta integral formula (Theorem 4.5) to the cases with particularly chosen datum (a, m, m^-) and obtain the *reciprocal identities for Bessel periods* considered in this paper.

5.1. **Special data for global zeta integrals.** Take as before the classical group $G_n = \mathrm{Isom}(V_\mathfrak{n}, q)^\circ$. The group G_n is a pure inner F-form of an F-quasisplit classical group $G_n^* = \mathrm{Isom}(V_\mathfrak{n}^*, q^*)^\circ$ of the same type. Here $\mathfrak{n} = \dim_E V_\mathfrak{n} = \dim_E V_\mathfrak{n}^*$ and $n = [\frac{\mathfrak{n}}{2}]$. Recall from Section 2.2 that $N = \mathfrak{n}^\vee$ is $\mathfrak{n}$ if G_n is a unitary group or an even special orthogonal group; and is $\mathfrak{n} - 1$ if G_n is an odd special orthogonal group.

Assume that $\pi \in \mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{cusp}}(G_n)$ has a G_n-relevant, generic global Arthur parameter $\phi \in \widetilde{\Phi}_2(G_n^*)$. As in (1.2), the generic global Arthur parameter ϕ determines an irreducible unitary generic isobaric automorphic representation $\tau = \tau_1 \boxplus \tau_2 \boxplus \cdots \boxplus \tau_r$ of $G_{E/F}(N)(\mathbb{A}_F)$, as given in (4.1). Take a G_n-relevant partition

$$\underline{p}_{\ell_*} = [(2\ell_* + 1)1^{\mathfrak{n}-2\ell_*-1}] \tag{5.1}$$

and consider the ℓ_*-th Bessel module $\mathcal{F}^{\mathcal{O}_{\ell_*}}(\pi)$ of π, or $\mathcal{F}^{\mathcal{O}_{\ell_*}}(\mathcal{C}_\pi)$ for a cuspidal realization $\mathcal{C}_\pi$ of π. Since π is irreducible and cuspidal, $\mathcal{F}^{\mathcal{O}_{\ell_*}}(\pi)$ consists of rapidly decreasing automorphic functions on $H^{\mathcal{O}_{\ell_*}}_{\ell_*^-}(\mathbb{A})$, and hence is a sub-representation of $H^{\mathcal{O}_{\ell_*}}_{\ell_*^-}(\mathbb{A})$ in the space of L^2-automorphic functions on $H^{\mathcal{O}_{\ell_*}}_{\ell_*^-}(\mathbb{A})$. Note that the group $H^{\mathcal{O}_{\ell_*}}_{\ell_*^-}$ is a pure inner F-form of an F-quasisplit $H^*_{\ell_*^-}$ with $\ell_*^- = [\frac{\mathfrak{l}_*^-}{2}]$ and $\mathfrak{l}_*^- = \mathfrak{n} - 2\ell_* - 1$.

We further assume that $\mathcal{F}^{\mathcal{O}_{\ell_*}}(\mathcal{C}_\pi)$ is nonzero and has the property that there exists a $\sigma \in \mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{cusp}}(H^{\mathcal{O}_{\ell_*}}_{\ell_*^-})$ with a generic global Arthur parameter, such that the inner product

$$\left\langle \mathcal{F}^{\psi_{\mathcal{O}_{\ell_*}}}(\varphi_\pi), \overline{\varphi}_\sigma \right\rangle_{H^{\mathcal{O}_{\ell_*}}_{\ell_*^-}} \neq 0, \tag{5.2}$$

for some $\varphi_\pi \in \mathcal{C}_\pi$ and $\varphi_\sigma \in \mathcal{C}_\sigma$, where $\mathcal{C}_\sigma$ is a cuspidal realization of σ.

Note that the index ℓ_* may not be the *first occurrence index* as described in Conjecture 2.3. In this generality, the discussion in this section can also be applied to the proof of the global Gan-Gross-Prasad conjecture in this paper.

We take in this section that $m := \ell_*^-$ and $\mathfrak{m} := \mathfrak{l}_*^- = \mathfrak{n} - 2\ell_* - 1$. In the definition of global zeta integrals in Section 4.1, we take

$$H_m = H^{\mathcal{O}_{\ell_*}}_{\ell_*^-} \quad \text{and} \quad a = N = \mathfrak{n}^\vee, \tag{5.3}$$

and take H_{a+m} to be the classical group containing the Levi subgroup $G_{E/F}(a) \times H_m$. To define the global zeta integrals, we take the partition

$$\underline{p}_{\kappa_*} = [(2\kappa_* + 1)1^{2a+\mathfrak{m}-2\kappa_*-1}] \tag{5.4}$$

with $\kappa_* := a - \ell_* - 1$. It is a partition of type $(2a + \mathfrak{m}, H_{a+m})$. For any F-rational orbit $\mathcal{O}_{\kappa_*}$ in the F-stable orbit $\mathcal{O}^{\mathrm{st}}_{\underline{p}_{\kappa_*}}$, we have the stabilizer $G^{\mathcal{O}_{\kappa_*}}_{m^-} = G^{w_*}_{m^-}$ as in Theorem 4.5, with $(\kappa_*)^- = m^-$. The integer $\mathfrak{m}^-$, such that $m^- = [\frac{\mathfrak{m}^-}{2}]$, can be calculated as follows: By definition, we have

$$\mathfrak{m}^- = 2a + \mathfrak{m} - 2\kappa_* - 1 = \mathfrak{m} + 2(a - \kappa_*) - 1. \tag{5.5}$$

Since $a - \kappa_* = \ell_* + 1$, we have $\mathfrak{m}^- = \mathfrak{m} + 2\ell_* + 1$. Since $\mathfrak{m} = \mathfrak{n} - 2\ell_* - 1$, we must have that $\mathfrak{m}^- = \mathfrak{n}$ and hence that $m^- = n$. By Proposition 2.6, and the relation of the three groups (H_{a+m}, G_n, H_m), it is not hard to find the F-anisotropic vector w_* corresponding to the F-rational orbit $\mathcal{O}_{\kappa_*}$ such that G_n can be identified with the stabilizer $G^{\mathcal{O}_{\kappa_*}}_{m^-} = G^{w_*}_{m^-}$. We are ready to obtain consequences of Theorem 4.5 for those particularly chosen data.

5.2. **Properties of the normalized local zeta integrals.** We deduce the following results on $\mathcal{Z}^*_S(s,\phi_{\tau\otimes\sigma'},\varphi_\pi,\psi_{\mathcal{O}_{\kappa_*}})$, which is the finite product of the normalized local zeta integrals.

Proposition 5.1. *Assume that the data defining the global zeta integrals are chosen as in Section 5.1. Let* $\pi\in\mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{cusp}}(G_n)$ *have the generic global Arthur parameter* ϕ_τ, *with* τ *being in* (4.1). *Assume that the inner product* $\left\langle\mathcal{F}^{\psi_{\mathcal{O}_{\ell_*}}}(\varphi_\pi),\overline{\varphi}_\sigma\right\rangle_{H_m}$, *as in* (5.2), *is nonzero for some choice of* $\varphi_\pi\in\mathcal{C}_\pi$ *and* $\varphi_\sigma\in\mathcal{C}_\sigma$. *Then the following hold.*

1. $\mathcal{Z}^*_S(s,\phi_{\tau\otimes\sigma'},\varphi_\pi,\psi_{\mathcal{O}_{\kappa_*}})$, *defined as the finite product of the normalized local zeta integrals, is a meromorphic function in* s *over* $\mathbb{C}$ *for any choice of* $\phi_{\tau\otimes\sigma'}$ *with the given* φ_σ *and for the given* φ_π.
2. $\mathcal{Z}^*_S(s,\phi_{\tau\otimes\sigma'},\varphi_\pi,\psi_{\mathcal{O}_{\kappa_*}})$ *is holomorphic at* $s=\frac{1}{2}$ *for any choice of data as in Part* (1).
3. *Fix an arbitrary* $s=s_0\in\mathbb{C}$. *For any* $\varphi_{\sigma_\nu}\in\sigma_\nu$ *and* $\varphi_{\pi_\nu}\in\pi_\nu$ *such that the local pairing* $\mathfrak{b}_\nu(\varphi_{\pi_\nu},\varphi_{\sigma_\nu})$ *is nonzero for every* $\nu\in S$, *there exists* $\phi_{\tau_\nu\otimes\sigma'_\nu}$ *whose value of* $\phi_{\tau_\nu\otimes\sigma'_\nu}(I_{2a+\mathfrak{m}};\cdot)$ *in the* σ'*-component equals* $\varphi_{\sigma_\nu}\circ\mathrm{Ad}(w_q^\ell)$ *such that the finite product of the non-normalized local zeta integrals,* $\mathcal{Z}_S(s,\phi_{\tau\otimes\sigma'},\varphi_\pi,\psi_{\mathcal{O}_{\kappa_*}})$, *is a nonzero constant at* $s=s_0$.
4. *There exist factorizable data* φ_π, φ_σ, *and* $\phi_{\tau\otimes\sigma'}$, *such that the inner product* $\left\langle\mathcal{F}^{\psi_{\mathcal{O}_{\ell_*}}}(\varphi_\pi),\overline{\varphi}_\sigma\right\rangle_{H_m}$, *and* $\mathcal{Z}^*_S(s,\phi_{\tau\otimes\sigma'},\varphi_\pi,\psi_{\mathcal{O}_{\kappa_*}})$ *at* $s=\frac{1}{2}$ *are simultaneously nonzero.*

First, we use the identity in Theorem 4.5 to prove Part (1). From the special data chosen in Section 5.1, we have that $a-\kappa_*=\ell_*+1$ and hence $a>\kappa_*$. The identity in Theorem 4.5 with the chosen data here is written as:

$$\begin{aligned}(5.6)\qquad &\left\langle\varphi_\pi,\overline{\mathcal{F}^{\psi_{\mathcal{O}_{\kappa_*}}}(E(\cdot,\phi_{\tau\otimes\sigma'},s))}\right\rangle_{G_n}\\ &=\ \mathcal{Z}^*_S(s,\phi_{\tau\otimes\sigma'},\varphi_\pi,\psi_{\mathcal{O}_{\kappa_*}})\cdot\mathcal{L}(s,\tau,\pi,\sigma;\rho)\cdot\left\langle\mathcal{F}^{\psi_{\mathcal{O}_{\ell_*}}}(\varphi_\pi),\overline{\varphi}_\sigma\right\rangle_{H_m}.\end{aligned}$$

Here $\varphi_\sigma=\phi_{\sigma'}\circ\mathrm{Ad}(w_q^\ell)$ and $\phi_{\sigma'}$ is the σ-component of the value of $\phi_{\tau\otimes\sigma}(I_{\mathfrak{m}+2a};\cdot)$.

Let us consider the right hand side of the identity in (5.6). By assumption, the inner product $\left\langle\mathcal{F}^{\psi_{\mathcal{O}_{\ell_*}}}(\varphi_\pi),\overline{\varphi}_\sigma\right\rangle_{H_m}$ is nonzero and independent of s. In the L-function part, we have

$$(5.7)\qquad \mathcal{L}(s,\tau,\pi,\sigma;\rho)=\frac{L(s+\frac{1}{2},\tau\times\pi)}{L(s+1,\tau\times\sigma)L(2s+1,\tau,\rho)}.$$

Since the cuspidal automorphic representations π and σ are assumed to have generic global Arthur parameters, the complete L-functions $L(s, \tau \times \pi)$ and $L(s, \tau \times \sigma)$ are defined in terms of the global Arthur parameters of π and σ, respectively. Hence $\mathcal{L}(s, \tau, \pi, \sigma; \rho)$ is meromorphic in s over $\mathbb{C}$.

In the left hand side of the identity in (5.6), the Fourier coefficient $\mathcal{F}^{\psi_{\mathcal{O}_{\kappa_*}}}(E(\cdot, \phi_{\tau\otimes\sigma'}, s))$ is meromorphic in s over $\mathbb{C}$ and the inner product $\left\langle \varphi_\pi, \overline{\mathcal{F}^{\psi_{\mathcal{O}_{\kappa_*}}}(E(\cdot, \phi_{\tau\otimes\sigma'}, s))} \right\rangle_{G_n}$ is well defined when s is away from the poles of $\mathcal{F}^{\psi_{\mathcal{O}_{\kappa_*}}}(E(\cdot, \phi_{\tau\otimes\sigma'}, s))$, since φ_π is cuspidal. Hence the left hand side of the identity is meromorphic in s over $\mathbb{C}$. It follows that the finite product of the normalized local zeta integrals, $\mathcal{Z}_S^*(s, \phi_{\tau\otimes\sigma'}, \varphi_\pi, \psi_{\mathcal{O}_{\kappa_*}})$, is a meromorphic function in s over $\mathbb{C}$ for any choice of $\phi_{\tau\otimes\sigma'}$ with the given φ_σ and for the given φ_π. This proves Part (1).

Now, in order to prove Part (2), we need more specific information from both sides. In the expression (5.7), the following product

$$L(s+\frac{1}{2}, \tau \times \pi) = \prod_{i=1}^{r} L(s+\frac{1}{2}, \tau_i \times \pi)$$

has a pole at $s = \frac{1}{2}$ of order r, since the cuspidal automorphic representation π has the generic global Arthur parameter ϕ_τ with

$$\tau = \tau_1 \boxplus \cdots \boxplus \tau_r$$

as in (4.1). It is clear that the product

$$L(s+1, \tau \times \sigma) = \prod_{i=1}^{r} L(s+1, \tau_i \times \sigma)$$

and the product

$$L(2s+1, \tau, \rho) = \prod_{i=1}^{r} L(2s+1, \tau_i, \rho) \times \prod_{1 \le i < j \le r} L(2s+1, \tau_i \times \tau_j^\iota)$$

are holomorphic and nonzero at $s = \frac{1}{2}$. It follows that the L-function part $\mathcal{L}(s, \tau, \pi, \sigma; \rho)$ has a pole at $s = \frac{1}{2}$ of order r. The inner product $\left\langle \mathcal{F}^{\psi_{\mathcal{O}_{\ell_*}}}(\varphi_\pi), \overline{\varphi}_\sigma \right\rangle_{H_m}$ is assumed to be nonzero and independent of s. In order to show that the finite product of the normalized local zeta integrals, $\mathcal{Z}_S^*(s, \phi_{\tau\otimes\sigma'}, \varphi_\pi, \psi_{\mathcal{O}_{\kappa_*}})$, is holomorphic at $s = \frac{1}{2}$, it is enough to show that the inner product in the left hand side,

$$\left\langle \varphi_\pi, \overline{\mathcal{F}^{\psi_{\mathcal{O}_{\kappa_*}}}(E(\cdot, \phi_{\tau\otimes\sigma'}, s))} \right\rangle_{G_n},$$

has a pole at $s = \frac{1}{2}$ of order at most r.

First, we look at the Eisenstein series $E(\cdot,\phi_{\tau\otimes\sigma},s)$. ($\sigma$ will be replaced by σ' in our later application.) We calculate the constant terms of $E(\cdot,\phi_{\tau\otimes\sigma},s)$. According to the cuspidal support of $E(\cdot,\phi_{\tau\otimes\sigma},s)$, among all of the constant terms that are not identically zero, the term that carries the highest order of the pole at $s=\frac{1}{2}$ is given by the following intertwining operator integral

$$\mathcal{M}(\omega_0,\tau\otimes\sigma,s)(\phi_{\tau\otimes\sigma})(g):=\int_{U_{\hat{a}}(\mathbb{A})}\lambda_s\phi_{\tau\otimes\sigma}(\omega_0^{-1}ng)dn, \tag{5.8}$$

where $U_{\hat{a}}$ is the unipotent radical of the standard maximal parabolic subgroup $P_{\hat{a}}=M_{\hat{a}}U_{\hat{a}}$ with $M_{\hat{a}}=G_{E/F}(a)\times H_m$, and the Weyl group element ω_0 takes $U_{\hat{a}}$ to is opposite $U_{\hat{a}}^-$. Following the calculation of Langlands ([54] and also [68]), one may choose the factorizable section $\phi=\phi_{\tau\otimes\sigma}$ so that $\mathcal{M}(\omega_0,\tau\otimes\sigma,s)(\phi)$ enjoys the following property:
(5.9)

$$\mathcal{M}(\omega_0,\tau\otimes\sigma,s)_S(\phi_S)\cdot\frac{L^S(s,\tau\times\sigma)L^S(2s,\tau,\rho)}{L^S(1+s,\tau\times\sigma)L^S(1+2s,\tau,\rho)}\lambda_{-s}\phi^S_{\omega_0(\tau\otimes\sigma)},$$

where $\mathcal{M}(\omega_0,\phi_{\tau\otimes\sigma},s)_S$ is the finite product of the local intertwining operators over $\nu\in S$ and $\phi_S=\prod_{\nu\in S}\phi_{\tau_\nu\otimes\sigma_\nu}$. Since the cuspidal automorphic representation σ is assumed to have a generic global Arthur parameter and we define the local L-factors at $\nu\in S$ in terms of τ and the generic global Arthur parameter of σ, we take the Shahidi normalization by defining, for each $\nu\in S$,

$$\mathcal{N}(\omega_0,\tau\otimes\sigma,s)_\nu:=\beta_\nu(s,\tau,\sigma,\psi_F;\rho)\cdot\mathcal{M}(\omega_0,\tau\otimes\sigma,s)_\nu, \tag{5.10}$$

where the local normalizing factor $\beta_\nu(s,\tau,\sigma,\psi_F;\rho)$ is defined to be

$$\frac{L_\nu(1+s,\tau\times\sigma)L_\nu(1+2s,\tau,\rho)\epsilon_\nu(s,\tau\times\sigma,\psi_F)\epsilon_\nu(2s,\tau,\rho,\psi_F)}{L_\nu(s,\tau\times\sigma)L_\nu(2s,\tau,\rho)}. \tag{5.11}$$

Hence we obtain the following:

$$\mathcal{M}(\omega_0,\tau\otimes\sigma,s)=\frac{\mathcal{N}(\omega_0,\tau\otimes\sigma,s)\cdot L(s,\tau\times\sigma)L(2s,\tau,\rho)}{L(1+s,\tau\times\sigma)L(1+2s,\tau,\rho)\epsilon(s,\tau\times\sigma)\epsilon(2s,\tau,\rho)}.$$

We call $\mathcal{N}(\omega_0,\tau\otimes\sigma,s)_\nu$ the normalized local intertwining operators.

Theorem 5.2. *Let $\tau=\tau_1\boxplus\cdots\boxplus\tau_r$ be the irreducible isobaric automorphic representation of $G_{E/F}(a)(\mathbb{A})$ as in (4.1), and $\sigma\in\mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{cusp}}(H_m)$ of a generic global Arthur parameter ϕ_σ. Then, for each local place ν of F, the normalized local intertwining operator $\mathcal{N}(\omega_0,\tau\otimes\sigma,s)_\nu$ from the induced space $\mathrm{Ind}_{P_{\hat{a}}(F_\nu)}^{H_{a+m}(F_\nu)}|\cdot|^s\tau_\nu\otimes\sigma_\nu$ to $\mathrm{Ind}_{P_{\hat{a}}(F_\nu)}^{H_{a+m}(F_\nu)}|\cdot|^{-s}\tau_\nu^*\otimes\sigma_\nu$ is holomorphic and nonzero for $\mathrm{Re}(s)\geq\frac{1}{2}$, where $\tau_\nu^*=\iota(\tau_\nu)^\vee$ is the contragredient of $\iota(\tau)$.*

We remark that when H_m is F-quasisplit, much stronger result than what stated in Theorem 5.2 can be proved when σ is also assumed to be generic (see [13], for instance and also see [61]). We will prove Theorem 5.2 in Appendix B.

By Theorem 5.2, we have that the normalized global intertwining operator $\mathcal{N}(\omega_0, \tau\otimes\sigma, s)$ is holomorphic and nonzero for $\mathrm{Re}(s) \geq \frac{1}{2}$. On the other hand, it is easy to write

$$L(2s,\tau,\rho) = \prod_{j=1}^{r} L(2s,\tau_j,\rho) \cdot \prod_{1\leq i<j\leq r} L(2s,\tau_i\times\tau_j^{\iota}).$$

Since $\tau_1,\cdots,\tau_r$ are conjugate self-dual and distinct for all $1 \leq i < j \leq r$, $L(2s,\tau_i\times\tau_j^{\iota})$ is holomorphic and nonzero at $s = \frac{1}{2}$. It follows that the L-function $L(2s,\tau,\rho)$ has a pole at $s = \frac{1}{2}$ of order r. Since the generic global Arthur parameter ϕ_τ associated to τ and the generic global Arthur parameter ϕ_σ associated to σ are in different parity, the L-function $L(s,\tau\times\sigma)$ must be of symplectic type ([17]), and is holomorphic at $s = \frac{1}{2}$, but may have zero at $s = \frac{1}{2}$. It follows that the global intertwining operator $\mathcal{M}(\omega_0,\tau\otimes\sigma,s)$ has a pole at $s = \frac{1}{2}$ of order at most r. This implies that the Eisenstein series $E(\cdot,\phi_{\tau\otimes\sigma},s)$ has a pole at $\frac{1}{2}$ of order at most r.

Next, we consider the inner product $\left\langle \varphi_\pi, \overline{\mathcal{F}^{\psi_{\mathcal{O}_{\kappa_*}}}(E(\cdot,\phi_{\tau\otimes\sigma'},s))}\right\rangle_{G_n}$ on the left side of the identity in (5.6). It is clear that the Fourier coefficient $\mathcal{F}^{\psi_{\mathcal{O}_{\kappa_*}}}(E(\cdot,\phi_{\tau\otimes\sigma'},s))$ of the Eisenstein series $E(\cdot,\phi_{\tau\otimes\sigma'},s)$ has a pole at $s = \frac{1}{2}$ of order at most r. The inner product of the Fourier coefficient with the cuspidal automorphic form φ_π can not increase the order of the pole at $s = \frac{1}{2}$. Therefore, the left hand side of the identity in (5.6) has a pole at $s = \frac{1}{2}$ of order at most r. Finally, using the identity (5.6) again, we obtain that the finite product of the normalized local zeta integrals, $\mathcal{Z}_S^*(s,\phi_{\tau\otimes\sigma'},\varphi_\pi,\psi_{\mathcal{O}_{\kappa_*}})$, must be holomorphic at $\frac{1}{2}$. This proves Part (2).

Part (3) will be proved in Appendix A.

Based on Part (3), Part (4) can be proved as follows. By assumption, the inner product $\left\langle \mathcal{F}^{\psi_{\mathcal{O}_{\ell_*}}}(\varphi_\pi), \overline{\varphi}_\sigma \right\rangle_{H_m}$ is not identically zero. By the uniqueness of the local Bessel models ([2], [72], [17] and [43]), we have for factorizable vectors

$$\left\langle \mathcal{F}^{\psi_{\mathcal{O}_{\ell_*}}}(\varphi_\pi), \overline{\varphi}_\sigma \right\rangle_{H_m} = c_{\pi,\sigma} \cdot \prod_{\nu} \mathfrak{b}_\nu(\varphi_{\pi_\nu},\varphi_{\sigma_\nu}), \tag{5.12}$$

where the constant $c_{\pi,\sigma}$ as defined in (4.38) (as $a > \kappa_*$) depends only on π and σ. It follows that $c_{\pi,\sigma} \neq 0$ and $\mathfrak{b}_\nu(\varphi_{\pi_\nu},\varphi_{\sigma_\nu})$ is nonzero for every ν. We may take the factorizable section $\phi_{\tau\otimes\sigma'}$ such that the value of $\phi_{\tau\otimes\sigma'}(I_{2a+\mathfrak{m}};\cdot)$ in the σ-component to be $\varphi_\sigma\circ\mathrm{Ad}(w_q^\ell)$. With such a choice of $\phi_{\tau\otimes\sigma'}$, since $\mathfrak{b}_\nu(\varphi_{\pi_\nu},\varphi_{\sigma_\nu})$ is nonzero for every ν, by Part (3), the non-normalized $\mathcal{Z}_S(s,\phi_{\tau\otimes\sigma'},\varphi_\pi,\psi_{\mathcal{O}_{\kappa_*}})$ is nonzero at $s=\frac{1}{2}$. Hence the normalized $\mathcal{Z}_S^*(s,\phi_{\tau\otimes\sigma'},\varphi_\pi,\psi_{\mathcal{O}_{\kappa_*}})$ is also nonzero at $\frac{1}{2}$. This proves Part (4) and hence the proof of Proposition 5.1 is now complete.

From Theorem 5.2 and the proof of Proposition 5.1, it is easy to deduce the following.

Proposition 5.3. *With the notation as above, the Eisenstein series* $E(\cdot,\phi_{\tau\otimes\sigma},s)$ *has a pole at* $s=\frac{1}{2}$ *of order* r *if and only if* $L(s,\tau_i,\rho)$ *has a pole at* $s=1$ *for* $i=1,2,\cdots,r$, *and* $L(s,\tau\times\sigma)$ *is holomorphic and nonzero at* $s=\frac{1}{2}$.

5.3. **Reciprocal identity for Bessel periods.** We continue with the assumptions made in Section 5.1, and obtain, with the help of Section 5.2, a *reciprocal identity* for two Bessel periods, which is a refinement of the identity in Theorem 4.5.

We first recall the following identity from Theorem 4.5 and also Equation (5.6)

$$\begin{aligned}(5.13)\quad &\left\langle\varphi_\pi,\overline{\mathcal{F}^{\psi_{\mathcal{O}_{\kappa_*}}}(E(\cdot,\phi_{\tau\otimes\sigma'},s))}\right\rangle_{G_n}\\ &=\ \mathcal{Z}_S^*(s,\phi_{\tau\otimes\sigma'},\varphi_\pi,\psi_{\mathcal{O}_{\kappa_*}})\cdot\mathcal{L}(s,\tau,\pi,\sigma;\rho)\cdot\left\langle\mathcal{F}^{\psi_{\mathcal{O}_{\ell_*}}}(\varphi_\pi),\overline{\varphi}_\sigma\right\rangle_{H_m}.\end{aligned}$$

Here we take $\phi_{\tau\otimes\sigma'}$ to be a $\tau\otimes\sigma'$-valued function as in (4.30), and denote $\varphi_\sigma\circ\mathrm{Ad}(w_q^\ell)=\otimes_\nu\varphi_{\sigma_\nu}\circ\mathrm{Ad}(w_q^\ell)$ to be the value of $\phi_{\tau\otimes\sigma'}(I_{2a+\mathfrak{m}};\cdot)$ in the σ'-component as in Page 44.

Since $\mathcal{L}(s,\tau,\pi,\sigma;\rho)$ has a pole at $s=\frac{1}{2}$ of order r, and by Part (4) of Proposition 5.1, there is a choice of factorizable data such that the inner product $\left\langle\mathcal{F}^{\psi_{\mathcal{O}_{\ell_*}}}(\varphi_\pi),\overline{\varphi}_\sigma\right\rangle_{H_m}$ and $\mathcal{Z}_S^*(s,\phi_{\tau\otimes\sigma'},\varphi_\pi,\psi_{\mathcal{O}_{\kappa_*}})$ at $s=\frac{1}{2}$ are simultaneously nonzero. The right hand side of Equation (5.13) has a pole at $s=\frac{1}{2}$ of order exactly equal to r. By (5.13) again, the left hand side has a pole at $s=\frac{1}{2}$ of order exactly equal to r. By taking the iterated residue at $s=\frac{1}{2}$ both sides, we obtain the following identity:

$$(5.14)\quad \mathrm{Res}_{s=\frac{1}{2}}\left\langle\varphi_\pi,\overline{\mathcal{F}^{\psi_{\mathcal{O}_{\kappa_*}}}(E(\cdot,\phi_{\tau\otimes\sigma'},s))}\right\rangle_{G_n}=C_{\tau,\sigma,\pi}\cdot\left\langle\mathcal{F}^{\psi_{\mathcal{O}_{\ell_*}}}(\varphi_\pi),\overline{\varphi}_\sigma\right\rangle_{H_m}.$$

where the constant

$$(5.15)\qquad C_{\tau,\sigma,\pi}:=\mathcal{Z}_S^*(\frac{1}{2},\phi_{\tau\otimes\sigma'},\varphi_\pi,\psi_{\mathcal{O}_{\kappa_*}})\cdot\mathrm{Res}_{s=\frac{1}{2}}\mathcal{L}(s,\tau,\pi,\sigma;\rho).$$

Since φ_π is cuspidal, we have

$$\mathrm{Res}_{s=\frac{1}{2}}\left\langle\varphi_\pi,\overline{\mathcal{F}^{\psi_{\mathcal{O}_{\kappa_*}}}(E(\cdot,\phi_{\tau\otimes\sigma'},s))}\right\rangle_{G_n}=\left\langle\varphi_\pi,\overline{\mathcal{F}^{\psi_{\mathcal{O}_{\kappa_*}}}(\mathcal{E}_{\tau\otimes\sigma'})}\right\rangle_{G_n},$$

where $\mathcal{E}_{\tau\otimes\sigma'}$ denotes, as before, the iterated residue of the Eisenstein series $E(\cdot,\phi_{\tau\otimes\sigma'},s)$ at the pole $s=\frac{1}{2}$ of order exactly equal to r. Hence we obtain

Theorem 5.4 (Reciprocal Identity for Bessel Periods)**.** *With the assumptions in Section 5.1, the $\psi_{\mathcal{O}_{\kappa_*}}$-Fourier coefficient $\mathcal{F}^{\psi_{\mathcal{O}_{\kappa_*}}}(\mathcal{E}_{\tau\otimes\sigma'})$ is nonzero and enjoys the following identity:*

$$\left\langle\varphi_\pi,\overline{\mathcal{F}^{\psi_{\mathcal{O}_{\kappa_*}}}(\mathcal{E}_{\tau\otimes\sigma'})}\right\rangle_{G_n}=C_{\tau,\sigma,\pi}\cdot\left\langle\mathcal{F}^{\psi_{\mathcal{O}_{\ell_*}}}(\varphi_\pi),\overline{\varphi}_\sigma\right\rangle_{H_m},$$

where the constant is defined as in (5.15)*. The inner products may depend on the cuspidal realizations of π and σ.*

5.4. **On the global Gan-Gross-Prasad conjecture: one direction.** We are ready to derive the proof of one of the direction of the global Gan-Gross-Prasad conjecture (Conjectures 24.1 and 26.1 in [17]), as an application of the reciprocal identity for Bessel periods in Theorem 5.4.

By Theorem 5.4, if the inner product $\left\langle\mathcal{F}^{\psi_{\mathcal{O}_{\ell_*}}}(\varphi_\pi),\varphi_\sigma\right\rangle_{H_m}$, which is a Bessel period, is nonzero for some choice of data as in (5.2), then the Fourier coefficient $\mathcal{F}^{\psi_{\mathcal{O}_{\kappa_*}}}(\mathcal{E}_{\tau\otimes\bar{\sigma}'})$ is nonzero, where $\bar{\sigma}'$ is the complex conjugate of σ'. As a consequence, we obtain that the iterated residual representation $\mathcal{E}_{\tau\otimes\bar{\sigma}'}$ is nonzero. By Proposition 5.3, we obtain that $L(s,\tau\times\bar{\sigma})=L(s,\tau\times\bar{\sigma}')$ is holomorphic and nonzero at $s=\frac{1}{2}$.

Because $\bar{\sigma}$ is isomorphic to the contragredient $\sigma^\vee$ of σ, it follows that $L(s,\tau\times\sigma^\vee)$ is holomorphic and nonzero at $s=\frac{1}{2}$, and so is $L(s,\tau\times\sigma)$. This proves one direction of the global Gan-Gross-Prasad conjecture ([17]) in the full generality for the classical groups considered in this paper.

Theorem 5.5 (Global Gan-Gross-Prasad Conjecture: one direction)**.** *For any $\pi\in\mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{cusp}}(G_n)$ with a G_n-relevant, generic global Arthur parameter in $\widetilde{\Phi}_2(G_n^*)_{G_n}$, and with a cuspidal realization $\mathcal{C}_\pi$ of π, assume that the Bessel period*

$$\left\langle\mathcal{F}^{\psi_{\mathcal{O}_{\ell_*}}}(\varphi_\pi),\varphi_\sigma\right\rangle_{H_m}$$

is nonzero with a choice of $\varphi_\pi\in\mathcal{C}_\pi$ and $\varphi_\sigma\in\mathcal{C}_\sigma$, for some $\sigma\in\mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{cusp}}(H_m)$ with an H_m-relevant, generic global Arthur parameter in $\widetilde{\Phi}_2(H_m^*)_{H_m}$, and with a cuspidal realization $\mathcal{C}_\sigma$ of σ. Then the tensor *product L-function* $L(s,\pi\times\sigma)=L(s,\tau\times\sigma)$ *must be holomorphic and nonzero at* $s=\frac{1}{2}$.

Some remarks are in order.

First of all, the original global Gan-Gross-Prasad conjecture in [17] assumes that the cuspidal multiplicity of $\pi\in\mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{cusp}}(G_n)$ should be one. Theorem 5.5 takes care of the even special orthogonal group case, where the cuspidal multiplicity of π could be two.

When $G_n=G_n^*$ and $H_m=H_m^*$ are F-quasisplit, and when both π and σ are generic, i.e. have non-zero Whittaker-Fourier coefficients, and have simple, generic global Arthur parameters, i.e. their Langlands functorial transfers to the corresponding general linear groups are cuspidal, Theorem 5.5 was considered in [21], [22], and [23] by an approach mixing the Arthur truncation method and the Rankin-Selberg method. Recently, it was noticed by experts that there exists a gap in the proof of Proposition 5.3 in [21], which was duplicated in [22] and [23]. This technical gap is crucial to the complete proof of the special case of Theorem 5.5 considered in those papers, and needs to be filled up.

Meanwhile, the assumption of the genericity of both π and σ and the assumption of the cuspidality of the functorial transfer of π and σ to general linear groups are critical to make the arguments and proofs work before Proposition 5.3 in [21], and the same in [22] and [23]. Those restrictions disappear in the approach taken in this paper. It seems to the authors of this paper that the approach taken up using the general framework (including the twisted automorphic descents and the reciprocal identity for Bessel periods) considered in this paper is more natural and conceptual way to attack the global Gan-Gross-Prasad conjecture.

It is also very important to mention that W. Zhang proved the global Gan-Gross-Prasad conjecture ([82] and [83]) for F-quasisplit unitary groups $\mathrm{U}_n\times\mathrm{U}_{n-1}$, with certain local assumptions, and with the global assumption on cuspidality of the global Langlands functorial transfers from unitary groups to general linear groups. His approach is based on the Jacquet-Rallis relative trace formula originally developed in [36] for unitary groups. The progress to extend the approach of Zhang to more general situation has been picked up by Y. Liu ([57]) and by H. Xue ([79]). However, this relative trace formula approach is so far not known to be available for classical groups that are not unitary groups. The approach taken up in this paper treats both unitary groups and orthogonal groups uniformly. The same approach is expected to work for symplectic groups and metaplectic groups with replacement of Bessel models by Fourier-Jacobi models. We refer to our work ([45]) for more details.

The other direction of the global Gan-Gross-Prasad conjecture ([17]) is more delicate and will be discussed in Section 6.3 with assumption on the structure of Fourier coefficients of the residual representation $\mathcal{E}_{\tau\otimes\sigma}$ on $H_{a+m}(\mathbb{A})$. See Theorem 6.10 for details.

6. Construction of Cuspidal Automorphic Modules

In order to construct the explicit modules for cuspidal automorphic representations of G_n with generic global Arthur parameters, we have to introduce the source representations for the construction. The data suggested by Conjecture 2.3 will be used in the construction via the twisted automorphic descents. The assumption made in Section 5.1 will be replaced by Conjecture 2.3.

For a given $\pi \in \mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{cusp}}(G_n)$ with a G_n-relevant, generic global Arthur parameter $\phi = \phi_\tau \in \widetilde{\Phi}_2(G_n^*)$, we recall that ϕ_τ has the form

$$(\tau_1, 1) \boxplus (\tau_2, 1) \boxplus \cdots \boxplus (\tau_r, 1) \in \widetilde{\Phi}_2(G_n^*).$$

Take a $\sigma \in \mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{cusp}}(H_m)$ with an H_m-relevant, generic global Arthur parameter $\phi_\sigma \in \widetilde{\Phi}_2(H_m^*)$, and define a non-generic global Arthur parameter by

$$\psi_{\tau,\sigma} := (\tau_1, 2) \boxplus (\tau_2, 2) \boxplus \cdots \boxplus (\tau_r, 2) \boxplus \phi_\sigma. \tag{6.1}$$

It is clear that $\psi_{\tau,\sigma}$ belongs to $\widetilde{\Psi}_2(H_{a+m}^*)$, and is H_{a+m}-relevant. Let $\widetilde{\Pi}_{\psi_{\tau,\sigma}}(H_{a+m})$ be the global Arthur packet attached to the global Arthur parameter $\psi_{\tau,\sigma}$ in (6.1). As in [41], one may easily verify the following property.

Proposition 6.1. *The residual representation $\mathcal{E}_{\tau\otimes\sigma}$ is square integrable, and, if non-zero, belongs to the global Arthur packet $\widetilde{\Pi}_{\psi_{\tau,\sigma}}(H_{a+m})$ with the global Arthur parameter $\psi_{\tau,\sigma}$ given in* (6.1).

Now we introduce the specific data suggested by Conjecture 2.3. By Proposition 2.2, for a given $\pi \in \mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{cusp}}(G_n)$ with a G_n-relevant, generic global Arthur parameter $\phi = \phi_\tau \in \widetilde{\Phi}_2(G_n^*)$ and with the cuspidal realization $\mathcal{C}_\pi$ as given by Conjecture 2.3, there exists a partition $\underline{p}_{\ell_0} = [(2\ell_0 + 1)1^{\mathfrak{n}-2\ell_0-1}]$, associated to which the (maximal) ℓ_0-Bessel module $\mathcal{F}^{\mathcal{O}_{\ell_0}}(\mathcal{C}_\pi)$ is cuspidal and nonzero, as a representation of $H_m^{\mathcal{O}_{\ell_0}}(\mathbb{A})$ occurring in the cuspidal spectrum $L^2_{\mathrm{cusp}}(H_m^{\mathcal{O}_{\ell_0}})$. In this situation, we take the data that $m = \ell_0^-$, $\mathfrak{m} = \mathfrak{l}_0^- = \mathfrak{n} - 2\ell_0 - 1$, and $H_m = H_m^{\mathcal{O}_{\ell_0}}$.

By Conjecture 2.3, there exists a $\sigma \in \mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{cusp}}(H_m)$ with an H_m-relevant, generic global Arthur parameter ϕ_σ in $\widetilde{\Phi}_2(H_m^*)$ and with the cuspidal realization $\mathcal{C}_\sigma$, such that the inner product $\left\langle \mathcal{F}^{\psi_{\mathcal{O}_{\ell_0}}}(\varphi_\pi), \overline{\varphi}_{\sigma'} \right\rangle_{H_m}$ is nonzero for some $\varphi_\pi \in \mathcal{C}_\pi$ and $\varphi_{\sigma'} \in \mathcal{C}_{\sigma'}$, where $\sigma' = \sigma^{w_q^\ell}$ and $\mathcal{C}_{\sigma'} = \mathcal{C}_\sigma^{w_q^\ell}$ defined in (4.29). We now apply the reciprocal identity for Bessel periods (Theorem 5.4) to the case where $\ell_* = \ell_0$ and $\kappa_* = \kappa_0$. Hence we must have that $\kappa_0 = a - \ell_0 - 1$, and that the κ_0-th Bessel module $\mathcal{F}^{\mathcal{O}_{\kappa_0}}(\mathcal{E}_{\tau\otimes\sigma})$ of the residual representation $\mathcal{E}_{\tau\otimes\sigma}$ is nonzero for certain choice of data.

6.1. **On κ-th Bessel modules for $\widetilde{\Pi}_{\psi_{\tau,\sigma}}(H_{a+m})$.** We are going to show that the twisted automorphic descents detect the properties of the global packet $\widetilde{\Pi}_{\psi_{\tau,\sigma}}(H_{a+m})$, instead of a certain individual member in the global packet $\widetilde{\Pi}_{\psi_{\tau,\sigma}}(H_{a+m})$. Note that the global packet $\widetilde{\Pi}_{\psi_{\tau,\sigma}}(H_{a+m})$ contains at least one automorphic member $\mathcal{E}_{\tau\otimes\sigma}$ under the assumption.

We assume that a $\Sigma \in \mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{disc}}(H_{a+m})$ has the global Arthur parameter $\psi_{\tau,\sigma}$ as given in (6.1), and has a discrete realization $\mathcal{C}_\Sigma$. Consider Fourier coefficients associated to the partitions of the form

$$\underline{p}_\kappa = [(2\kappa+1)1^{2a+\mathfrak{m}-2\kappa-1}]$$

of $2a+\mathfrak{m}$ with $0 \le \kappa \le a + \mathfrak{r}_\mathfrak{m}$, where $\mathfrak{r}_\mathfrak{m}$ is the F-rank of H_m. It is clear that the partition $\underline{p}_\kappa$ is of type $(2a+\mathfrak{m}, H_{a+m}^*)$. As in Section 2.3, we study the $\psi_{\underline{p}_\kappa,\mathcal{O}_\kappa}$-Fourier coefficient of $f_\Sigma \in \mathcal{C}_\Sigma$, and denote by $\mathcal{F}^{\mathcal{O}_\kappa}_{\kappa^-}(\Sigma)$ the κ-th Bessel module of $G^{\mathcal{O}_\kappa}_{\kappa^-}(\mathbb{A})$ generated by all the Fourier coefficients $\mathcal{F}^{\psi_{\mathcal{O}_\kappa}}(f_\Sigma)$ with all $f_\Sigma \in \mathcal{C}_\Sigma$. As in [25] for the case $m = 0$ and in [40] for $m = 1$, we prove the following proposition by investigating the local structure at one unramified place of the global Arthur parameter $\psi_{\tau,\sigma}$ given in (6.1).

Proposition 6.2. *Assume that a $\Sigma \in \mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{disc}}(H_{a+m})$ belongs to the global Arthur packet $\widetilde{\Pi}_{\psi_{\tau,\sigma}}(H_{a+m})$ with the parameter $\psi_{\tau,\sigma}$ given in* (6.1). *For any integer κ with $a - \ell_0 - 1 < \kappa \le a + \mathfrak{r}_\mathfrak{m}$, the κ-th Bessel modules $\mathcal{F}^{\mathcal{O}_\kappa}_{\kappa^-}(\Sigma)$ are zero for all F-rational nilpotent orbits $\mathcal{O}_\kappa$ in the F-stable orbit $\mathcal{O}^{\mathrm{st}}_{\underline{p}_\kappa}(F)$.*

Proof. First, the κ-th Bessel module $\mathcal{F}^{\mathcal{O}_\kappa}_{\kappa^-}(\Sigma)$ produces the corresponding local Jacquet module of Σ_ν with respect to $(V_{\underline{p}_\kappa}, \psi_{\mathcal{O}_\kappa})$ at any finite local place ν. At almost all finite local places, Σ_ν is unramified and is completely determined by the ν-component of the global Arthur parameter $\psi_{\tau,\sigma}$. Take one of such unramified finite local place ν, the generic unramified representation τ_ν of $G_{E/F}(a)(F_\nu)$ is conjugate self-dual and hence is completely determined by $[\frac{a}{2}]$ unramified characters $\mu_1, \cdots, \mu_{[\frac{a}{2}]}$, and σ_ν is also an irreducible generic unramified representation of F_ν-quasisplit $H_m(F_\nu)$. As in [25, Chapter 5] and in the proof of Proposition 2.3 of [40], the unramified local component Σ_ν can be realized as the unique irreducible unramified constituent of the following induced representation

$$\mathrm{Ind}_{P_{\hat{a}}(F_\nu)}^{H_{a+m}(F_\nu)}(\tau'_\nu \otimes \sigma_\nu) \tag{6.2}$$

where $\tau'_\nu = \mathrm{Ind}_{Q_{2[\frac{a}{2}]}(F_\nu)}^{G_{E/F}(a)(F_\nu)}(\mu_1 \circ \det_2) \otimes \cdots \otimes (\mu_{[\frac{a}{2}]} \circ \det_2)$, and $\det_2$ is the determinant of $G_{E/F}(2)$.

In [25, Chapter 5], the calculation of the local Jacquet modules of the induced representation as in (6.2) with respect to $(V_{\underline{p}_\kappa}, \psi_{\mathcal{O}_\kappa})$ and for general κ has been explicitly carried out. See [25, Theorem 5.1], in particular. Hence it is not hard to figure out, as in [40, Section 2], that for κ with $a - \ell_0 - 1 < \kappa \leq a + \mathfrak{r}_\mathfrak{m}$, such a local Jacquet module is always zero for the induced representation as in (6.2), and so is for the unramified local component Σ_ν at the fixed local place ν. This proves that for all κ with $a - \ell_0 - 1 < \kappa \leq a + \mathfrak{r}_\mathfrak{m}$, κ-th Bessel module $\mathcal{F}_{\kappa^-}^{\mathcal{O}_\kappa}(\Sigma)$ must be zero for all such orbits F-rational $\mathcal{O}_\kappa$ in the F-stable orbit $\mathcal{O}_{\underline{p}_\kappa}^{\mathrm{st}}(F)$. □

The proof uses the structure of unramified local components of Σ and hence is independent of the discrete realization of Σ if Σ is of discrete multiplicity two. The same happens to the proof of the following proposition, which considers the κ_0-Bessel modules $\mathcal{F}_n^{\mathcal{O}_{\kappa_0}}(\Sigma)$ for the case where $\kappa_0 = a - \ell_0 - 1$ and hence $\kappa_0^- = m^- = n$.

Proposition 6.3. *Let τ and σ be as in Proposition 6.2. For an automorphic member Σ in the global Arthur packet $\widetilde{\Pi}_{\psi_{\tau,\sigma}}(H_{a+m})$, κ_0-Bessel modules $\mathcal{F}_n^{\mathcal{O}_{\kappa_0}}(\Sigma)$, with $n = \kappa_0^-$, is cuspidal, as a sub-representation of $G_n^{\mathcal{O}_{\kappa_0}}(\mathbb{A})$ in the cuspidal spectrum $L^2_{\mathrm{cusp}}(G_n^{\mathcal{O}_{\kappa_0}})$, for all F-rational nilpotent orbits $\mathcal{O}_{\kappa_0}$ in the F-stable orbit $\mathcal{O}_{\underline{p}_{\kappa_0}}^{\mathrm{st}}(F)$ with $\kappa_0 = a - \ell_0 - 1$ as given in Section 5.1.*

Proof. It suffices to show that the constant term of $\mathcal{F}_n^{\mathcal{O}_{\kappa_0}}(\Sigma)$ along every standard parabolic subgroup of $G_n^{\mathcal{O}_{\kappa_0}}$ is zero. The proof uses essentially the tower property developed in [25, Chapter 7]. We take, in particular, Theorem 7.3 of [25]. As in the proof of Proposition 2.5 of [40], it is enough to show the conditions in [25, Theorem 7.3] hold. Because of Proposition 6.2, the terms in [25, Equation (7.35)] are all zero. If Σ is cuspidal, the conditions in [25, Theorem 7.3] are automatic. Hence in this case, all the constant terms are zero, and therefore, κ_0-th Bessel modules $\mathcal{F}_n^{\mathcal{O}_{\kappa_0}}(\Sigma)$ are cuspidal.

When Σ in the global Arthur packet $\widetilde{\Pi}_{\psi_{\tau,\sigma}}(H_{a+m})$ is not cuspidal, then it must be a residual representation with the global Arthur parameter $\psi_{\tau,\sigma}$. According to [59] and [60], among the residual representations in the global Arthur packet $\widetilde{\Pi}_{\psi_{\tau,\sigma}}(H_{a+m})$, $\Sigma = \mathcal{E}_{\tau\otimes\sigma}$ has the least cuspidal support in the sense that among the cuspidal supports of those residual representations, the Levi subgroup in the cuspidal support of $\mathcal{E}_{\tau\otimes\sigma}$ is the smallest one. It is enough to consider the case when $\Sigma = \mathcal{E}_{\tau\otimes\sigma}$. The same argument will be applicable to the other residual representations.

For $\Sigma = \mathcal{E}_{\tau\otimes\sigma}$, in Formula (7.35) of [25], it follows from Proposition 6.2 that all the summands in summation are zero. Hence it is enough to check the assumption of Theorem 7.3 of [25]. By the cuspidal support of $\mathcal{E}_{\tau\otimes\sigma}$, if the constant term $f^{U_{p-i}}$ is zero (using the notation of [25, Theorem 7.3], with $f \in \mathcal{E}_{\tau\otimes\sigma}$), we are done. It remains to consider the cases when the constant terms are not zero. To this end, we may consider the first nonzero constant term, which reduces to the case with $\tau = \tau_2 \boxplus \cdots \boxplus \tau_r$ of $G_{E/F}(a-a_1)(\mathbb{A})$. Here we refer to (4.1) for notation. In this case, the index for the Fourier coefficient is $\kappa_0 + i$ with $i = 0, 1, \cdots, p-1$, following the notation of [25, Theorem 7.3]. Recall from Section 5.1 that $\kappa_0 = a - \ell_0 - 1$ and $\ell_0 = \frac{\mathfrak{n}-\mathfrak{m}-1}{2}$. Hence

$$\kappa_0 + i = (a - \frac{\mathfrak{n}}{2}) + \frac{\mathfrak{m}-1}{2} + i.$$

On the other hand, the term $(f^{U_{p-i}})^{\psi_{\kappa_0+i,\alpha}}$ is a Fourier coefficient on H_{a-a_1+m} with index

$$\frac{a - a_1 + \mathfrak{m} + \epsilon - 1}{2}$$

where $\epsilon = -1$ if G_n is an odd special orthogonal group; otherwise, $\epsilon = 0$. It follows that

$$\kappa_0 + i > \frac{a - a_1 + \mathfrak{m} + \epsilon - 1}{2}.$$

This is because $\frac{a-\epsilon}{2} = \frac{\mathfrak{n}}{2}$ and $\frac{a_1}{2} + i > 0$. According to the structure of the global Arthur parameter $\psi_{\tau,\sigma}$ as in (6.1), the term $(f^{U_{p-i}})^{\psi_{\kappa_0+i,\alpha}}$ must be zero. Namely, the condition in [25, Theorem 7.3] holds in this reduced case because of Proposition 6.2. Hence by induction, we obtain that the κ_0-th Bessel modules $\mathcal{F}_n^{\mathcal{O}_{\kappa_0}}(\Sigma)$ must also be cuspidal. This completes the proof. □

It is clear that the κ_0-th Bessel modules $\mathcal{F}_n^{\mathcal{O}_{\kappa_0}}(\Sigma)$ could be zero. We assume that the cuspidal sub-representation $\mathcal{F}_n^{\mathcal{O}_{\kappa_0}}(\Sigma)$ of $G_n^{\mathcal{O}_{\kappa_0}}(\mathbb{A})$, occurring in the cuspidal spectrum $L^2_{\mathrm{cusp}}(G_n^{\mathcal{O}_{\kappa_0}})$, is non-zero, and write it as a Hilbert direct sum:

$$\mathcal{F}_n^{\mathcal{O}_{\kappa_0}}(\Sigma) = \pi_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus \pi_k \oplus \cdots \tag{6.3}$$

where all π_i are irreducible cuspidal automorphic representations of $G_n^{\mathcal{O}_{\kappa_0}}(\mathbb{A})$. In fact, the κ_0-th Bessel modules $\mathcal{F}_n^{\mathcal{O}_{\kappa_0}}(\Sigma)$ are also $\widetilde{\mathrm{O}}(G^{\mathcal{O}_{\kappa_0}}_{\kappa_0^-})$-stable, which follows from the following.

Proposition 6.4. *For $\Sigma \in \mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{disc}}(H_{a+m})$, the κ-th Bessel module $\mathcal{F}^{\mathcal{O}_\kappa}_{\kappa^-}(\Sigma)$ is $\widetilde{\mathrm{O}}(G^{\mathcal{O}_\kappa}_{\kappa^-})$-stable.*

Proof. To prove this, it suffices to consider the case when H_{a+m} is an odd special orthogonal group. In fact, for all the other cases, the stabilizer $G^{\mathcal{O}_\kappa}_{\kappa^-}$ is not an even special orthogonal group and then $\widetilde{\mathrm{O}}(G^{\mathcal{O}_\kappa}_{\kappa^-})$ is trivial.

Suppose that H_{a+m} is an odd special orthogonal group. Then $\mathfrak{m}$ is odd and $\mathfrak{m} = 2m+1$. In this case, the discrete multiplicity of Σ is one. We may take the unique discrete realization $\mathcal{C}_\Sigma$ of Σ in this proof.

By the definition in Section 2.4, $G^{\mathcal{O}_\kappa}_{\kappa^-}$ is identified as the connected component group $\mathrm{Isom}(V_{(\kappa)} \cap w_0^\perp, q)^\circ$, where the anisotropic vector w_0 is of form (2.14), namely,

$$w_0 = e_{a+\mathfrak{r}_\mathfrak{m}} + (-1)^{\mathfrak{n}+1}\frac{x}{2}e_{-(a+\mathfrak{r}_\mathfrak{m})}$$

for some $x \in F^\times$, where $\mathfrak{r}_\mathfrak{m} = \mathfrak{r}(H_m)$ is the F-rank of H_m. Recall that $\mathrm{Isom}(V_{(\kappa)}, q)^\circ = H_{a+m-\kappa}$ is a subgroup of the Levi subgroup $M_{\hat{\kappa}}$ of H_{a+m}. Assume that $\kappa > 0$. Take the element

$$\varepsilon = \mathrm{diag}\{-I_\kappa, I_{a+\mathfrak{r}_\mathfrak{m}-\kappa-1}, -1, I_{\mathfrak{m}-2\mathfrak{r}_\mathfrak{m}}, -1, I_{a+\mathfrak{r}_\mathfrak{m}-\kappa-1}, -I_\kappa\}.$$

It is easy to check that $\varepsilon \in H_{a+m}$ and it stabilizes w_0. Note that the stabilizer of w_0 is $\mathrm{SO}(V_{(\kappa)} \cap w_0^\perp, q) \rtimes \langle\varepsilon\rangle$, which is isomorphic to $\mathrm{O}(V_{(\kappa)} \cap w_0^\perp, q)$. The adjoint action of $\langle\varepsilon\rangle$ on $G^{\mathcal{O}_\kappa}_{\kappa^-} = \mathrm{SO}(V_{(\kappa)} \cap w_0^\perp, q)$ is the same as the action of $\widetilde{\mathrm{O}}(G^{\mathcal{O}_\kappa}_{\kappa^-})$.

Consider the action of $\varepsilon \in H_{a+m}(F)$ on a discrete realization $\mathcal{C}_\Sigma$ of Σ, defined by $f^\varepsilon(g) := f(\varepsilon^{-1}g\varepsilon)$ for $f \in \mathcal{C}_\Sigma$. Since $\varepsilon \in H_{a+m}(F)$, $f^\varepsilon(g)$ also belongs to $\mathcal{C}_\Sigma$. By the definition 2.7, since ε stabilizes ψ_{κ,w_0},

$$\mathcal{F}^{\psi_{\kappa,w_0}}(f^\varepsilon)(h) = \mathcal{F}^{\psi_{\kappa,w_0}}(f)(\varepsilon^{-1}h\varepsilon) := \mathcal{F}^{\psi_{\kappa,w_0}}(f)^\varepsilon(h),$$

where the action of ε on $h \in G^{\mathcal{O}_\kappa}_{\kappa^-}$ is given as above. It follows that if $f \in \mathcal{C}_\Sigma$, then $\mathcal{F}^{\psi_{\kappa,w_0}}(f)^\varepsilon \in \mathcal{F}^{\psi_{\kappa,w_0}}(\mathcal{C}_\Sigma)$. As explained in Page 16, the action of ε on $\mathcal{F}^{\psi_{\kappa,w_0}}(f)$ coincides the action of $\widetilde{\mathrm{O}}(G_{\kappa^-}^{\mathcal{O}_\kappa})$ on $\mathcal{F}_{\kappa^-}^{\mathcal{O}_\kappa}(\mathcal{C}_\Sigma)$. That is, $\mathcal{F}_{\kappa^-}^{\mathcal{O}_\kappa}(\mathcal{C}_\Sigma)$ is $\widetilde{\mathrm{O}}(G_{\kappa^-}^{\mathcal{O}_\kappa})$-stable.

When $\kappa = 0$, the κ-th Bessel module $\mathcal{F}_{\kappa^-}^{\mathcal{O}_\kappa}(\mathcal{C}_\Sigma)$ is the restriction of $\mathcal{C}_\Sigma$ into the even special orthogonal group $\mathrm{SO}_{2a+\mathfrak{m}-1}(w_0^\perp)$. Let us extend the representation $\mathcal{C}_\Sigma$ as the representation of $H_{a+m} \times \langle -I_{2a+\mathfrak{m}}\rangle = \mathrm{O}_{2a+\mathfrak{m}}(V)$, by letting the action be trivial on $\langle -I_{2a+\mathfrak{m}}\rangle$. We may choose

$$\varepsilon = \{I_{a+m}, -1, I_{a+m}\}.$$

Then the rest of the proof is the same as that for the case $\kappa > 0$. We complete the proof. $\square$

The general calculation of the local Jacquet module of the induced representation of type (6.2), as explained in [25, Chapter 5], or more precisely, in [25, Theorem 5.1], and also as in [40, Section 4.1], can be adopted to prove easily that those irreducible summands π_i are actually nearly equivalent to each other, and at almost all local finite places ν, the unramified local component $\pi_{i,\nu}$ of π_i shares the same Satake parameter with the unramified local component τ_ν under the unramified local Langlands functorial transfer from $G_n^{\mathcal{O}_{\kappa_0}}(F_\nu)$ to $G_{E/F}(a)(F_\nu)$ except that $G_n^{\mathcal{O}_{\kappa_0}}(F_\nu)$ is a split even special orthogonal group. In this case, the unramified local component $\pi_{i,\nu}$ belongs to the $\widetilde{\mathrm{O}}(G_n^{\mathcal{O}_{\kappa_0}}(F_\nu))$-orbit of the Satake parameters of $G_n^{\mathcal{O}_{\kappa_0}}(F_\nu)$, which are the descents of the Satake parameters of τ_ν under the local Langlands functorial transfer. Furthermore, by the local uniqueness of Bessel models at all local places ([2], [72], [17], and [43]), it is easy to deduce that π_i is not equivalent to π_j if $i \neq j$, that is, the decomposition in (6.3) is of multiplicity free. We summarize the discussion as the following theorem.

Theorem 6.5. *Assume that τ and σ be as given above. For an automorphic member Σ in the global Arthur packet $\widetilde{\Pi}_{\psi_{\tau,\sigma}}(H_{a+m})$, assume that the κ_0-th Bessel module $\mathcal{F}_n^{\mathcal{O}_{\kappa_0}}(\Sigma)$ is non-zero for some F-rational nilpotent orbit $\mathcal{O}_{\kappa_0}$ in the F-stable orbit $\mathcal{O}^{\mathrm{st}}_{\underline{p}_{\kappa_0}}(F)$ with $\kappa_0^- = m^- = n$ and $\kappa_0 = a - \ell_0 - 1$. Then the following hold:*

1. *The κ_0-th Bessel module $\mathcal{F}_n^{\mathcal{O}_{\kappa_0}}(\Sigma)$ is cuspidal and can be regarded as a sub-representation of $G_n^{\mathcal{O}_{\kappa_0}}(\mathbb{A})$ in the cuspidal spectrum $L^2_{\mathrm{cusp}}(G_n^{\mathcal{O}_{\kappa_0}})$.*
2. *In the cuspidal spectrum $L^2_{\mathrm{cusp}}(G_n^{\mathcal{O}_{\kappa_0}})$, $\mathcal{F}_n^{\mathcal{O}_{\kappa_0}}(\Sigma)$ has a multiplicity free, Hilbert direct sum decomposition*

$$\mathcal{F}_n^{\mathcal{O}_{\kappa_0}}(\Sigma) = \pi_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus \pi_k \oplus \cdots$$

where all π_i *belong to* $\mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{cusp}}(G_n^{\mathcal{O}_{\kappa_0}})$, *and have a generic global Arthur parameter belonging to the* $\widetilde{\mathrm{O}}(G_n^{\mathcal{O}_{\kappa_0}})$*-orbit of* ϕ_τ, *which is* $G_n^{\mathcal{O}_{\kappa_0}}$*-relevant and is determined by* τ. *Moreover,* $\mathcal{F}_n^{\mathcal{O}_{\kappa_0}}(\Sigma)$ *is* $\widetilde{\mathrm{O}}(G_n^{\mathcal{O}_{\kappa_0}})$*-stable.*

Note that in Part (2) of Theorem 6.5, the $\widetilde{\mathrm{O}}(G_n^{\mathcal{O}_{\kappa_0}})$-orbit of ϕ_τ contains only one parameter unless $G_n^{\mathcal{O}_{\kappa_0}}$ is an even special orthogonal group. In this case, the $\widetilde{\mathrm{O}}(G_n^{\mathcal{O}_{\kappa_0}})$-orbit of ϕ_τ may contain two parameters $\{\phi, \phi_\star\}$, which are the descents of ϕ_τ, as explained in Page 16. It is worthwhile to remind that in this case, the global Arthur packets $\Pi_\phi(G_n^{\mathcal{O}_{\kappa_0}})$ and $\Pi_{\phi_\star}(G_n^{\mathcal{O}_{\kappa_0}})$ are different. We may identify the parameter ϕ_τ with either ϕ or $\phi_\star$, as in Page 16.

The result in Theorem 6.5 may be illustrated by the following diagram:

(6.4)

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\widetilde{\Phi}_2(G_n^*)_{G_n} & \phi_\sigma \in \widetilde{\Phi}_2(H_m) & \Longrightarrow & \widetilde{\Pi}_{\psi_{\tau,\sigma}}(H_{a+m}) \\
\phi_\tau \arrow[d, Leftrightarrow] & (H_m, \sigma) & & \Sigma \arrow[d, Rightarrow] \\
\widetilde{\Pi}_{\phi_\tau}(G_n) & \ni \pi \arrow[u, Rightarrow] & \overset{(?)}{\longleftrightarrow} & \mathcal{F}_n^{\mathcal{O}_{\kappa_0}}(\Sigma) \subset L^2_{\mathrm{cusp}}(G_n^{\mathcal{O}_{\kappa_0}})
\end{tikzcd}$$

The *big question* in the construction is: what can we say about π and $\mathcal{F}_n^{\mathcal{O}_{\kappa_0}}(\Sigma)$ as representations of $G_n(\mathbb{A})$ and $G_n^{\mathcal{O}_{\kappa_0}}(\mathbb{A})$, respectively?

Without the participation of σ and H_m, Diagram (6.4) may reduce to the following diagram:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\widetilde{\Phi}_2(G_n^*) \ni \phi_\tau \arrow[r, Rightarrow] \arrow[d, Rightarrow] & \mathcal{E}_\tau \in \widetilde{\Pi}_{\psi_\tau}(H_a^*) \arrow[d, Rightarrow] \\
\widetilde{\Pi}_{\phi_\tau}(G_n) \ni \pi \arrow[r, phantom, "\cong"] & \mathcal{F}_n^{\mathcal{O}_{\kappa_0}}(\mathcal{E}_\tau) \subset L^2_{\mathrm{cusp}}(G_n^{\mathcal{O}_{\kappa_0}})
\end{tikzcd} \tag{6.5}$$

When $G_n = G_n^{\mathcal{O}_{\kappa_0}} = G_n^*$ is F-quasisplit and $\mathcal{E}_\tau$ is the residual representation of $H_a(\mathbb{A})$ (with $a = N = \mathfrak{n}^\vee$) having the global Arthur parameter

$$\psi_\tau = (\tau_1, 2) \boxplus \cdots \boxplus (\tau_r, 2),$$

this diagram yields the automorphic descents of Ginzburg-Rallis-Soudry ([25]) that construct certain generic cuspidal automorphic representations of an F-quasisplit classical group $G_n^*(\mathbb{A})$.

By Proposition 2.6, G_n and $G_n^{\mathcal{O}_{\kappa_0}}$ are pure inner forms. If one of G_n and $G_n^{\mathcal{O}_{\kappa_0}}$ is not equal to G_n^*, then the relation between π and $\mathcal{F}_n^{\mathcal{O}_{\kappa_0}}(\Sigma)$ is the generalized Jacquet-Langlands correspondence between G_n and $G_n^{\mathcal{O}_{\kappa_0}}$. However, as shown in [40], this will not cover the general situation as the F-ranks of G_n and $G_n^{\mathcal{O}_{\kappa_0}}$ must satisfy the condition given in Proposition 2.5. The introduction of σ and H_m in the construction is to avoid such restriction.

With the participation of σ and H_m in the construction as displayed in Diagram (6.4), which is essential, the proposed construction may (in principle) produce all irreducible cuspidal automorphic representations of the classical groups G_n that are pure inner F-forms of an F-quasisplit classical group G_n^*. We are going to show that the κ_0-th Bessel module $\mathcal{F}_n^{\mathcal{O}_{\kappa_0}}(\mathcal{E}_{\tau\otimes\sigma})$ is non-zero, assuming Conjecture 2.3. If we assume that the stronger uniqueness of the local Bessel models over a local Vogan packet holds at all local places (Conjecture 3.1, the known cases of which is given in Theorem 3.2), then $\mathcal{F}_n^{\mathcal{O}_{\kappa_0}}(\mathcal{E}_{\tau\otimes\sigma})$ is in fact irreducible, when $G_n = G_n^{\mathcal{O}_{\kappa_0}}$ is *not* an even special orthogonal group. However, if $G_n = G_n^{\mathcal{O}_{\kappa_0}}$ is an even special orthogonal group, then $\mathcal{F}_n^{\mathcal{O}_{\kappa_0}}(\mathcal{E}_{\tau\otimes\sigma})$ could be a direct sum of two irreducible cuspidal automorphic representations that belong to the $\widetilde{\mathrm{O}}(G_n)$-orbit. In any situation, we set

$$\mathcal{D}_n^{\mathcal{O}_{\kappa_0}}(\tau;\sigma) := \mathcal{F}_n^{\mathcal{O}_{\kappa_0}}(\mathcal{E}_{\tau\otimes\sigma}) \tag{6.6}$$

and call $\mathcal{D}_n^{\mathcal{O}_{\kappa_0}}(\tau;\sigma)$ a *σ-twisted automorphic descent* of τ from $G_{E/F}(N)$ to $G_n^{\mathcal{O}_{\kappa_0}}$, or simply a *twisted automorphic descent* of τ, where $N = a = \mathfrak{n}^\vee$. The main result in the theory of the *cuspidal automorphic modules* outlined in Diagram (6.4) is to confirm that the constructed module $\mathcal{D}_n^{\mathcal{O}_{\kappa_0}}(\tau;\sigma)$ in (6.6) is in principle isomorphic to the given irreducible cuspidal automorphic representation π. In the following we state the *main conjecture* in the theory of the cuspidal automorphic modules.

6.2. **The main conjecture for cuspidal automorphic modules.**

Let G_n be one of the classical groups defined over F as before, and be a pure inner F-form of an F-quasisplit classical group G_n^*.

Conjecture 6.6 (Main Conjecture)**.** *Let $\tau = \tau_1 \boxplus \cdots \boxplus \tau_r$ be an irreducible isobaric representation of $G_{E/F}(a)(\mathbb{A})$ that defines a generic global Arthur parameter $\phi = \phi_\tau \in \widetilde{\Phi}_2(G_n^*)$ as in* (3.1)*. Assume that ϕ is G_n-relevant. For any $\pi \in \mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{cusp}}(G_n)$ belonging to the global Arthur packet $\widetilde{\Pi}_\phi(G_n)$, there exists a datum (H_m, σ) with the following properties:*

(1) *H_m is a classical group defined over F and is a pure inner F-form of an F-quasisplit classical group H^*_m such that the pairs (G_n, H_m) and (G^*_n, H^*_m) are relevant and the product $G_n \times H_m$ is a relevant pure inner form of the product $G^*_n \times H^*_m$; and*

(2) *$\sigma \in \mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{cusp}}(H_m)$ belongs to the global Arthur packet $\widetilde{\Pi}_{\phi'}(H_m)$ associated to an H_m-relevant generic global Arthur parameter $\phi' \in \widetilde{\Phi}_2(H^*_m)$,*

such that

(a) *if $G_n = G_n^{\mathcal{O}_{\kappa_0}}$ is not an even special orthogonal group, or if $G_n = G_n^{\mathcal{O}_{\kappa_0}}$ is an even special orthogonal group, but the $\widetilde{\mathrm{O}}(G_n)$-orbit of π contains only π, then the automorphic module $\mathcal{D}_n^{\mathcal{O}_{\kappa_0}}(\tau;\sigma)$ that is constructed via the twisted automorphic descent* (6.6) *is isomorphic to the given π:*

$$\mathcal{D}_n^{\mathcal{O}_{\kappa_0}}(\tau;\sigma) \cong \pi;$$

(b) *if $G_n = G_n^{\mathcal{O}_{\kappa_0}}$ is an even special orthogonal group, and the $\widetilde{\mathrm{O}}(G_n)$-orbit of π is equal to $\{\pi, \pi_\star\}$, then*

$$\mathcal{D}_n^{\mathcal{O}_{\kappa_0}}(\tau;\sigma) \cong \pi \oplus \pi_\star.$$

It is not hard to see that the constructed cuspidal automorphic module $\mathcal{D}_n^{\mathcal{O}_{\kappa_0}}(\tau;\sigma)$ in Conjecture 6.6 is the special realization of the module $\mathcal{M}(\psi, \mathcal{F}(\pi, G))$ in Principle 1.1 in the particular case under consideration. We remark that the construction outlined in Diagram (6.4) only uses a piece of information from the data $\mathcal{F}(\pi, G)$. We will come back to the discussion of Conjecture 6.6 with more details in Section 7.

6.3. **On the global Gan-Gross-Prasad conjecture: another direction.** We continue our discussion and notation from Section 6.1 with $\Sigma = \mathcal{E}_{\tau\otimes\sigma}$, and may put the discussion here in a more general setting as in Theorem 5.2 and in Proposition 5.3.

Recall that $\tau = \tau_1 \boxplus \cdots \boxplus \tau_r$ is as in (4.1), and $\sigma \in \mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{cusp}}(H_m)$ has the H_m-relevant, generic global Arthur parameter ϕ_σ in $\widetilde{\Phi}_2(H^*_m)$. By Proposition 5.3, the Eisenstein series $E(\cdot, \phi_{\tau\otimes\sigma}, s)$ on $H_{a+m}(\mathbb{A})$ has a pole at $s = \frac{1}{2}$ of order r if we assume that for each τ_i occurring in τ have the properties that $L(s, \tau_i, \rho)$ has a a simple pole at $s = 1$ for $i = 1, 2, \cdots, r$ and $L(\frac{1}{2}, \tau \times \sigma)$ is non-zero. As before, we denote by $\mathcal{E}_{\tau\otimes\sigma}$ the iterate residue at $s = \frac{1}{2}$ of $E(\cdot, \phi_{\tau\otimes\sigma}, s)$. As discussed in [41], $\mathcal{E}_{\tau\otimes\sigma}$ is square-integrable. By [60], $\mathcal{E}_{\tau\otimes\sigma}$ is irreducible. Following from [41, Section 6], $\mathcal{E}_{\tau\otimes\sigma}$ has the global Arthur parameter

$$\psi_{\tau,\sigma} = (\tau_1, 2) \boxplus (\tau_2, 2) \boxplus \cdots \boxplus (\tau_r, 2) \boxplus \phi_\sigma.$$

As in [37, Section 4] and as recalled in Section 2.3, the Fourier coefficients of $\mathcal{E}_{\tau\otimes\sigma}$ are defined in terms of the H_{a+m}-relevant partitions of $(2a+\mathfrak{m}, H^*_{a+m})$. We denote by $\mathfrak{p}(\mathcal{E}_{\tau\otimes\sigma})$ the set of the H_{a+m}-relevant partitions with which the residual representation $\mathcal{E}_{\tau\otimes\sigma}$ has a nonzero Fourier coefficient. The main conjecture in [37, Section 4] can be specialized in the current case as follows. To state it, we define a partition depending of $(\tau;\sigma,H^*_m)$:

$$\underline{p}_{\tau;\sigma,H^*_m} := \begin{cases} [(a+\mathfrak{m}-1)(a+1)] & \textit{if } H^*_m = \mathrm{SO}_{2m}, \mathfrak{m}=2m; \\ [(a+\mathfrak{m})(a-1)1] & \textit{if } H^*_m = \mathrm{SO}_{2m+1}, \mathfrak{m}=2m+1; \\ [(a+\mathfrak{m})a] & \textit{if } H^*_m \textit{ is a unitary group.} \end{cases}$$

Note that $a=\mathfrak{n}^\vee$, and the integers $a+\mathfrak{m}-1$ and $a+\mathfrak{m}$ are odd, in the respective cases.

Conjecture 6.7. *With notation as above, then the following hold:*

1. *For all choice of data, any partition $\underline{p}\in\mathfrak{p}(\mathcal{E}_{\tau\otimes\sigma})$ has the property that $\underline{p}\leq\underline{p}_{\tau;\sigma,H^*_m}$.*
2. *If $H_m = H^*_m$ is F-quasisplit, there exist a $\sigma\in\mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{cusp}}(H^*_m)$ with a generic cuspidal realization $\mathcal{C}_\sigma$, such that $\underline{p}_{\tau;\sigma,H^*_m}$ belongs to $\mathfrak{p}(\mathcal{E}_{\tau\otimes\sigma})$, where the residual representation $\mathcal{E}_{\tau\otimes\sigma}$ is defined through $\mathcal{C}_\sigma$.*

If we get back to the construction of cuspidal automorphic modules as illustrated in Diagram (6.4), then we need the following weaker version of Conjecture 6.7. Define, for $a=\mathfrak{n}^\vee$,

$$\underline{p}^1_{\tau;\sigma,H^*_m} := \begin{cases} [(a+\mathfrak{m}-1)1^{a+1}] & \textit{if } H^*_m = \mathrm{SO}_{2m}; \\ [(a+\mathfrak{m})1^a] & \textit{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Conjecture 6.8. *Assume that τ is as given in Diagram* (6.4)*. For each integer $m\leq n$, there exist a classical group H_m and a $\sigma\in\mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{cusp}}(H_m)$ with a cuspidal realization $\mathcal{C}_\sigma$, satisfying the conditions as in Diagram* (6.4)*, such that*

$$\underline{p}^1_{\tau;\sigma,H^*_m} \in \mathfrak{p}(\mathcal{E}_{\tau\otimes\sigma}).$$

Note that the partition $\underline{p}^1_{\tau;\sigma,H^*_m}$ is to construct the twisted automorphic descent of the residual representation $\mathcal{E}_{\tau\otimes\sigma}$. Hence Conjecture 6.8 is the non-vanishing of the corresponding twisted automorphic descent, which will be proved below to be cuspidal. From this point of view, Conjecture 6.7 asserts that there is a choice of data such that the twisted automorphic descent actually contains an irreducible summand that has a generic global Arthur parameter. This is similar to Conjecture 2.3, but for an automorphic representation with non-generic global Arthur parameter.

For $m = 0$, Conjecture 6.8 was proved in [25]. For $m = 1$ and H_1 is an F-form of SO_2, it is proved [40]. Similar results can be checked for unitary groups, but we do not discuss them here with further details.

Proposition 6.9. *Conjecture 6.8 holds when* $m = 0$ *and for all* F*-quasisplit classical groups* H_a^**, and when* $m = 1$ *for even special orthogonal groups* $H_{2n+1} = \mathrm{SO}_{2n+2,2n}$.

We refer to [37], [38] and [39] for more discussion of Fourier coefficients of automorphic representations occurring in the discrete spectrum of classical groups, and of residual representations in particular.

As discussed in [22] for F-quasisplit orthogonal groups, Conjecture 6.8 is closely related to the global Gan-Gross-Prasad conjecture. We are going to discuss this relation for more general relevant pair (G_n, H_m). Recall that Theorem 5.5 proved in the full generality one direction of the conjectural equivalence in the global Gan-Gross-Prasad conjecture (Conjectures 24.1 and 26.1 in [17]). We now consider the other direction of the global Gan-Gross-Prasad conjecture. To state the result, we need the notion of global Vogan packets.

Let $\phi \in \widetilde{\Phi}_2(G_n^*)$ be a generic global Arthur parameter. If ϕ is G_n-relevant, we denote as before the global Arthur packet by $\widetilde{\Pi}_\phi(G_n)$. If ϕ is not G_n-relevant, we define the global Arthur packet $\widetilde{\Pi}_\phi(G_n)$ to be the empty set. The global Vogan packet associated to ϕ is defined to be

$$\widetilde{\Pi}_\phi[G_n^*] := \cup_{G_n} \widetilde{\Pi}_\phi(G_n), \tag{6.7}$$

where the union is taken over all pure inner F-forms of G_n^*. Note that all the automorphic members in the generic global Vogan packet $\widetilde{\Pi}_\phi[G_n^*]$ are expected to be cuspidal, as discussed in [38, Section 3].

Theorem 6.10 (Global Gan-Gross-Prasad Conjecture: another direction)**.** *Assume that Conjecture 6.8 holds. Let* τ *be the irreducible isobaric automorphic representation of* $G_{E/F}(a)(\mathbb{A})$ *as in* (4.1)*, with* $a = \mathfrak{n}^\vee = N$ *and a generic global Arthur parameter* $\phi = \phi_\tau$ *in* $\widetilde{\Phi}_2(G_n^*)$*. Let* ϕ' *be a generic global Arthur parameter of* H_m^**. Assume that there exists an automorphic member* σ_0 *belonging to the global Vogan packet* $\widetilde{\Pi}_{\phi'}[H_m^*]$*, such that*

$$L(\frac{1}{2}, \tau \times \sigma_0) \neq 0,$$

where the L-function $L(s,\tau\times\sigma_0)$ is defined by means of the parameters ϕ_τ and ϕ'. Then there exist a cuspidal automorphic member π in the global Vogan packet $\widetilde{\Pi}_\phi[G_n^*]$ with a cuspidal realization $\mathcal{C}_\pi$, and a cuspidal automorphic member σ in the global Arthur packet $\widetilde{\Pi}_{\phi'}(H_m)$ with a cuspidal realization $\mathcal{C}_\sigma$, which is a subset of the global Vogan packet $\widetilde{\Pi}_{\phi'}[H_m^*]$, such that the inner product

$$\left\langle\mathcal{F}^{\psi_{\mathcal{O}_{\ell_0}}}(\varphi_\pi),\varphi_\sigma\right\rangle_{H_m}\neq 0$$

for some $\varphi_\pi\in\mathcal{C}_\pi$ and $\varphi_\sigma\in\mathcal{C}_\sigma$, where $\ell_0^-=m$, and the $\psi_{\mathcal{O}_{\ell_0}}$-Fourier coefficient $\mathcal{F}^{\psi_{\mathcal{O}_{\ell_0}}}(\varphi_\pi)$ is defined by an F-rational nilpotent orbit $\mathcal{O}_{\ell_0}$ in the F-stable nilpotent orbit $\mathcal{O}^{\mathrm{st}}_{\underline{p}_{\ell_0}}$, associated to the partition $\underline{p}_{\ell_0}=[(2\ell_0+1)1^{\mathfrak{n}-2\ell_0-1}]$.

Proof. Assume that $L(\frac{1}{2},\tau\times\sigma_0)\neq 0$ for some automorphic member σ_0 in the global Vogan packet $\widetilde{\Pi}_{\phi'}[H_m^*]$. Since $\sigma_0^{w_q^\ell}$ and σ_0 belong the same $\widetilde{\mathrm{O}}(H_m)$-orbit, we have

$$L(\frac{1}{2},\tau\times\sigma_0)=L(\frac{1}{2},\tau\times\sigma_0^{w_q^\ell})\neq 0.$$

Define ϕ'' to be the global Arthur parameter of $\sigma_0^{w_q^\ell}$, which is $(\phi')^{w_q^\ell}$. By Proposition 5.3, for all automorphic members (ϑ,H_m) in the global Vogan packet $\widetilde{\Pi}_{\phi''}[H_m^*]$, the Eisenstein series $E(h,\phi_{\tau\otimes\vartheta},s)$ produces the nonzero iterated residual representation $\mathcal{E}_{\tau\otimes\vartheta}$ on $H_{a+m}(\mathbb{A})$. Assuming that Conjecture 6.8 holds for the pair (τ,ϑ) and a cuspidal realization $\mathcal{C}_\vartheta$, the $\psi_{\mathcal{O}_{\kappa_0}}$-Fourier coefficient $\mathcal{F}_n^{\mathcal{O}_{\kappa_0}}(\mathcal{E}_{\tau\otimes\vartheta})$ is nonzero and cuspidal as a sub-representation of $G_n^{\mathcal{O}_{\kappa_0}}(\mathbb{A})$ in the cuspidal spectrum $L^2_{\mathrm{cusp}}(G_n^{\mathcal{O}_{\kappa_0}})$, where $\mathcal{O}_{\kappa_0}$ is an F-rational nilpotent orbit in the F-stable nilpotent orbit $\mathcal{O}^{\mathrm{st}}_{\underline{p}_{\kappa_0}}$ associated to the partition

$$\underline{p}_{\kappa_0}:=[(2\kappa_0+1)1^{2a+\mathfrak{m}-2\kappa_0-1}]$$

with $\kappa_0=a-\ell_0-1$, $\ell_0^-=m$, and $\kappa_0^-=n$. Note that the group $G_n^{\mathcal{O}_{\kappa_0}}$ is a pure inner F-form of G_n^*, and by Theorem 6.5, the κ_0-th Bessel module $\mathcal{F}_n^{\mathcal{O}_{\kappa_0}}(\mathcal{E}_{\tau\otimes\vartheta})$ is $\widetilde{\mathrm{O}}(G_n^{\mathcal{O}_{\kappa_0}})$-stable, and every irreducible summand of $\mathcal{F}_n^{\mathcal{O}_{\kappa_0}}(\mathcal{E}_{\tau\otimes\vartheta})$ has a global Arthur parameter belonging to the $\widetilde{\mathrm{O}}(G_n^{\mathcal{O}_{\kappa_0}})$-orbit $\{\phi=\phi_\tau,\phi_\star\}$ of ϕ_τ.

Take $(\pi,\mathcal{C}_\pi)$ to be one of the irreducible summands, such that π belongs to the global Arthur packet $\Pi_\phi(G_n^{\mathcal{O}_{\kappa_0}})$. Then the inner product, for some $\varphi_\pi \in \mathcal{C}_\pi$,

$$\left\langle \varphi_\pi, \mathcal{F}_n^{\mathcal{O}_{\kappa_0}}(\mathcal{E}_{\tau\otimes\vartheta})\right\rangle_{G_n^{\mathcal{O}_{\kappa_0}}} \neq 0.$$

By replacing the residue $\mathcal{E}_{\tau\otimes\vartheta}$ by the corresponding Eisenstein series, we obtain that the global zeta integral

$$\left\langle \varphi_\pi, \mathcal{F}_n^{\mathcal{O}_{\kappa_0}}(E(\cdot, \phi_{\tau\otimes\vartheta}, s))\right\rangle_{G_n^{\mathcal{O}_{\kappa_0}}} \neq 0$$

for $\mathrm{Re}(s)$ large. Now by the formula in Theorem 4.5 for the global zeta integral with $\mathrm{Re}(s)$ large, we obtain that the inner product

$$\left\langle \mathcal{F}^{\psi_{\mathcal{O}_{\ell_0}}}(\varphi_\pi), \varphi_\sigma\right\rangle_{H_m} \neq 0$$

with $\ell_0^- = m$. Note that as discussed before, except the case that H_m is an even special orthogonal group, we must have that $\sigma = \vartheta$ belongs to the global Vogan packet $\widetilde{\Pi}_{\phi'}[H_m^*]$. However, when H_m is an even special orthogonal group, we have that $\sigma = \vartheta^{w_q^\ell}$. In all cases, σ belongs to the same global Vogan packet $\widetilde{\Pi}_{\phi'}[H_m^*]$. We are done. □

We note that Theorem 6.10 does not assume that the cuspidal multiplicity of π should be one, while the global Gan-Gross-Prasad conjecture takes this cuspidal multiplicity one assumption in [17].

Also, for F-quasisplit classical groups G, a special case of Theorem 6.10 was also considered in [21] and [22]. It is clear that within the theory of the construction of concrete modules for irreducible cuspidal automorphic representations, the proof of Theorem 6.10 is more transparent than that in [21] or [22].

By Proposition 6.9 and [40], the assumption in Theorem 6.10 is verified for the case of $m = 1$ and H_1 is an F-form of SO_2, Hence Theorem 6.10 holds without the assumption of Conjecture 6.8 for this special case. Combining with Theorem 5.5, the global Gan-Gross-Prasad Conjecture holds for this case.

Corollary 6.11 (Global Gan-Gross-Prasad Conjecture: special case)**.** *Let G_n^* be the F-split SO_{2n+1} and $\phi = \phi_\tau$ be a generic global Arthur parameter in $\widetilde{\Phi}_2(G_n^*)$ determined by the irreducible isobaric automorphic representation τ of $G_{E/F}(a)(\mathbb{A})$ as given in* (4.1)*. Let ϕ' be a generic global Arthur parameter of H_1^*, which is an anisotropic SO_2 over F. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

(1) *There exist an automorphic member π in $\widetilde{\Pi}_{\phi_\tau}[G_n^*]$ and an automorphic member σ in $\widetilde{\Pi}_{\phi'}[H_1^*]$ such that the inner product*

$$\left\langle \mathcal{F}^{\psi_{\mathcal{O}_{\ell_0}}}(\varphi_\pi), \varphi_\sigma\right\rangle_{H_1} \neq 0$$

for some $\varphi_\pi \in \pi$ *and* $\varphi_\sigma \in \sigma$*;*

(2) $L(\frac{1}{2}, \tau \times \phi') \neq 0$.

Note that the cuspidal multiplicities of π and σ in Corollary 6.11 are one. Hence the cuspidal realizations of π and σ are unique. Also we would like to mention that Corollary 6.11 can be proved for unitary groups, but we will not discuss the details here. We also note that Corollary 6.11 with trivial σ was considered in [15].

7. On the Main Conjecture

7.1. **On the main conjecture: general case.** We are going to prove the main conjecture (Conjecture 6.6), assuming Conjectures 2.3 and 3.1. More precisely, we show, assuming the conjectures, that for any $\pi \in \mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{cusp}}(G_n)$ with a G_n-relevant, generic global Arthur parameter ϕ in $\widetilde{\Phi}_2(G_n^*)$, the cuspidal automorphic module $\mathcal{D}_n^{\mathcal{O}_{\kappa_0}}(\tau;\sigma) = \mathcal{F}_n^{\mathcal{O}_{\kappa_0}}(\mathcal{E}_{\tau\otimes\sigma})$ as constructed through Diagram (6.4) is a direct sum of the two irreducible cuspidal representations in the $\widetilde{\mathrm{O}}(G_n)$-orbit of π in the cuspidal spectrum of G_n. If assume further that the $\widetilde{\mathrm{O}}(G_n)$-orbit of π contains only π, then we have

$$\mathcal{D}_n^{\mathcal{O}_{\kappa_0}}(\tau;\sigma) \cong \pi.$$

By Proposition 2.6, the F-rational orbit $\mathcal{O}_{\kappa_0}$ can be chosen such that $G_n^{\mathcal{O}_{\kappa_0}} = G_n$. We note that the proof of Conjecture 3.1 has been in well progress, the known cases of which were explained in Theorem 3.2.

Theorem 7.1 (Cuspidal Automorphic Modules)**.** *Conjectures 2.3 and 3.1 imply Conjecture 6.6.*

Proof. Take any cuspidal automorphic member $\pi \in \widetilde{\Pi}_\phi(G_n)$ with a cuspidal realization $\mathcal{C}_\pi$ satisfying the conditions in Conjecture 2.3. It follows that $m := \ell_0^-$, $H_m := H_{\ell_0^-}^{\mathcal{O}_{\ell_0}}$, and $\sigma \in \mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{cusp}}(H_m)$ with a generic, H_m-relevant global Arthur parameter $\phi' \in \widetilde{\Phi}_2(H_m^*)$ and with a cuspidal realization $\mathcal{C}_\sigma$. They have the property that the inner product $\left\langle \mathcal{F}^{\psi_{\mathcal{O}_{\ell_0}}}(\varphi_\pi), \overline{\varphi}_\sigma \right\rangle_{H_m}$ is nonzero for some $\varphi_\pi \in \mathcal{C}_\pi$ and $\varphi_\sigma \in \mathcal{C}_\sigma$. As proved in Section 2.4, for each local place ν of F, the group $G_n(F_\nu) \times H_m(F_\nu)$ is relevant in the sense of the local Gan-Gross-Prasad conjecture as discussed in Section 3.2, and the local parameter $\phi_\nu \otimes \phi'_\nu$ belongs to $\widetilde{\Phi}^+_{\mathrm{unit},\nu}(G_n \times H_m)$. By Conjecture 3.1, the pair (π_ν, σ_ν) must be the unique distinguished member in the local Vogan packet $\widetilde{\Pi}_{\phi_\nu\otimes\phi'_\nu}[G_n^* \times H_m^*]$ as defined in (3.4), such that the following space, as defined in (3.5),

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{R_{\ell_0,\mathcal{O}_{\ell_0}}(F_\nu)}(\pi_\nu\otimes\sigma_\nu,\psi_{\mathcal{O}_{\ell_0},\nu})$$

is nonzero. Hence the pair (π,σ) is the unique distinguished member in the global Vogan packet $\widetilde{\Pi}_{\phi\otimes\phi'}[G_n^*\times H_m^*]$.

We apply the reciprocal identity for Bessel periods (Theorem 5.4) to the data $(G_n,H_m;\tau,\pi,\sigma)$, following the choice in Section 5.1. Recall the identity as follows:

$$\left\langle\varphi_\pi,\overline{\mathcal{F}^{\psi_{\mathcal{O}_{\kappa_0}}}(\mathcal{E}_{\tau\otimes\sigma})}\right\rangle_{G_n}=C_{\tau,\sigma,\pi}\cdot\left\langle\mathcal{F}^{\psi_{\mathcal{O}_{\ell_0}}}(\varphi_\pi),\overline{\varphi}_\sigma\right\rangle_{H_m}. \tag{7.1}$$

It follows that the inner product on the left hand side,

$$\left\langle\varphi_\pi,\overline{\mathcal{F}^{\psi_{\mathcal{O}_{\kappa_0}}}(\mathcal{E}_{\tau\otimes\sigma})}\right\rangle_{G_n}\neq 0,$$

for some choice of data. In particular, this implies that the $\psi_{\mathcal{O}_{\kappa_0}}$-Fourier coefficient $\mathcal{F}^{\psi_{\mathcal{O}_{\kappa_0}}}(\mathcal{E}_{\tau\otimes\sigma})$ is nonzero.

On the other hand, by Theorem 6.5, $\mathcal{F}_n^{\mathcal{O}_{\kappa_0}}(\mathcal{E}_{\tau\otimes\sigma})$ with $n=\kappa_0^-$ is nonzero and cuspidal as a sub-representation of $G_n(\mathbb{A})$ in the cuspidal spectrum $L^2_{\mathrm{cusp}}(G_n)$, with $G_n=G_n^{\mathcal{O}_{\kappa_0}}$, and hence can be written as a multiplicity-free, Hilbert direct sum:

$$\mathcal{F}_n^{\mathcal{O}_{\kappa_0}}(\mathcal{E}_{\tau\otimes\sigma})=\pi_1\oplus\pi_2\oplus\cdots\oplus\pi_k\oplus\cdots,$$

where $\pi_i\in\mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{cusp}}(G_n)$ for all $i=1,2,\cdots$. Each irreducible summand π_i has a generic global Arthur parameter belonging to the $\widetilde{\mathrm{O}}(G_n)$-orbit $\{\phi=\phi_\tau,\phi_\star\}$ of ϕ_τ. We apply the identity (7.1) to π_i for all i. The non-vanishing of the inner product on the left hand side implies the inner product on the right hand side

$$\left\langle\mathcal{F}^{\psi_{\mathcal{O}_{\ell_0}}}(\varphi_{\pi_i}),\overline{\varphi}_\sigma\right\rangle_{H_m}$$

is nonzero for some choice of data. Following Section 2.4, the product $G_n^{\mathcal{O}_{\kappa_0}}\times H_m$ constructed as in Diagram (6.4) is a pure inner F-form of an F-quasisplit $G_n^*\times H_m^*$. Then by Theorem 6.5 again, the pair (π_i,σ) belongs to either the global Vogan packet $\widetilde{\Pi}_{\phi\otimes\phi'}[G_n^*\times H_m^*]$ or the global Vogan packet $\widetilde{\Pi}_{\phi_\star\otimes\phi'}[G_n^*\times H_m^*]$. Since the pair (π,σ) is the unique distinguished member in the global Vogan packet $\widetilde{\Pi}_{\phi\otimes\phi'}[G_n^*\times H_m^*]$, and the pair $(\pi_\star,\sigma)$ is the unique distinguished member in the global Vogan packet $\widetilde{\Pi}_{\phi_\star\otimes\phi'}[G_n^*\times H_m^*]$, we must have that for each index i, π_i is isomorphic to either π or $\pi_\star$, under the assumption of Conjecture 3.1.

Because the direct sum decomposition of $\mathcal{F}_n^{\mathcal{O}_{\kappa_0}}(\mathcal{E}_{\tau\otimes\sigma})$ is of multiplicity free, it follows that $\mathcal{F}_n^{\mathcal{O}_{\kappa_0}}(\mathcal{E}_{\tau\otimes\sigma})$ must be of the form:

$$\mathcal{F}_n^{\mathcal{O}_{\kappa_0}}(\mathcal{E}_{\tau\otimes\sigma}) \cong \pi \oplus \pi_\star,$$

if the $\widetilde{\mathrm{O}}(G_n)$-orbit of π has two members π and $\pi_\star$. If the $\widetilde{\mathrm{O}}(G_n)$-orbit of π contains only π, then we must have

$$\mathcal{F}_n^{\mathcal{O}_{\kappa_0}}(\mathcal{E}_{\tau\otimes\sigma}) \cong \pi.$$

We are done. □

Remark 7.2. *By Theorem 7.1, the reciprocal identity for the Bessel periods in Theorem 5.4 can be viewed as a formula for the inner product of π on the left hand side. Further refinement on the right hand side will produce an inner product formula through the construction given in Diagram* (6.4)*. Of course, if we consider a different expression for the inner product on the left hand side, we will arrive a formula for the Bessel period on the right hand side. Hence a close relation of the reciprocal identity for Bessel periods to the conjectural formula of Ichino and Ikeda in* [34] *should be expected. We will come back to this important issue in our future work.*

7.2. **On the main conjecture: regular orbit case.** In this section, we assume that the group $G_n = G_n^*$ is F-quasisplit, and $\pi \in \mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{cusp}}(G_n^*)$ is generic, i.e. has a nonzero Whittaker-Fourier coefficient. In this case, the global Arthur parameter of π can be taken in form (3.1). Then the Langlands functorial transfer of π from G_n^* to $G_{E/F}(N)$ is τ, which is of the form (4.1). This is essentially proved by the work of Cogdell, Kim, Piatetski-Shapiro and Shahidi in [13], with combination of the automorphic descent of Ginzburg-Rallis-Soudry ([25]). We refer to [38, Section 3.1] for the detailed discussions of this and some related issues.

In this case, Conjecture 2.3 holds automatically without (H_m, σ). The residual representation is $\mathcal{E}_\tau$ on the F-quasisplit $H_a^*(\mathbb{A})$. The automorphic descent of Ginzburg-Rallis-Soudry in [25] shows that

$$\mathcal{D}_n^{\mathcal{O}_{\kappa_0}}(\tau;\emptyset) = \mathcal{F}_n^{\mathcal{O}_{\kappa_0}}(\mathcal{E}_\tau)$$

is a nonzero cuspidal automorphic representation of $G_n^*(\mathbb{A})$. As proved in [42], the descent $\mathcal{D}_n^{\mathcal{O}_{\kappa_0}}(\tau;\emptyset)$ is in fact irreducible for G_n^*, which is an F-split odd special orthogonal group. In general, the irreducibility follows from Conjecture 3.1. Hence Conjecture 6.6 is proved under Conjecture 3.1 as a consequence of the proof of Theorem 7.1.

Corollary 7.3 (Regular Orbit)**.** *Let G_n^* be F-quasisplit. For any $\pi \in \mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{cusp}}(G_n^*)$ to be generic with its global Arthur parameter* (3.1) *and τ*

as in (4.1)*, then Conjecture 6.6 holds for* π *under the assumption of Conjecture 3.1.*

7.3. **On the main conjecture: subregular orbit case.** We consider in this section the irreducible cuspidal automorphic representations π of $G_n(\mathbb{A})$ such that the set $\mathfrak{p}^m(\pi)$ contains the partition $\underline{p}_{\mathrm{subr}}$ corresponding to the subregular nilpotent orbit of G_n^*. In this situation, it is clear that $\mathfrak{p}^m(\pi)=\{\underline{p}_{\mathrm{subr}}\}$. Conjecture 2.3 can be verified as follows. The group H_m constructed via Diagram (6.4) can be determined as below:

If G_n^* is an F-quasisplit SO_{2n}, the subregular partition $\underline{p}_{\mathrm{subr}}$ is $[(2n-3)3]$. The partition with the first occurrence index ℓ_0 is $\underline{p}_{\ell_0}=[(2n-3)1^3]$ with $\ell_0=n-2$. Hence H_m is a pure inner F-form of SO_3, where $m=\ell_0^-$. According to [39, Theorem 11.2], because $\mathfrak{p}^m(\pi)=\{\underline{p}_{\mathrm{subr}}=[(2n-3)3]\}$, the ℓ_0-th Bessel module $\mathcal{F}^{\mathcal{O}_{\ell_0}}(\pi)$ associated to the F-rational orbit $\mathcal{O}_{\ell_0}$ must be nonzero if $H_m=H_{\ell_0^-}^{\mathcal{O}_{\ell_0}}$ is the split SO_3. Hence Conjecture 2.3 holds for this case.

If G_n^* is an F-split SO_{2n+1}, then $\underline{p}_{\mathrm{subr}}$ is $[(2n-1)1^2]$, which is the partition with the first occurrence index $\ell_0=n-1$. In this case, the group H_m is an F-form of SO_2, and hence Conjecture 2.3 holds.

If G_n^* is an F-quasisplit U_{2n}, then $\underline{p}_{\mathrm{subr}}$ is $[(2n-1)1]$, which is the partition with the first occurrence index $\ell_0=n-1$. In this case, the group H_m is equal to U_1, and hence Conjecture 2.3 holds.

If G_n^* is an F-quasisplit U_{2n+1}, then the subregular partition $\underline{p}_{\mathrm{subr}}$ is $[(2n)1]$. The partition with the first occurrence index ℓ_0 is $\underline{p}_{\ell_0}=[(2n-1)1^2]$ with $\ell_0=n-1$. Hence H_m is an F-form of U_2. It is clear that Conjecture 2.3 also holds for this case, following the proof for the case of F-quasisplit SO_{2n}.

We summarized this discussion as

Proposition 7.4. *Let* $\phi=\phi_\tau$ *be the generic global Arthur parameter of* G_n^* *as given in* (3.1) *with* τ *as defined in* (4.1)*. If a cuspidal automorphic member* π *in the global Vogan packet* $\widetilde{\Pi}_\phi[G_n^*]$ *has the property that* $\mathfrak{p}^m(\pi)=\{\underline{p}_{\mathrm{subr}}\}$*, then Conjecture 2.3 holds for* π*.*

As a consequence of the proof of Theorem 7.1, we have the following result.

Corollary 7.5 (Subregular Orbit)**.** *Assume that* $\pi\in\mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{cusp}}(G_n)$ *has a* G_n*-relevant, generic global Arthur parameter in* $\widetilde{\Phi}_2(G_n^*)$ *and the set* $\mathfrak{p}^m(\pi)$ *contains the subregular partition* $\underline{p}_{\mathrm{subr}}$ *of type* $(\mathfrak{n},G_n^*)$*. Conjecture 6.6 holds for* π *under the assumption of Conjecture 3.1.*

APPENDIX A. NON-VANISHING OF LOCAL ZETA INTEGRALS

In this appendix, we prove Part (3) of Proposition 5.1. It suffices to show that for any given $s = s_0$, and for any local place $\nu \in S$, there exists a choice of factorizable data $\phi_{\tau\otimes\sigma}$ and φ_π such that the non-normalized local zeta integral $\mathcal{Z}_\nu(s, \phi_{\tau\otimes\sigma}, \varphi_\pi, \psi_{\mathcal{O}_{\kappa_0}})$ is not zero at $s = s_0$. This statement is formulated in Proposition A.1, which contains more precise results. Throughout this appendix, all algebraic groups X are defined over F_ν. The F_ν-rational points of X is simply denoted by $X = X(F_\nu)$ when no confusion is caused. For simplicity of notation, we may use F for the local fields F_ν and use π of π_ν for instance.

For Re(s) large, the (non-normalized) local zeta integral in Theorem 4.2 is defined by

$$\mathcal{Z}_\nu(s, \phi_{\tau\otimes\sigma}, v_\pi, \psi_{\ell,w_0}) = \int_{R^\eta_{\ell,\beta-1}(F)\backslash G^{w_0}_{m^-}(F)} \mathfrak{b}_\nu(\pi(h)v_\pi, v_{\sigma',h})\,\mathrm{d}h. \tag{A.1}$$

Recall in (4.33)

$$v_{\sigma',h}(x) = \int_{U^-_{a,\eta}(F)} \phi^{Z_{a,\kappa}}_{\tau\otimes\sigma}(n\epsilon_\beta\eta h)\psi_{(\mathfrak{m}+a+\ell,a-\ell)}(n)\,\mathrm{d}n,$$

where $\epsilon_\beta := \epsilon_{0,\beta}$ for short. Moreover, $\mathcal{Z}_\nu(s,\cdot)$ has a meromorphic continuation to the whole complex plane. The proof is similar to that of Theorem 4.3 in [71] for the split orthogonal group case. Note that the bilinear form $\mathfrak{b}_\nu(\cdot,\cdot)$ is in the Hom-space (4.35) and satisfies the property (4.36). Here $\phi^{Z_{a,\kappa}}_{\tau\otimes\sigma}$ is a $\mathcal{W}_{a,\kappa}(\tau)\otimes\sigma$-valued function in $\mathrm{Ind}^{H_{a+m}}_{P_{\hat{a}}}|\cdot|^s\mathcal{W}_{a,\kappa}(\tau)\otimes\sigma$ as in (4.31), where $\mathcal{W}_{a,\kappa}(\tau)$ is the Whittaker model of τ with respect to the nondegenerate character $\psi_{Z_{a,\kappa}}$ defined in (4.24). The remaining notation such as ϵ_β and η can be found in Section 4. It is clear that Part (3) of Proposition 5.1 follows from the following proposition.

Proposition A.1. *Suppose that $\mathfrak{b}_\nu(v_\pi, v_\sigma)$ is not zero for some $v_\sigma \in \sigma$ and $v_\pi \in \pi$. For any given $s = s_0 \in \mathbb{C}$, there exists a flat section $\phi_{\tau\otimes\sigma}$ in $\mathrm{Ind}^{H_{a+m}}_{P_{\hat{a}}}\tau|\cdot|^s\otimes\sigma'$ with $\phi_{\tau\otimes\sigma}(I_{2a+\mathfrak{m}}) = v_\tau\otimes v_\sigma$, such that the local zeta integral $\mathcal{Z}_\nu(s, \phi_{\tau\otimes\sigma'}, v_\pi, \psi_{\ell,w_0})$ is nonzero at $s = s_0$. Moreover, if ν is a non-archimedean local place, there is a choice of v'_π and $\phi_{\tau\otimes\sigma'}$ with $\phi_{\tau\otimes\sigma'}(I_{2a+\mathfrak{m}}) = v'_\tau\otimes v'_\sigma$ such that $\mathcal{Z}_\nu(s, \phi_{\tau\otimes\sigma'}, v'_\pi, \psi_{\ell,w_0})$ is equal to a constant independent of s.*

We note that the function $\phi_{\tau\otimes\sigma'}$ is a $\tau\otimes\sigma'$-valued function. Even though the vectors v_σ and v'_σ are in σ, they are also considered as vectors in σ', under the action of H_m twisted by w^ℓ_q. The restriction of $\phi_{\tau\otimes\sigma'}$ on the unipotent subgroup $U^-_{\hat{a}}$ opposite to $U_{\hat{a}}$ and the V_τ-value

v_τ of $\phi_{\tau\otimes\sigma}$ are undetermined. In this proposition, we show that for given v_π and v_σ with $\mathfrak{b}_\nu(v_\pi, v_\sigma) \neq 0$, one can choose a suitable function $\phi_{\tau\otimes\sigma'}$ on the restriction into $U^-_{\hat{a}}$ and a suitable v_τ such that the local zeta integral $\mathcal{Z}(\cdot)$ is nonzero.

For the split orthogonal group case, Soudry proved, in [71, Theorem 4.3], that under the assumption in Proposition A.1, there exists a flat section $\phi_{\tau\otimes\sigma}$ such that

$$\mathcal{Z}_\nu(s, \phi_{\tau\otimes\sigma'}, v_\pi, \psi_{\ell,w_0}) = \mathfrak{b}_\nu(v_\pi, v_\sigma) W_\tau(I_a),$$

where $\phi_{\tau\otimes\sigma'}(I) = v_\tau \otimes v_\sigma$ and W_τ is the Whittaker functions of $v_\tau \in \tau$.

To prove Proposition A.1, we recall from Section 4.1 that H_{a+m} is either a special orthogonal group or unitary group. When H_{a+m} is unitary and ν splits in the number field E, $H_{a+m}(F_\nu) = \mathrm{U}_{2a+\mathfrak{m}}(F_\nu)$ is isomorphic to $\mathrm{GL}_{2a+\mathfrak{m}}(F_\nu)$. We defer the discussion on this case to the end of this proof. We first consider the case that $H_{a+m}(F_\nu)$ is not isomorphic to $\mathrm{GL}_{2a+\mathfrak{m}}(F_\nu)$.

Our strategy is to choose a suitable smooth section $\phi_{\tau\otimes\sigma'}$ supported in a Zariski open set in H_{a+m} and evaluate the function $\mathcal{J}_{\ell,\kappa}(\phi^{Z_{a,\kappa}}_{\tau\otimes\sigma'})$ over the intersection of the integral domain and the open set, and then choose suitable $v_\tau \in \tau$ in $\phi_{\tau\otimes\sigma'}(I) = v_\tau \otimes v_\sigma$ such that $\mathcal{Z}_\nu(s, \cdot)$ becomes nonzero at the given $s = s_0$.

First, recall that $\phi^{Z_{a,\kappa}}_{\tau\otimes\sigma'}$ is defined in (4.23) globally, whose Euler product is given in (4.32). It suffices to show that there exists a choice of $\phi^{Z_{a,\kappa}}_{\tau\otimes\sigma'}$ such that $\mathcal{Z}_\nu(s, \cdot)$ is nonzero at the given $s = s_0$. We choose a smooth section $\phi^{Z_{a,\kappa}}_{\tau\otimes\sigma'}$ in $\mathrm{Ind}^{H_{a+m}}_{P_{\hat{a}}} |\cdot|^s \mathcal{W}_{a,\kappa}(\tau) \otimes \sigma'$, which is supported in the open cell $P_{\hat{a}} U^-_{\hat{a}}$ of H_{a+m}. Let $\phi_{\tau\otimes\sigma'}(I_{2a+\mathfrak{m}}) = v_\tau \otimes v_\sigma$ and $W_\tau \in \mathcal{W}_{a,\kappa}(\tau)$ be the Whittaker function corresponding to v_τ, where v_σ is the vector in σ with the property that $\mathfrak{b}_\nu(v_\pi, v_\sigma) \neq 0$. Recall that $U^-_{\hat{a}}$ is the unipotent subgroup opposite to $U_{\hat{a}}$ and $U_{\hat{a}}$ is the unipotent radical of $P_{\hat{a}}$, as defined in Section 4.1. This means that

$$\text{(A.2)} \quad \phi^{Z_{a,\kappa}}_{\tau\otimes\sigma}\left(\begin{pmatrix} g & & \\ & h & \\ & & g^* \end{pmatrix} u\bar{n}'\epsilon_\beta\eta\right) = |\det g|^{s+\rho_a} W_\tau(g) f(\bar{n}') \sigma(h) v_\sigma$$

with $g \in \mathrm{GL}_a(E_\nu)$, $h \in H_m$, $u \in U_{\hat{a}}$, $v_\sigma \in \sigma$, and $\bar{n}' \in U^-_{\hat{a}}$. Here $f(\bar{n}')$ is a smooth, compactly supported function defined on $U^-_{\hat{a}}$, and $|\cdot|^{2\rho_a}$ is the modular character of the parabolic subgroup $P_{\hat{a}}$. Since $H_{a+m}(F_\nu) \neq \mathrm{GL}_{2a+\mathfrak{m}}(F_\nu)$, $G_{E_\nu/F_\nu}(a)(F_\nu) \neq \mathrm{GL}_a(F_\nu) \times \mathrm{GL}_a(F_\nu)$. Hence $(\mathrm{Res}_{E/F}\mathrm{GL}_a)(F_\nu)$ can be written as $\mathrm{GL}_a(E_\nu)$ where E_ν is either F_ν or a quadratic field extension over F_ν. We note that because of the conjugation by w^ℓ_q, the action of the variable h in the left hand side of

(A.2) is given by σ, while on the right hand side of (A.2) is given by σ'.

Since $\phi^{Z_{a,\kappa}}_{\tau\otimes\sigma'}$ is supported in the open cell and the integral (A.1) is integrating over $R^{\eta}_{\ell,\beta-1}\backslash G^{w_0}_{m^-}$, we need to evaluate $\mathcal{J}_{\ell,\kappa}(\phi^{Z_{a,\kappa}}_{\tau\otimes\sigma'})(\epsilon_\beta\eta h)$ as a function of h in the domain

$$R^{\eta}_{\ell,\beta-1}\backslash G^{w_0}_{m^-} \cap (\epsilon_\beta\eta)^{-1}(P_{\hat{a}}U^-_{\hat{a}})(\epsilon_\beta\eta). \tag{A.3}$$

The group $G^{w_0}_{m^-}$ is identified as a subgroup of the Levi subgroup of $P_{\hat{\ell}}$. According to the structure of the stabilizer of the open cell $P_{\hat{a}}\epsilon_\beta P_{\hat{\ell}}$ as given in Section 4.4, the intersection (A.3) can be written as the following intersection

$$R^{\eta}_{\ell,\beta-1}\backslash G^{w_0}_{m^-} \cap \mathrm{Ad}(\eta^{-1})(P'_w U^-_{a-\ell}). \tag{A.4}$$

Recall that P'_w defined in Proposition 4.1 is the standard parabolic subgroup of $H_{a+m-\ell}$ with Levi decomposition $(G_{E_\nu/F_\nu}(a-\ell)\times H_m)\ltimes U_{a-\ell}$, where $U_{a-\ell}$ is the unipotent radical. Since the intersection set $G^{w_0}_{m^-}\cap\mathrm{Ad}(\eta^{-1})(P'_wU^-_{a-\ell})$ is $R^{\eta}_{\ell,\beta-1}$-left stable because of

$$R^{\eta}_{\ell,\beta-1} = G^{w_0}_{m^-}\cap\eta^{-1}P'_w\eta,$$

the intersection modulo $R^{\eta}_{\ell,\beta-1}$ in (A.4) is well defined. It is clear that $P'_wU^-_{a-\ell}$ is an open subset of $H_{a+m-\ell}$.

With the above choice of $\phi^{Z_{a,\kappa}}_{\tau\otimes\sigma'}$, the integral (A.1) can be taken over the set (A.4). To proceed with the integral (A.1), we explicitly describe the intersection $G^{w_0}_{m^-}\cap\mathrm{Ad}(\eta^{-1})(P'_wU^-_{a-\ell})$. It is enough to describe the set $\mathrm{Ad}(\eta)G^{w_0}_{m^-}\cap(P'_wU^-_{a-\ell})$. Take

$$p = \begin{pmatrix} g & -Y\cdot h^{-1} & \iota(\hat{Z})g^* \\ & h^{-1} & -Y'g^* \\ & & g^* \end{pmatrix} \in P'_w \tag{A.5}$$

and

$$\bar{n} = \begin{pmatrix} I_{a-\ell} & & \\ X' & I_{\mathfrak{m}} & \\ A & X & I_{a-\ell} \end{pmatrix} \in U^-_{a-\ell}, \tag{A.6}$$

with $g\in\mathrm{GL}_{a-\ell}(E)$, $h\in H_m$, $Y\in\mathrm{Mat}_{(a-\ell)\times\mathfrak{m}}$, $\hat{Z}:=\omega_{a-\ell}Z^t\omega_{a-\ell}$, $Y':=-\omega_{a-\ell}\cdot\iota(Y)^t\cdot(J^{\mathfrak{m}}_{\tilde{\mathfrak{m}}})^{-1}$, and $g^*=\iota(\hat{g})^{-1}$. Here $\omega_{a-\ell}$ is the anti-diagonal matrix with the unit entry of the size $(a-\ell)$-by-$(a-\ell)$, $J^{\mathfrak{m}}_{\tilde{\mathfrak{m}}}$ is defined in (2.2), and ι is the Galois element in $\Gamma_{E/F}$ (see Page 10).

Since $G_{m^-}^{w_0}$ fixes the anisotropic vector $w_0 = y_\kappa$ defined in (4.5), $\mathrm{Ad}(\eta)G_{m^-}^{w_0}$ stabilizes the vector

$$\mathrm{Ad}(\eta)w_0 = \begin{pmatrix} E_1 \\ 0_{\mathfrak{m}} \\ E_2 \end{pmatrix}_{(2(a-\ell)+\mathfrak{m})\times 1}$$

where $0_{\mathfrak{m}}$ is the $\mathfrak{m}$-dimensional zero column vector,

$$E_1 = (0,\dots,0,1)^t \text{ and } E_2 = ((-1)^{\mathfrak{m}+1}\frac{\kappa}{2},0,\dots,0)^t \text{ in } \mathrm{Mat}_{(a-\ell)\times 1}.$$

Here we consider $\mathrm{Ad}(\eta)w_0$ as an anisotropic vector in the Hermitian space defining $H_{a+m-\ell}$. Then $p\cdot\bar{n} \in P'_w U^-_{a-\ell}$ is in $\mathrm{Ad}(\eta)G_{m^-}^{w_0}$ if and only if $p\cdot\bar{n}$ fixes the vector $\mathrm{Ad}(\eta)w_0$. It is to say that both p and $\bar{n}$ satisfy the following equations:

$$\text{(A.7)}\quad ZE_2 = (I_{a-\ell} - g)E_1,\ AE_1 = (\iota(\hat{g}) - I_{a-\ell})E_2,\ X'E_1 = h\cdot Y'E_2.$$

Since our integral domain is a set of $R^\eta_{\ell,\beta-1}$-right cosets, we identify the quotient set (A.4) by choosing $h = I_{\mathfrak{m}}$, $g \in Z_{a-\ell}(E)\backslash \mathrm{GL}_{a-\ell}(E)$,

$$Y = \begin{pmatrix} 0_{(\mathfrak{m}-1)\times(a-\ell)} \\ y \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } \iota(\hat{Z})g^* = \begin{pmatrix} z_1 & 0_{(a-\ell-1)\times(a-\ell-1)} \\ z_2 & z_3 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Due to (A.7) and the above choice, the vector y in Y, and z_i in Z for $1 \le i \le 3$ are determined by X and g, respectively. Because of this, we write Y_X and Z_g for Y and Z, respectively.

Next, since $\mathfrak{b}_\nu(v_\pi, v_\sigma) \neq 0$, we choose W_τ such that

$$\text{(A.8)}\qquad W_\tau(I_a)\mathfrak{b}_\nu(v_\pi, v_\sigma) = 1,$$

and write, by separation of variables,

$$\text{(A.9)}\qquad f\begin{pmatrix} I_{a-\ell} & & & & \\ 0 & I_\ell & & & \\ X' & x_2' & I_{\mathfrak{m}} & & \\ x_1 & x_3 & x_2 & I_\ell & \\ A & x_1' & X & 0 & I_{a-\ell} \end{pmatrix} = f_1(x_1,x_2,x_3)f_2(X,A)$$

where f_1 and f_2 are smooth, compactly supported functions, and the size of matrices X and x_i are indicated by the matrix in (A.9). With the above choices, we are able to evaluate $\mathcal{J}_{\ell,\kappa}(\phi^{Z_{a,\kappa}}_{\tau\otimes\sigma'})(\epsilon_\beta\eta h)$ more explicitly. For h in the set (A.4), we decompose $\mathrm{Ad}(\eta)h = p\cdot\bar{n}$ as given in (A.5) and (A.6). Let us conjugate $p\cdot\bar{n}$ by ϵ_β. Referring to Equation (3.6) in [44], $\epsilon_\beta p\epsilon_\beta^{-1}$ and $\epsilon_\beta\bar{n}\epsilon_\beta^{-1}$ considered as elements in H_{a+m} are of the form:

(A.10)

$$\begin{pmatrix} g & 0 & -Y_X & 0 & \iota(\hat{Z}_g)g^* \\ & I_\ell & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ & & I_{\mathfrak{m}} & 0 & -Y'_X g^* \\ & & & I_\ell & 0 \\ & & & & g^* \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } \begin{pmatrix} I_{a-\ell} & & & & \\ 0 & I_\ell & & & \\ X' & 0 & I_{\mathfrak{m}} & & \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & I_\ell & \\ A & 0 & X & 0 & I_{a-\ell} \end{pmatrix}.$$

By the definition of $\mathcal{J}_{\ell,\kappa}(\cdot)$ in (4.25), and the choice of $\phi^{Z_{a,\kappa}}_{\tau\otimes\sigma'}$ in (A.2) and f in (A.9), we have

$$\mathcal{J}_{\ell,\kappa}(\phi^{Z_{a,\kappa}}_{\tau\otimes\sigma'})(\epsilon_\beta p\bar{n}\eta) = |\det g|^{s+\rho_a} W_\tau(\begin{pmatrix} g & \\ & I_\ell \end{pmatrix}) f_2(X,A) v_\sigma \times \int_{U^-_{a,\eta}} f_1(x_1,x_2,x_3)\psi_F((x_1+x_2Y'_X)_{\ell,a-\ell})\,\mathrm{d}x_1\,\mathrm{d}x_2\,\mathrm{d}x_3, \tag{A.11}$$

where the matrices x_i define the element in $U^-_{a,\eta}$ (see (4.26)). Since the function $f_1(x_1,x_2,x_3)$ is chosen to be a smooth and compactly supported function and is independent of complex variable s, the integral $\mathcal{J}_{\ell,\kappa}$ is well defined over the whole complex plane for such choice of the section $\phi_{\tau\otimes\sigma}$, and so is the local zeta integral (A.1).

Finally, by applying the formula (A.11) to (A.1), we obtain that $\mathcal{Z}_\nu(,\cdot)$ equals

(A.12)

$$\int_{X,A}\int_g |\det g|^{s+\rho_a} W_\tau(\begin{pmatrix} g & \\ & I_\ell \end{pmatrix}) f_2(X,A)\mathfrak{b}_\nu(\pi(p(g,X)\bar{n}(X,A))v_\pi, v_\sigma)$$
$$\int_{U^-_{a,\eta}} f_1(x_1,x_2,x_3)\psi_F((x_1+x_2Y'_X)_{\ell,a-\ell})\,\mathrm{d}x_1\,\mathrm{d}x_2\,\mathrm{d}x_3\,\mathrm{d}g\,\mathrm{d}X\,\mathrm{d}A.$$

The notation in the formula is explained in order. The integration $\int_g$ is over $Z_{a-\ell}(E)\backslash\{g \in \mathrm{GL}_{a-\ell}(E)\colon \iota(\hat{g})E_2 = AE_1+E_2\}$, with constraints given in (A.7). Rewrite $\bar{n}$ and p to be $\bar{n}(X,A)$ and $p(g,X)$ respectively to indicate their dependence on variables X, A and g, following (A.10). The integration $\int_{X,A}$ is over the set $U^-_{a-\ell}$ with $AE_1 \neq -E_2$, due to $AE_1 = (\iota(\hat{g})-I_a)E_2$ in (A.7) and $\det(g)\neq 0$. Indeed, because $AE_1+E_2 = \iota(\hat{g})E_2$, if $AE_1 = -E_2$, then $\iota(\hat{g})E_2 = 0_{a-\ell}$, which implies $\det(g) = 0$.

We are going to finish the proof based on the above expression for the local zeta integral $\mathcal{Z}_\nu(s,\cdot)$. Suppose that $\mathcal{Z}_\nu(s,\cdot)$ is identically zero for all choice of data f_1 and f_2 at the given $s = s_0$. We vary the function $f_2(X,A)$ and consider the rest of the integral as a continuous function of X and A. Since the integral over $U^-_{a-\ell}$ is identically zero, the remaining integration in the variable $\bar{n}$ as given in (A.6) is identically zero, that is,

$$\begin{aligned}(\mathrm{A.13})\quad & \int_g |\det g|^{s+\rho_a} W_\tau(\begin{pmatrix} g & \\ & I_\ell \end{pmatrix})\mathfrak{b}_\nu(\pi(p\bar{n})v_\pi, v_\sigma) \\ & \times \int_{U^-_{a,\eta}} f_1(x_1,x_2,x_3)\psi_F((x_1+x_2Y'_X)_{\ell,a-\ell})\,\mathrm{d}x_1\,\mathrm{d}x_2\,\mathrm{d}x_3\,\mathrm{d}g \equiv 0.\end{aligned}$$

Especially the integral on the left hand side of (A.13) is identically zero at $\bar{n} = I_{2(a-\ell)+\mathfrak{m}}$, equivalently, at $X = 0_{(a-\ell)\times\mathfrak{m}}$ and $A = 0_{(a-\ell)\times(a-\ell)}$. Because $M = I_{\mathfrak{m}}$ and $X'E_1 = Y'E_2$ in (A.7), we must have that $Y_X = 0_{(a-\ell)\times\mathfrak{m}}$ due to $X = 0_{(a-\ell)\times\mathfrak{m}}$. As $A = 0_{(a-\ell)\times(a-\ell)}$ and $AE_1 = (\iota(\hat{g}) - I_a)E_2$ in (A.7), g must belong to the mirabolic subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}_{a-\ell}(E)$, that is, $E_1^t g = E_1^t$. Since the integration domain of g is modulo $Z_{a-\ell}(E)$ and $E_1^t g = E_1^t$, the integral $\int_g$ is over $Z_{a-\ell-1}(E)\backslash\mathrm{GL}_{a-\ell-1}(E)$.

Furthermore, one may choose a suitable smooth, compactly supported function f_1 such that

$$(\mathrm{A.14})\qquad \int_{U^-_{a,\eta}} f_1(x_1,x_2,x_3)\psi_F((x_1)_{\ell,a-\ell})\,\mathrm{d}x_1\,\mathrm{d}x_2\,\mathrm{d}x_3 = 1.$$

Continuing with (A.13), we have
(A.15)

$$\int_g |\det g|^{s+\rho_a} W_\tau(\begin{pmatrix} g & \\ & I_{\ell+1} \end{pmatrix})\mathfrak{b}_\nu(\pi(\begin{pmatrix} g & & \\ & I_{\mathfrak{m}+1} & \\ & & g^* \end{pmatrix})v_\pi, v_\sigma)\,\mathrm{d}g \equiv 0,$$

where $\int_g$ is over $Z_{a-\ell-1}(E)\backslash\mathrm{GL}_{a-\ell-1}(E)$. Applying the same inductive argument in Sections 6 and 7 of [69], we obtain that

$$W_\tau(I_a)\mathfrak{b}_\nu(v_\pi, v_\sigma) = 0.$$

However, this contradicts with (A.8). Therefore, there must exist a choice of data such that $\mathcal{Z}_\nu(s,\cdot)$ is not zero at the given $s = s_0$.

We note that such an inductive argument will be repeated in the proof for the more precise assertion for finite local places $\nu \in S$, and will be recalled below. Moreover, by $\mathfrak{b}_\nu(v_\pi, v_\sigma) \neq 0$, one can choose suitable W_τ similar to the case in Sections 6 and 7 of [69] such that the left hand side of (A.13) is convergent over the whole complex plane. Since f_1 and f_2 are smooth, compactly supported functions, the integral (A.12) for such choice of data is defined over the whole complex plane, which equals $\mathcal{Z}_\nu(s,\cdot)$ for all s. In particular, the local zeta integral $\mathcal{Z}_\nu(s,\cdot)$ is not zero at any s.

At a finite local place $\nu \in S$, under the assumption on v_π and v_σ, we may obtain a stronger assertion that there exist v'_π and $\phi^{Z_{a,\kappa}}_{\tau\otimes\sigma'}$ such that the local zeta integral $\mathcal{Z}_\nu(s,\cdot)$ is a nonzero constant independent of s.

Since v_π is a smooth vector, we can choose a characteristic function f_2 to be supported in a small neighborhood which fixes the vector v_π, and f_1 to satisfy (A.14). Continuing with (A.12), we are able to obtain a simpler formula for the local zeta integral $\mathcal{Z}_\nu(s,\cdot)$ (cf. (A.15)) at finite local places:

$$\mathcal{Z}_\nu(s,\cdot) = \int_g |\det g|^{s+\rho_a} W_\tau(\begin{pmatrix} g & \\ & I_{\ell+1}\end{pmatrix})\mathcal{F}_{v_\sigma,v_\pi}(g^\sim)\,\mathrm{d}g, \tag{A.16}$$

where $g^\sim = \mathrm{diag}\{g, I_{\mathfrak{m}+1}, g^*\} \in G^{w_0}_{m^-}$ and

$$\mathcal{F}_{v_\sigma,v_\pi}(g) := \mathfrak{b}_\nu(\pi(g^\sim)v_\pi, v_\sigma). \tag{A.17}$$

By applying the inductive argument of Proposition 6.1 in [69], we obtain a constant function for some v'_π, W_τ and v_σ

$$\mathcal{Z}(s,\cdot) = c_0 W_\tau(I_a)\mathcal{F}_{v_\sigma,v'_\pi}(I_{a-\ell-1}). \tag{A.18}$$

More precisely, we produce a new vector $v'_\pi \in \pi$ based on the initial vectors v_σ and v_π such that $\mathcal{F}_{v_\sigma,v'_\pi}(\cdot)$ is a characteristic function on a small neighborhood of the identity element. We apply the inductive argument mentioned as above. Let $\mathcal{F}_{v_\sigma,v_\pi}$ be the function defined as (A.17). We define $\mathcal{F}'(g)$ to be

$$\mathfrak{b}_\nu(\int_{\mathcal{Y}} \pi(g^\sim \begin{pmatrix} I_{a-\ell-1} & d_1 \\ & 1\end{pmatrix}^\dagger)\psi_{\beta-1,y_{-\kappa}}(\begin{pmatrix} I_{a-\ell-1} & d_1 \\ & 1\end{pmatrix}^\dagger)v_\pi\,\mathrm{d}d_1, v_\sigma),$$

where $\mathcal{Y}$ is a large compact open subgroup of $\mathrm{Mat}_{(a-\ell-1)\times 1}$, $\psi^{-1}_{Z_{a,\kappa}}$ is a character of d_1 only involving the entry $d_{1,a-\ell-1}$ in the $(a-\ell-1)$-th row. Here the element $(\cdot)^\dagger$ is the embedding into $V_{\beta-1,\eta}$ of form (4.17) with zero entries for u, v_1 and v. So $\mathcal{Y}$ can be considered as a subgroup of $V_{\beta-1,\eta}$. We may replace v_π by

$$v'_\pi = \int_{\mathcal{Y}} \pi(\begin{pmatrix} I_{a-\ell-1} & d_1 \\ & 1\end{pmatrix}^\dagger)\psi_{\beta-1,y_{-\kappa}}(\begin{pmatrix} I_{a-\ell-1} & d_1 \\ & 1\end{pmatrix}^\dagger)v_\pi\,\mathrm{d}d_1.$$

If we choose $\mathcal{Y}$ sufficient large, by the definition (4.36), we have that

$$\mathcal{F}_{v_\sigma,v'_\pi}(b) = \mathcal{F}'(b) = c'\mathcal{F}_{v_\sigma,v_\pi}(\begin{pmatrix} b' & \\ & 1\end{pmatrix}),$$

for some nonzero constant c' when the bottom row of the matrix b is near $(0,\dots,0,1)$; otherwise $\mathcal{F}_{v_\sigma,v'_\pi}(b)$ is zero. Using the induction on the size of b and repeating this process, we obtain that there exists a vector v'_π such that $\mathcal{F}_{v_\sigma,v'_\pi}$ is a constant function supported in a small neighborhood of the identity.

Next, applying a similar argument, we can choose a Whittaker function $W_\tau(\cdot)$ that is also constant and nonzero at a small neighborhood of the identity. Then the integrand of (A.16) is a constant function, and is equal to $c_0 W_\tau(I_a)\mathcal{F}_{v_\sigma,v'_\pi}(I_a)$ for some nonzero volume c_0 of its support. Remark that with our choice of W_τ and $\mathcal{F}_{v_\sigma,v'_\pi}$ the integral (A.16) is constant over the whole complex plane. Therefore, we complete the proof of this proposition in the case $H_{a+m}(F_\nu) \neq \mathrm{GL}_{2a+\mathfrak{m}}(F_\nu)$.

If $H_{a+m}(F_\nu)$ is isomorphic to $\mathrm{GL}_{2a+\mathfrak{m}}(F_\nu)$, due to the splitness of the group, the matrix calculation such as (A.5) and (A.6) is slight different. See [81] for instance. However, the proof for this case is completely same. Hence we omit the details here.

Appendix B. On Local Intertwining Operators

Throughout this appendix, let F be a local field of characteristic 0. Recall that H_m^* is a quasi-split classical group defined over F and H_m is a pure inner F-form of H_m^*. Let ϕ be a local L-parameter of $H_m^*(F)$ and $\widetilde{\Pi}_\phi(H_m)$ the associated L-packet. Assume that ϕ is generic, that is, $\widetilde{\Pi}_\phi(H_m^*)$ contains a generic member, following [65]. Up to a conjugation, assume that ϕ is of form as in Section 3.1

$$\phi = (\phi_1 \otimes |\cdot|^{\beta_1}) \otimes \cdots (\phi_t \otimes |\cdot|^{\beta_t}) \otimes \phi_0, \tag{B.1}$$

where $\beta_1 > \beta_2 > \cdots > \beta_t > 0$, all $\phi_i \colon \mathcal{L}_F \to {}^L G_{E/F}(n_i)$ for $1 \leq i \leq t$ and $\phi_0 \colon \mathcal{L}_F \to {}^L H_{n_0}$ are tempered local L-parameters. Then, the L-packet $\widetilde{\Pi}_\phi(H_m)$ is defined to be the set of the Langlands quotients of the induced representations

$$\mathrm{Ind}_{P(F)}^{H_m(F)} \tau(\phi_1)|\det|^{\beta_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \tau(\phi_t)|\det|^{\beta_t} \otimes \sigma_0 \tag{B.2}$$

where the parabolic subgroup P has the Levi subgroup isomorphic to $G_{E/F}(n_1) \times \cdots \times G_{E/F}(n_t) \times H_{n_0}$, σ_0 runs though over the tempered L-packet $\widetilde{\Pi}_{\phi_0}(H_{n_0})$, and $\tau(\phi_i)$ is the irreducible admissible unitary generic representation of $G_{E/F}(n_i)(F)$ given by the local Langlands correspondence for the general linear groups.

Proposition B.1. *If ϕ is a generic L-parameter of H_m^* as given in* (B.1)*, then all representations in $\widetilde{\Pi}_\phi(H_m)$ can be written as irreducible standard modules, that is, the induced representations displayed in* (B.2) *are irreducible for all pure inner forms H_m and $\sigma_0 \in \widetilde{\Pi}_\phi(H_{n_0})$.*

Proof. If F is nonarchimedean, this proposition is proved by Mœglin and Waldspurger in [65] for orthogonal groups, by Gan and Ichino in

[19, Proposition 9.1], and by Heiermann in [30] for general reductive groups. If F is archimedean, it is a special case of Theorem 1.24 in the book by Adams, Barbasch and Vogan ([1]). More details can be found in Chapters 14 and 15 of [1]. $\square$

Proposition B.1 serves as a base for us to prove Theorem 5.2. Recall that the normalized local intertwining operator $\mathcal{N}(\omega_0, \tau \otimes \sigma, s)_\nu$ takes sections in the induced representation

$$\mathrm{Ind}_{P_{\hat{a}}(F_\nu)}^{H_{a+m}(F_\nu)}(\tau_\nu |\det|^s \otimes \sigma_\nu) \tag{B.3}$$

to sections in the induced representation

$$\mathrm{Ind}_{P_{\hat{a}}(F_\nu)}^{H_{a+m}(F_\nu)}(\tau_\nu^* |\det|^{-s} \otimes \sigma_\nu), \tag{B.4}$$

where τ_ν is the local ν-component of the irreducible isobaric automorphic representation τ as given in (4.1), and σ_ν is the local ν-component of the irreducible cuspidal automorphic representation σ in $\mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{cusp}}(H_m)$ with an H_m-relevant, generic global Arthur parameter ϕ_σ as in Theorem 5.2. It is clear that Theorem 5.2 follows from the following theorem.

Theorem B.2. *Let ϕ^+ be a local ν-component of an H_m-relevant, generic global Arthur parameter of H_m^*. If τ is an irreducible admissible unitary generic self-dual representation of $G_{E/F}(a)(F)$ and σ is an irreducible representation in the generic local L-packet $\widetilde{\Pi}_{\phi^+}(H_m)$, then the normalized local intertwining operator $\mathcal{N}(\omega_0, \tau \otimes \sigma, s)_\nu$ is holomorphic and nonzero for* $\mathrm{Re}(s) \geq \frac{1}{2}$.

Proof. First of all, the local L-packet $\widetilde{\Pi}_{\phi^+}(H_m)$ has a generic member σ° ([3] and [67]) when $H_m = H_m^*$ is quasisplit. If σ is generic, the proposition follows from Theorem 11.1 in [13].

Assume now that σ is not generic. For such a generic local L-packet $\widetilde{\Pi}_{\phi^+}(H_m)$, by Proposition B.1, the standard modules as displayed in (B.2) are irreducible. This is the key point for us to apply the argument in [13] in the proof of this proposition.

According to the structure of the unitary generic dual of the general linear groups, given by Vogan in [74] for the archimedean case and by Tadic in [73] for the non-archimedean case, any generic member σ° in $\widetilde{\Pi}_{\phi^+}(H_m^*)$ is isomorphic to the irreducible generic unitary induced representation

$$\mathrm{Ind}_{P(F)}^{H_m^*(F)} \tau(\phi_1)|\det|^{\beta_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \tau(\phi_t)|\det|^{\beta_t} \otimes \sigma_0$$

where

$$\frac{1}{2} > \beta_1 > \beta_2 > \cdots > \beta_t > 0, \tag{B.5}$$

and all $\tau(\phi_i)$ and σ_0 are irreducible, unitary, generic, and tempered. By Proposition B.1, each σ in $\widetilde{\Pi}_{\phi^+}(H_m)$ is of form (B.2) with the exponents satisfying (B.5).

Again, by the unitary generic dual of the general linear groups, τ is isomorphic to the irreducible induced representation

(B.6)

$$\mathrm{Ind}_{P'(E)}^{\mathrm{GL}_a(E)}\tau_1|\det|^{\alpha_1}\otimes\cdots\otimes\tau_d|\det|^{\alpha_d}\otimes\tau_0\otimes\tau_d^*|\det|^{-\alpha_d}\otimes\cdots\otimes\tau_1^*|\det|^{-\alpha_1}$$

where all τ_i are unitary, generic and tempered, and

$$\frac{1}{2}>\alpha_1>\cdots>\alpha_d>0.$$

Now we replace the representations τ_ν and σ_ν in (B.3) by their corresponding realizations in (B.6) and (B.2), respectively. By the transitivity of parabolic induction, $\mathcal{N}(\omega_0,\tau_\nu\otimes\sigma_\nu,s)_\nu$ can be expressed as a composition of the local intertwining operators of rank one, which are of form

$$\mathcal{N}(w_{j,i},\tau_j\otimes\tau(\phi_i),s\pm\alpha_j\pm\beta_i) \tag{B.7}$$

$$\mathcal{N}(w'_{j,i},\tau_j\otimes\tau_i,2s\pm\alpha_j\pm\alpha_i) \tag{B.8}$$

$$\mathcal{N}(w''_j,\tau(\phi_i)\otimes\sigma_0,s\pm\alpha_j), \tag{B.9}$$

where $w_{j,i}$, $w'_{j,i}$, and w''_j are the corresponding Weyl elements. We deal with these three types of the local intertwining operators separately.

The first two types (B.7) and (B.8) were studied by Mœglin and Waldspurger in [63]. For any unitary tempered τ and τ' of general linear groups, the normalized intertwining operator $\mathcal{N}(w,\tau\otimes\tau',s)$ is holomorphic and nonzero for $\mathrm{Re}(s)>-1$. Because of the bounds for the exponents, it follows that

$$\mathcal{N}(w_{j,i},\tau_j\otimes\tau(\phi_i),s\pm\alpha_j\pm\beta_i) \text{ and } \mathcal{N}(w'_{j,i},\tau_j\otimes\tau_i,2s\pm\alpha_j\pm\alpha_i)$$

are holomorphic and nonzero for $\mathrm{Re}(s)\geq 0$.

For the remaining type (B.9), by the bound $0<\alpha_j<\frac{1}{2}$, it is sufficient to show that the normalized intertwining operator $\mathcal{N}(w'',\tau\otimes\sigma_0,s)$ is holomorphic and nonzero for $\mathrm{Re}(s)>0$, when τ and σ_0 are unitary tempered. Since ϕ_0 is a generic parameter, there is a generic representation σ_0° in the tempered local L-packet $\widetilde{\Pi}_{\phi_0}(H_{n_0})$. Hence we have the identity of local L-factors:

$$L(s,\tau\times\sigma_0)=L(s,\tau\times\sigma_0^\circ).$$

Referring to [52], $L(s,\tau\times\sigma_0^\circ)$ and $L(s,\tau,\rho)$ are holomorphic and nonzero for $\mathrm{Re}(s)>0$, and so is the normalizing factor. In addition, following Proposition IV.2.1 in [76] for the non-archimedean case and Lemma 4.4

in [10] for the archimedean case, the non-normalized local intertwining operator $\mathcal{M}(w'', \tau \otimes \sigma_0, s)$ for tempered data is holomorphic and nonzero for $\mathrm{Re}(s) > 0$. It follows that both $\mathcal{M}(w'', \tau_j \otimes \sigma_0, s - \alpha_j)$ and $L(s - \alpha_j, \tau_j \times \sigma_0)$ are holomorphic and nonzero for $\mathrm{Re}(s) \geq \frac{1}{2}$ because $0 < \alpha_j < \frac{1}{2}$. Therefore, the normalized local intertwining operator $\mathcal{N}(w''_j, \tau(\phi_i) \otimes \sigma_0, s \pm \alpha_j)$ is holomorphic and nonzero for $\mathrm{Re}(s) \geq \frac{1}{2}$. Putting together the results for all three types, we complete the proof of this proposition. □

References

[1] Adams, J.; Barbasch, D.; Vogan, D. *The Langlands classification and irreducible characters for real reductive groups.* Progress in Mathematics, 104. Birkhäuser Boston, Inc., Boston, MA, 1992.

[2] Aizenbud, A.; Gourevitch, D.; Rallis, S.; Schiffmann, G. *Multiplicity one theorems.* Ann. of Math. (2) 172 (2010), no. 2, 1407–1434.

[3] Arthur, James *The endoscopic classification of representations: Orthogonal and Symplectic groups.* American Mathematical Society Colloquium Publications, 61. AMS, Providence, RI, 2013.

[4] Arthur, James *Characters and Modules.* Open problems in honor of Wilfried Schmid, Problem 5, in Representation Theory, Automorphic Forms, and Complex Geometry–A conference in honor of the 70th birthday of Wilfried Schmid May 20–23, 2013, Harvard University.

[5] Atobe, Hiraku; Gan, Wee Teck *On the local Langlands correspondence for quasi-split even orthogonal groups.* arXiv:1602.01297 (2016).

[6] Ben-Artzi, Asher; Soudry, David *L-functions for* $\mathrm{U}_m \times R_{E/F}\mathrm{GL}_n, (n \leq [\frac{m}{2}])$. Automorphic forms and L-functions I. Global aspects, 13–59, Contemp. Math., 488, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2009.

[7] Ben-Artzi, Asher; Soudry, David *On L-functions for* $\mathrm{U}_{2k} \times R_{E/F}\mathrm{GL}_m, (k < m)$. Advances in the theory of automorphic forms and their L-functions, 69–104, Contemp. Math., 664, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2016.

[8] Beuzart-Plessis, R. *La conjecture locale de Gross-Prasad pour les représentations tempérées des groupes unitaires.* Preprint (2012).

[9] Beuzart-Plessis, R. *A local trace formula for the Gan-Gross-Prasad conjecture for unitary groups: the archimedean case.* preprint 2015.

[10] Borel, A.; Wallach, N. *Continuous cohomology, discrete subgroups, and representations of reductive groups.* Second edition. Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, 67. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2000.

[11] Burger, M.; Li, J.-S.; Sarnak, P. *Ramanujan duals and automorphic spectrum.* Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (N.S.) 26 (1992), no. 2, 253–257.

[12] Burger, M.; Sarnak, P. *Ramanujan duals. II.* Invent. Math. 106 (1991), no. 1, 1–11.

[13] Cogdell, J. W.; Kim, H. H.; Piatetski-Shapiro, I. I.; Shahidi, F. *Functoriality for the classical groups.* Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Études Sci. No. 99 (2004), 163–233.

[14] Clozel, Laurent *The ABS principle: consequences for* $L^2(G/H)$. On certain L-functions, 99–106, Clay Math. Proc., 13, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2011.

[15] Furusawa, M.; Kazuki M. *Refined global Gross-Prasad conjecture on special Bessel periods and Böcherer's conjecture.* arXiv:1611.05567 (2016).

[16] Gan, Wee Teck, *Recent progress on the Gross-Prasad conjecture.* Acta Math. Vietnam. 39 (2014), no. 1, 11–33.

[17] Gan, Wee Teck; Gross, Benedict H.; Prasad, Dipendra *Symplectic local root numbers, central critical L-values, and restriction problems in the representation theory of classical groups.* Sur les conjectures de Gross et Prasad. I. Astérisque No. 346 (2012), 1–109.

[18] Gan, Wee Teck; Gross, Benedict H.; Prasad, Dipendra *Restrictions of representations of classical groups: examples.* Sur les conjectures de Gross et Prasad. I. Astérisque No. 346 (2012), 111–170.

[19] Gan, Wee Teck; Ichino, Atsushi *The Gross-Prasad conjecture and local theta correspondence.* Invent. math. (2016), 1–95.

[20] Harder, G.; Langlands, R. P.; Rapoport, M. *Algebraische Zyklen auf Hilbert-Blumenthal-Flächen.* (German) [Algebraic cycles on Hilbert-Blumenthal surfaces] J. Reine Angew. Math. 366 (1986), 53–120.

[21] Ginzburg, David; Jiang, Dihua; Rallis, Stephen *On the nonvanishing of the central value of the Rankin-Selberg L-functions.* J. Amer. Math. Soc. 17 (2004), no. 3, 679–722.

[22] Ginzburg, David; Jiang, Dihua; Rallis, Stephen *On the nonvanishing of the central value of the Rankin-Selberg L-functions. II.* Automorphic representations, L-functions and applications: progress and prospects, 157–191, Ohio State Univ. Math. Res. Inst. Publ., 11, de Gruyter, Berlin, 2005.

[23] Ginzburg, David; Jiang, Dihua; Rallis, Stephen *Models for certain residual representations of unitary groups.* Automorphic forms and L-functions I. Global aspects, 125–146, Contemp. Math., 488, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2009.

[24] Ginzburg, D.; Piatetski-Shapiro, I.; Rallis, S. *L functions for the orthogonal group.* Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. 128 (1997), no. 611.

[25] Ginzburg, D.; Rallis, S.; Soudry, D. *The descent map from automorphic representations of* $\mathrm{GL}(n)$ *to classical groups.* World Scientific, Singapore, 2011.

[26] Gross, Benedict H.; Prasad, Dipendra *On the decomposition of a representation of* SO_n *when restricted to* SO_{n-1}. Canad. J. Math. 44 (1992), no. 5, 974–1002.

[27] Gross, Benedict H.; Prasad, Dipendra *On irreducible representations of* $\mathrm{SO}_{2n+1} \times \mathrm{SO}_{2m}$. Canad. J. Math. 46 (1994), no. 5, 930–950.

[28] Harris, Michael; Taylor, Richard *The geometry and cohomology of some simple Shimura varieties. With an appendix by Vladimir G. Berkovich.* Annals of Mathematics Studies, 151. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 2001.

[29] He, Hongyu *The Gan-Gross-Prasad Conjecture for* $\mathrm{U}(p,q)$. to appear in Invent. Math. (2017), 1–48.

[30] Heiermann, V. *A note on Standard Modules and Vogan L-packets.* Manuscripta Math. 150 (2016), no. 3–4, 571–583.

[31] Henniart, Guy *Une preuve simple des conjectures de Langlands pour* $\mathrm{GL}(n)$ *sur un corps p-adique.* (French) Invent. Math. 139 (2000), no. 2, 439–455.

[32] Howe, R. *Wave front sets of representations of Lie groups.* Automorphic forms, representation theory and arithmetic (Bombay, 1979), pp. 117–140, Tata Inst. Fund. Res. Studies in Math., 10, Tata Inst. Fundamental Res., Bombay, 1981.

[33] Howe, R. *Automorphic forms of low rank.* Noncommutative harmonic analysis and Lie groups, 211–248, Lecture Notes in Math., 880, Springer, 1981.

[34] Ichino, A.; Ikeda, T. *On the periods of automorphic forms on special orthogonal groups and the Gross-Prasad conjecture.* Geom. Funct. Anal. 19 (2010), no. 5, 1378–1425.

[35] Ichino, A.; Yamana, S. *Periods of automorphic forms: the case of* $(\mathrm{U}_{n+1} \times \mathrm{U}_n, \mathrm{U}_n)$. Journal für die Reine und Angewandte Mathematik, to appear.

[36] Jacquet, Hervé; Rallis, Stephen *On the Gross-Prasad conjecture for unitary groups.* On certain L-functions, 205–264, Clay Math. Proc., 13, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2011.

[37] Jiang, Dihua *Automorphic Integral transforms for classical groups I: endoscopy correspondences.* Automorphic Forms: L-functions and related geometry: assessing the legacy of I.I. Piatetski-Shapiro Contemporary Mathematics, Vol. 614, 179–242, 2014, AMS

[38] Jiang, Dihua; Liu, Baiying *Fourier coefficients for automorphic forms on quasisplit classical groups.* to appear in Cogdell volume.

[39] Jiang, Dihua; Liu, Baiying; Savin, Gordan *Raising nilpotent orbits in wavefront sets.* Represent. Theory 20 (2016), 419–450.

[40] Jiang, Dihua; Liu, Baiying; Xu, Bin; Zhang, Lei *The Jacquet-Langlands correspondence via twisted descent.* Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN 2016, no. 18, 5455–5492.

[41] Jiang, Dihua; Liu, Baiying; Zhang, Lei *Poles of certain residual Eisenstein series of classical groups.* Pacific J. of Math. Vol. 264, No. 1 (2013), 83–123.

[42] Jiang, Dihua; Soudry, David *The local converse theorem for* $\mathrm{SO}(2n+1)$ *and applications.* Ann. of Math. (2) 157 (2003), no. 3, 743–806.

[43] Jiang, Dihua; Sun, Binyong; Zhu, Chen-Bo *Uniqueness of Bessel models: the archimedean case.* Geom. Funct. Anal. Vol. 20 (2010) 690–709.

[44] Jiang, Dihua; Zhang, Lei *A product of tensor product L-functions for classical groups of hermitian type.* Geom. Funct. Anal. 24 (2014), no. 2, 552–609.

[45] Jiang, Dihua; Zhang, Lei *Automorphic integral transforms for classical groups II: twisted descents.* accepted by Roger Howe 70th birthday volume, 2016.

[46] Jiang, Dihua; Zhang, Lei *On the spectrum of the local descents for orthogonal groups: p-adic case.* submitted 2016.

[47] Jiang, Dihua; Zhang, Lei *On the non-vanishing of the central value of certain L-functions: unitary groups.* submitted 2017.

[48] Kaletha, Tasho *Rigid inner forms of real and* p*-adic groups.* Ann. of Math. (2) 184 (2016), no. 2, 559–632.

[49] Kaletha, Tasho *Global rigid inner forms and multiplicities of discrete automorphic representations.* preprint.

[50] Kaletha, Tasho; Minguez, Alberto; Shin, Sug Woo; White, Paul-James *Endoscopic Classification of Representations: Inner Forms of Unitary Groups.* arXiv:1501.01667 (2015).

[51] Kawanaka, N. *Shintani lifting and Gelfand-Graev representations.* The Arcata Conference on Representations of Finite Groups (Arcata, Calif., 1986), 147–163, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., 47, Part 1, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1987.

[52] Kim, H. *On local L-functions and normalized intertwining operators.* Canad. J. Math. 57 (2005), no. 3, 535–597.

[53] Kobayashi, T.; Speh, B. *Symmetry breaking for orthogonal groups and a conjecture by B. Gross and D. Prasad.* arXiv:1702.00263 (2017).

[54] Langlands, R. *Euler products.* A James K. Whittemore Lecture in Mathematics given at Yale University, 1967. Yale Mathematical Monographs, 1. Yale University Press, New Haven, Conn.-London, 1971.

[55] Langlands, R. *On the functional equations satisfied by Eisenstein series.* Springer Lecture Notes in Math. 544, 1976.

[56] Langlands, R. *On the classification of irreducible representations of real algebraic groups.* Representation theory and harmonic analysis on semisimple Lie groups, 101–170, Math. Surveys Monogr., 31, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1989.

[57] Liu, Y. *Relative trace formulae toward Bessel and Fourier-Jacobi periods on unitary groups.* Manuscripta Math. 145 (2014), no. 1-2, 1–69.

[58] Mœglin, C. *Front d'onde des représentations des groupes classiques p-adiques.* Amer. J. Math. 118 (1996), no. 6, 1313–1346.

[59] Mœglin, C. *Formes automorphes de carré intégrable noncuspidales.* Manuscripta Math. 127 (2008), no. 4, 411–467.

[60] Mœglin, C. *Image des opérateurs d'entrelacements normalisés et pôles des séries d'Eisenstein.* Adv. Math. 228 (2011), no. 2, 1068–1134.

[61] Mœglin, C. *Fonctions L de paires pour les groupes classiques.* (French) [L-functions of pairs for classical groups] Ramanujan J. 28 (2012), no. 2, 187–209.

[62] Mœglin, C.; Waldspurger, J.-L. *Modèles de Whittaker dégénérés pour des groupes p-adiques.* (French) Math. Z. 196 (1987), no. 3, 427–452.

[63] Mœglin, C.; Waldspurger, J.-L. *Le spectre résiduel de* $\mathrm{GL}(n)$. Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4) 22 (1989), no. 4, 605–674.

[64] Mœglin, C.; Waldspurger, J.-L. *Spectral decomposition and Eisenstein series.* Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics, 113. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1995.

[65] Mœglin, C.; Waldspurger, J.-L. *La conjecture locale de Gross-Prasad pour les groupes spéciaux orthogonaux: le cas général.* Sur les conjectures de Gross et Prasad. II. Astérisque No. 347 (2012), 167–216.

[66] Mœglin, C.; Waldspurger, J.-L. *Stabilisation de la formule des traces tordue.* prepublished book, 2015, 1066 pp.

[67] Mok, C. P. *Endoscopic classification of representations of quasi-split unitary groups.* Mem. of AMS, 235 (2015), No. 1108.

[68] Shahidi, F. *Eisenstein Series and Automorphic L-functions.* Colloquium Publications, Vol 58, 2010, AMS.

[69] Soudry, D. *Rankin-Selberg convolutions for* $\mathrm{SO}_{2l+1} \times \mathrm{GL}_n$*: local theory.* Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. 105 (1993), no. 500.

[70] Soudry, D. *Rankin-Selberg integrals, the descent method, and Langlands functoriality.* International Congress of Mathematicians. Vol. II, 1311–1325, Eur. Math. Soc., Zürich, 2006.

[71] Soudry, D. *The unramified computation of Rankin-Selberg integrals expressed in terms of Bessel models for split orthogonal groups: I.* to appear in Israel J. of Math.

[72] Sun, B.; Zhu, C.-B. *Multiplicity one theorems: the Archimedean case.* Ann. of Math. (2) 175 (2012), no. 1, 23–44.

[73] Tadić, M. *Classification of unitary representations in irreducible representations of general linear group (non-Archimedean case).* Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4) 19 (1986), no. 3, 335–382.

[74] Vogan, D. *The unitary dual of GL(n) over an Archimedean field.* Invent. Math. 83 (1986), no. 3, 449–505.

[75] Vogan, D. *The local Langlands conjecture.* Representation theory of groups and algebras, 305–379, Contemp. Math., 145, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1993.

[76] Waldspurger, J.-L. *La formule de Plancherel pour les groupes p-adiques (d'aprés Harish-Chandra).* J. Inst. Math. Jussieu 2 (2003), no. 2, 235–333.

[77] Waldspurger, J.-L. *La conjecture locale de Gross-Prasad pour les représentations tempérées des groupes spéciaux orthogonaux.* Sur les conjectures de Gross et Prasad. II. (French) Astérisque No. 347 (2012).

[78] Xu, B. *Endoscopic classification of representations of* $\mathrm{GSp}(2n)$ *and* $\mathrm{GSO}(2n)$. PhD Thesis, 2014, University of Toronto.

[79] Xue, H. *The Gan-Gross-Prasad conjecture for* $\mathrm{U}(n)\times\mathrm{U}(n)$. Adv. Math. 262 (2014), 1130–1191.

[80] Xue, H. *Refined global Gan-Gross-Prasad conjecture for Fourier-Jacobi periods on symplectic groups.* Compositio Math. 153 (2017), 68–131.

[81] Zhang, L. *Whittaker-Shintani Functions for General Linear Groups.* preprint 2014.

[82] Zhang, W. *Fourier transform and the global Gan-Gross-Prasad conjecture for unitary groups.* Ann. of Math. (2) 180 (2014), no. 3, 971–1049.

[83] Zhang, W. *Automorphic period and the central value of Rankin-Selberg L-function.* J. Amer. Math. Soc. 27 (2014), no. 2, 541–612.

School of Mathematics, University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, MN 55455, USA
E-mail address: `dhjiang@math.umn.edu`

Department of Mathematics, National University of Singapore, Singapore 119076
E-mail address: `matzhlei@nus.edu.sg`